


२४/०



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 27] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई 6, 1996/आषाढ़ 15, 1918
No. 27] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 6, 1996/ASADHA 15, 1918

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-Section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किये गये सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministry of the Government of India
(Other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि, न्याय और कम्पनी कार्य मंत्रालय
(विधि कार्य विभाग)
(न्यायिक अनुभाग)
सूचना

MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS
(Department of Legal Affairs)
(Judicial Section)
NOTICE

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 1996

New Delhi, the 7th June, 1996

का. आ. 1941.—नोटरीज विनियम, 1956 के नियम
6 के अनुसरण में मक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी
जाती है कि श्री अजाय कुमार अरोड़ा, एडवोकेट के उक्त
प्राधिकारी को उक्त विनियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक
आवेदन दायर करने के लिए दिया है कि उक्त अरोड़ा
(राजस्थान) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में
नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आपेक्ष इस सूचना के
प्रकाशन के पंद्रह दिन के भीतर विद्वित रूप में उसे
पता भेजा जाए।

S.O. 1941.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent
Authority in pursuance of Rule 6 of the Notaries Act, 1956
that application has been made to the said Authority, under
Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Ajay Kumar Arora, Ad-
vocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Ajmer
(Rajasthan).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as
a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned
within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[फा.सं. 5 (126)/96-न्यायिक]
पा. सी. कन्नन, मक्षम प्राधिकारी

[No. F. 5 (126) 96-Judl.]
P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1942.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री विजय कुमार सूद, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे कोटा (राजस्थान) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का अपेक्ष इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[फा. सं. 5(128)/96-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 7th June, 1996

S.O. 1942.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6 of the Notaries Act, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Vijay Kumar Sood, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Kota (Rajasthan).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5 (128)/96-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1943.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री राम कुमार, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे तहशील कैराना, जिला मुजफ्फर नगर, उत्तर प्रदेश में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का अपेक्ष इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[फा. सं. 5 (127)/96-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 7th June, 1996

S.O. 1943.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6 of the Notaries Act, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Ram Kumar, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Teh. Kairana, Dist. Muzaffar Nagar (U.P.).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(127)/96-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1944.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के मायकाय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1978 के नियम 10 के अधीन नियम (4) के अनुसरण में गृह मंत्रालय के निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों में हिन्दी का कार्य-साधक ज्ञान रखने वाले कर्मचारियों की संख्या 80 प्रतिशत से अधिक हो जाने के फलस्वरूप उन्हें एतद्वारा अधिभुक्ति करती है :—

- (1) कार्यालय, अपर पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक, ग्रुप केन्द्र, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, जमशेदपुर (बिहार)।
- (2) कार्यालय कमाण्डेंट, 90वीं बटालियन, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल।
- (3) कार्यालय कमाण्डेंट 116वीं बटालियन, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल।
- (4) कार्यालय कमाण्डेंट 133वीं बटालियन, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल।
- (5) कार्यालय कमाण्डेंट 114 वीं बटालियन, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल।

[सं. 12017/1/95 हिन्दी]

के. सी. कपूर, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1944.—In pursuance of Sub-Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Languages (use for Official purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976 the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of the Ministry of Home Affairs where the percentage of Hindi knowing staff has gone above 80 percent :—

1. Office of the Addl. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Group Centre, CRPF, Jamshedpur (Bihar).
2. Office of the Commandant 90 Battalion, CRPF.
3. Office of the Commandant 116 Battalion, CRPF.
4. Office of the Commandant 133 Battalion, CRPF.
5. Office of the Commandant 114 Battalion, CRPF.

[No. 12017/1/95-Hindi]

K. C. KAPOOR, Director

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1945.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) के परन्तक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए एतद्वारा श्री एम. आर. वाजवा तथा श्री एम. चौहान अधिवक्ताओं को राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जयपुर

एक ट्रिपल कोर्ट-जयपुर में आर सी 1 (एस)/93-एम. आई. यू.-14 सी. बी. आई./एस. आई. सी.-1, नई दिल्ली भावरी देवी केस तथा उसके संबंधित अन्य मामलों के संचालन हेतु क्रमशः विशेष एवं कनिष्ठ लोक अभियोक्तक नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 225/5/96 ए. बी. डी II]

एस. सी. तिवारी, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, P. G. & PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel & Training)

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1945.—In exercise to the powers conferred by the provision to sub-clause (8) of Section 24 of the code of criminal procedure 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Govt. hereby appoints S/Shri S. R. Bajwa and R. S. Chauhan, Advocates as Special Public Prosecutors for conducting case No. RC. 1(S)/93-SIU.XIV/CBI New Delhi (Bhanwari Devi Case) and any other matter connected therewith or incidental thereto, in the High Court/Trial court at Jaipur.

[No. 225/5/96-AVD.II]

S. C. TEWARY, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1946.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम स. 2) की धारा 25 की उपधारा (1-ए) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री पवन कुमार डोगरा को भारत के किसी राज्य अथवा संघ राज्य क्षेत्र, जिन पर पूर्वोक्त धारा के उपबंध लागू होते हैं, में मजिस्ट्रेट न्यायालयों के समक्ष दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा संस्थित मजिस्ट्रेट न्यायालयों में किमी. मामले अथवा मामलों के वर्ग का संचालन करने के प्रयोजन से सहायक लोक अभियोक्तक केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 225/22/96 ए. बी. डी. II]

एस. सी. तिवारी, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1946.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the section (1A) of section 25 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Pawan Kumar Dogra as Assistant Public Prosecutor, Central Bureau of Investigation for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in the courts of Magistrates instituted by Delhi Special Police Establishment before the courts of Magistrates in any State or Union territory of India to which the provision of the aforesaid section apply.

[No. 225/22/96-AVD.II]

S. C. TEWARY, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21, जून, 1996

का. आ. 1947.—केन्द्रीय सरकार दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा श्री डी. के. दास अधिवक्ता

गुवाहटी, को गुवाहटी स्थित नामित/विशेष न्यायाधीश/सब न्यायालय में मामला आर सी. 3 (एस)/88 एस. आई. यू. II/एस. आई. सी.-1 (के. पी. सेन की हत्या का मामला), आई. सी. 1(एस)/91 एस. आई. यू.-III एस. आई. सी.-1 सी. बी. आई./नई दिल्ली (दौलत सिंह नेगी मर्डर केस) और उनसे जुड़े तथा उनके साथ घटित किसी अन्य मामले के संचालन हेतु विशेष लोक अभियोक्तक नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 225/18/96 ए. बी. डी -II]

एस. सी. तिवारी, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1947.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the provision of sub-clause (8) of section 24 of the code of criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974) the Central Government hereby appoints Shri D. K. Das, Advocate, Guwahati as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting case RC. 1(S)/91-SIU.III/SIC-I/CBI New Delhi (Daulat Singh Negi murder case) and RC. 3(S)/88-SIU.II/SIC-I/CBI New Delhi (K. P. Sen Murder case) and any other matter connected therewith or incidental thereto, in the Special Magistrate/Designated/Sessions Courts at Guwahati.

[No. 225/18/96-AVD. II]

S. C. TEWARY, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1948.—केन्द्रीय सरकार दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा श्री ओंकार सिंह लेखावत अधिवक्ता अजमेर (राजस्थान) को डी. जे. मिरता मिटी, नागौर के न्यायालय में मामला संख्या आर. सी. 9 (एस)/87 एस. आई. यू.-III (अर्जुन राम का हत्या का मामला)/सी. बी. आई. /एस. आई. सी.-1 नई दिल्ली और उससे जुड़े अथवा उसके साथ घटित किसी अन्य मामले के संचालन हेतु विशेष लोक अभियोक्तक नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 225/16/96 ए. बी. डी -II]

एस. सी. तिवारी, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1948.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the provision to sub-class (8) of section 24 of the code of criminal procedure 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Onkar Singh Lakhwat, Advocate, Ajmer (Rajasthan) as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting case No. RC. 9(S)/87-SIU.III (Arjun Ram murder case) CBI/SIC-J New Delhi and any other matter connected therewith or incidental thereto, in the Court of Session Judge D. J. Merta city Nagpur.

[No. 225/16/96-AVD.II]

S. C. TEWARY, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1949—केंद्रीय सरकार दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) के परामर्श द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा श्री अनिल बहल अधिवक्ता, देहरादून को मामला संख्या आर सी 3 (एम) /89 एम. आई यू. -II में लेकर आर सी 10(एस) /89 एम. यू. II) सी. बी. आई एम. आई. सी-1/नई दिल्ली तक मुजफ्फरनगर दंगों के 8 मामलों और उससे जुड़े अथवा उसके साथ घटित किसी अन्य मामलों के संचालन के लिए विशेष मजिस्ट्रेट, देहरादून के न्यायालय में विशेष लोक अभियोजक नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 225 /17 /96-ए. वी. डी. -II]

एम. सी. तिवारी, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1949.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the provision to Sub-class (8) of section 24 of the code of criminal procedure 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Govt. hereby appoints Shri Anil Behl, Advocate, Dehradun as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting case Nos. RC. 3(S)/89-SIU.II to RC. 10(S)/89-SIU.II/BCI/N. Delhi (8 Cases of Muzaffarnagar Riots) and any other matter connected there with or incidental thereto, in the Court of Special Magistrate Dehradun.

[No. 225/17/96-AVD.II]

S. C. TEWARY, Dy. Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 22 मार्च, 1996

(आयकर)

का.आ. 1950.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 के खंड (23 ग) के उपखंड (V) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा "श्री सोमनाथ ट्रस्ट, प्रभाण पटन गुजरात" को कर निर्धारण वर्ष 1995-96 से 1997-98 तक के लिए निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए उक्त उपखंड के प्रयोजनार्थ अधिसूचित करती है, अर्थात् :—

- (i) कर-निर्धारिता इसकी आय का इस्तेमाल अथवा इसकी आय का इस्तेमाल करने के लिए इसका संचयन पूर्ण-तया तथा अनन्यतया उन उद्देश्यों के लिए करेगा, जिनके लिए इसकी स्थापना की गई है;
- (ii) कर-निर्धारिता ऊपर उल्लिखित कर-निर्धारण वर्षों में संगत पूर्ववर्ती वर्षों की किसी भी अवधि के दौरान धारा II की उपधारा (5) में विनिर्दिष्ट किसी एक अथवा एक से अधिक ढंग अथवा तरीकों से भिन्न

तरीकों से इसकी निधि (जेवर-जवाहिरात, फर्नीचर आदि के रूप में प्राप्त तथा रख-रखाव में स्वचिंटित अंशदान से भिन्न) का निवेश नहीं करेगा अथवा उसे जमा नहीं करवा सकेगा;

- (iii) यह अधिसूचना किसी ऐसी आय के संबंध में लागू नहीं होगी जो कि कारोबार से प्राप्त लाभ तथा अभिलाभ के रूप में हो जब तक कि ऐसा कारोबार उक्त कर-निर्धारिता के उद्देश्यों की प्राप्ति के लिए प्रासंगिक नहीं हो तथा ऐसे कारोबार के संबंध में अलग से लेखा पुस्तिकाएं नहीं रखी जाती हों।

[अधिसूचना सं. 10040/का.सं. 197/33/96-आयकर
नं.-1]

एच.के. चौधरी, प्रवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 22nd March, 1996

(INCOME TAX)

S.O. 1950.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (v) of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies "Shri Somnath Trust, Prabhasth Patan, Gujarat" for the purpose of the said sub-clause for the assessment years 1995-96 to 1997-98 subject to the following conditions, namely :—

- (i) the assessee will apply its income, or accumulate for application, wholly and exclusively to the objects for which it is established;
- (ii) the assessee will not invest or deposit its funds (other than voluntary contributions received and maintained in the form of jewellery, furniture etc.) for any period during the previous years relevant to the assessment years mentioned above otherwise than in any one or more of the forms or modes specified in sub-section (5) of Section 11;
- (iii) this notification will not apply in relation to any income being profits and gains of business, unless the business is incidental to the attainment of the objectives of the assessee and separate books accounts are maintained in respect of such business.

[Notification No. 10040/F. No. 197/33/96-ITA-I]

H. K. CHOUDHARY, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 1996

(आयकर)

का.आ. 1951.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 के खंड (23) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा "आर्चरी एसोसिएशन आफ इंडिया, नई दिल्ली" को वर्ष 1995-96 से 1997-98 तक के कर-निर्धारण वर्षों के लिए निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए उक्त खंड के प्रयोजनार्थ अधिसूचित करती है, अर्थात् :—

- (i) कर-निर्धारिता उसकी आय का इस्तेमाल अथवा उसकी आय का इस्तेमाल करने के लिए उसका संचयन इस

प्रकार के संचयन हेतु उक्त खण्ड (23) द्वारा यथा-संशोधित धारा II की उपधारा (2) तथा (3) के उपबंधों के अनुरूप पूर्णतया तथा अनन्यतया उन उद्देश्यों के लिए करेगा, जिनके लिए इसकी स्थापना की गई है;

- (ii) कर-निर्धारिता ऊपर-उल्लिखित कर-निर्धारण वर्षों से संगत पूर्ववर्ती वर्षों की किसी भी अवधि के दौरान धारा 11 की उपधारा (5) में विनिर्दिष्ट किसी एक अथवा एक से अधिक ढंग अथवा तरीकों से भिन्न तरीकों से उसकी निधि (ज्वेल्स-जवाहिरात, फर्नीचर अथवा किसी अन्य वस्तु, जिसे उपर्युक्त खण्ड (23) के तमाम परन्तुक के अधीन बॉर्डर द्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाए, के रूप में प्राप्त तथा रख-रखाव में स्वैच्छिक अंशदान से भिन्न) का निवेश नहीं करेगा अथवा उसे जमा नहीं करवा सकेगा;
- (iii) कर-निर्धारिता अपने सदस्यों को किसी भी तरीके से अपनी आय के किसी भाग का संवितरण अपने से संबद्ध किसी एसोसिएशन अथवा संस्था को अनुदान के अलावा नहीं करेगा, और
- (iv) यह अधिसूचना किसी ऐसी आय के संबंध में लागू नहीं होगी, जो कि कारोबार से प्राप्त लाभ तथा अभिलाम हों जब तक कि ऐसा कारोबार उक्त कर-निर्धारिता के उद्देश्यों की प्राप्ति के लिए प्रासंगिक नहीं हो तथा ऐसे कारोबार के संबंध में अलग से लेखा-पुस्तिकाएं नहीं रखी जाती हों।

[अधिसूचना सं. 10116/फा.सं. 196/6/95-आयकर वि-1]

एच.के. चौधरी, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th June, 1996

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 1951.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (23) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies the "Archery Association of India, New Delhi" for the purpose of the said clause for assessment years 1995-96 to 1997-98 subject to the following conditions, namely :—

- (i) the assessee will apply its income, or accumulate it for application, in consonance with the provisions of sub-sections (2) and (3) of Section 11 as modified by the said clause (23) for such accumulation wholly and exclusively to the objects for which it is established;
- (ii) the assessee will not invest or deposit its funds (other than voluntary contributions received and maintained in the form of jewellery, furniture or any other article as may be notified by the Board under the third provision to the aforesaid clause (23) for any period during the previous years relevant to the assessment years mentioned above otherwise than in any one or more of the forms or modes specified in sub-section (5) of Section 11;
- (iii) the assessee will not distribute any part of its income in any manner to its members except as grants to any association or institution affiliated to it; and

(iv) this notification will not apply in relation to any income, being profits and gains of business unless the business is incidental to the attainment of the objectives of the assessee and separate books of accounts are maintained in respect of such business.

[Notification No. 10116/F. No. 196/6/95-ITA-I]

H. K. CHOUDHARY, Under Secy.

(राजस्व विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 22 जून, 1996

आदेश

का.आ. 1952.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा के अधीन आदेश फा.सं. 673/13/96-सी.शु. 8 दिनांक 11-3-96 को यह निर्देश जारी किया था कि अवकाश प्राप्त मेजर श्री मंजीत सिंह हिल्लन, 596 मॉडल टाउन, जालंधर एम.एम. II—स्पिड बर्ड, फाउनेन्स कॉम्पनी एम. एम.प्लांटानोमस, 8-9 प्रथम तल नीलम बाजार, भिल्क बार चौराहा, जालंधर, पंजाब का निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, जालंधर (पंजाब) में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संवर्धन के प्रतिकूल कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिसमें उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके;

3. अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस अधीक्षक/डाइरेक्टर जनरल पुलिस, पंजाब के समक्ष हजरि हों।

[फा.सं. 673/13/96-सी.शु. 8]

प्रकाश चंद, अवर सचिव

(Department of Revenue)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 1996

S.O. 1952.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974), issued order F. No. 673/13/96-Cus. VIII dated 11-3-96 under the said sub-section directing that Retd. Major Manjit Singh Dhillon S/o Shri Bikram Singh Dhillon, R/o 596-Model Town, Jalandhar (Punjab) (ii) [M/s Speed Bird Finance Co. M/s. Plastomers, 8-9, 1st Floor, Neelam Market, Milk Bar Chowk, Jalandhar, be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Jalandhar with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the augmentation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reason to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Punjab within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/13/96-Cus.VIII]

PRAKASH CHANDRA, Under Secy.

अदेश

नई दिल्ली, 22 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1953.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन आदेश का. सं. 673/14/96—सी. शु. 8 दिनांक 11-3-96 को यह निदेश जारी किया था कि श्रीमती गुरमीत कौर विल्लन पत्नी अवकाश प्राप्त मेजर मंजीत सिंह विल्लन 596 माडल टाउन, नीलम बाजार भिन्क वार चौराहा, एम.एम. स्पीड बर्ड फाईनेंस कं. एम.एम. प्लास्टोमर्स 8-9 प्रथम तल, जालंधर को निकट कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, जालंधर में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संवर्धन के प्रतिकूल कोई भी कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2 केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके।

3 अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के सामग्रीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त/डायरेक्टर जनरल पुलिस, पंजाब के समक्ष हाजिर हो।

[का. सं. 673/14/96—सी. शु. 8]

प्रकाश चन्द्र अवसर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 1996

S.O. 1953.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India specially empowered under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/14/96-Cus.VIII dated 11-3-96 under the said sub-section directing that Smt. Gurnit Kaur Dhillon, W/o Retd. Major Manjit Singh Dhillon R/o 596-Model Town, Jalandhar, (ii) M/s Speed Bird Finance Co. M/s Plastonomers 8-9, 1st Floor, Neelam Market, Milk Bar Chowk, Jalandhar be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Jalandhar with a view to preventing her from acting in any manner prejudicial to the augmentation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reason to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself as that the order cannot be executed;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Punjab within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/14/96-Cus.VIII]

PRAKASH CHANDRA, Under Secy.

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1954.—भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक अधिनियम, 1934 (1934 का 2) की धारा 42 की उपधारा (1) के स्पष्टीकरण के खण्ड (ड) की मद (V) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा "पी.एन.बी. गिल्ड्स लि.", "एसबीआई गिल्ड्स लि.", "गिल्ड सिक्योरिटीज ट्रेडिंग कारपोरेशन लि." और "आईसीआई सिक्योरिटीज एण्ड फाइनेंस कम्पनी लि." नामक कम्पनियों को, जो पूर्वोक्त मद के प्रयोजन के लिए कम्पनी अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 1) के अन्तर्गत पंजीकृत कम्पनियाँ हैं, वित्तीय संस्थाओं के रूप में अधिभूचित करती है।

[फ. सं. 20/3/94-बी. आ. ए (1)]

पी. मोहन, निदेशक

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1954.—In exercise of the powers conferred by item (v) of Clause (c) of Explanation to sub-section (1) of Section 42 of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 (2 of 1934), the Central Government hereby notifies as financial institutions the entities known as "PNB Gilts Ltd.", "SBI Gilts Ltd.", "Gilt Securities Trading Corporation Ltd." and "ICICI Securities and Finance Company Ltd." being companies registered under the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956) for the purpose of the aforesaid item.

[No. 20/3/94-BOA (I)]

P. MOHAN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1955.—भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक अधिनियम, 1934 (1934 का 2) की धारा 42 की उपधारा (1) के स्पष्टीकरण के खण्ड (घ) की मद (6) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा, "पी.एन.बी. गिल्ड्स लि." "एसबीआई गिल्ड्स लि.", "गिल्ड सिक्योरिटीज ट्रेडिंग कारपोरेशन लि." और "आईसीआई सिक्योरिटीज एण्ड फाइनेंस कं. लि." नामक कम्पनियों को, पूर्वोक्त मद के प्रयोजन के लिए कम्पनी अधि-

नियम, 1956 (1956 का 1) के अंतर्गत पंजीकृत कंपनियाँ हैं, वित्तीय संस्थाओं के रूप में अधिसूचित करती है।

[एफ.सं. 20/3/94-बी.ओ.ए. (ii)]

पी. मोहन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1955.—In exercise of the powers conferred by item (vi) of Clause (d) of Explanation to sub-section (1) of Section 42 of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 (2 of 1934), the Central Government hereby notifies as financial institutions, the entities known as "PNB Gilts Ltd.", "SBI Gilts Ltd.", "Gilts Securities Trading Corporation Ltd.", and "ICICI Securities and Finance Company Ltd." being companies registered under the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956) for the purpose of the aforesaid item.

[No. 20/3/94-BOA(ii)]

P. MOHAN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1956.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 18 की उपधारा (1) के स्पष्टीकरण के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा, "पी.एन.बी. गिल्ट्स लिमिटेड", "एस.बी.आई. गिल्ट्स लिमिटेड", "गिल्ट्स सिक्क्योरिटीज ट्रेडिंग कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड" और "आई.सी.आई.सी.आई. सिक्क्यूरिटीज एंड फाइनेंस कंपनी लिमिटेड" नामक कंपनियों को, जो पूर्वोक्त मद के प्रयोजन के लिये कंपनी अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 1) के अंतर्गत पंजीकृत कंपनियाँ हैं, वित्तीय संस्थाओं के रूप में अधिसूचित करती है।

[फा. सं. 20/3/94-बी.ओ.ए. (iii)]

पी. मोहन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1956.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of Explanation to sub-section (1) of Section 18 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government hereby notifies as financial institutions the entities known as "PNB Gilts Ltd.", "SBI Gilts Ltd.", "Gilts Securities Trading Corporation Ltd." and "ICICI Securities and Finance Company Ltd." being companies registered under the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956) for the purpose of the aforesaid item.

[No. 20/3/94-BOA(iii)]

P. MOHAN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1957.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के खण्ड (अ) के साथ पठित धारा 18 की उपधारा (1) के स्पष्टीकरण के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा, "पी.एन.बी. गिल्ट्स लि.", "एस.बी.आई. गिल्ट्स लि.", "गिल्ट्स सिक्क्योरिटीज ट्रेडिंग कॉर्पोरेशन लि." और "आई.सी.आई.सी.आई. सिक्क्योरिटीज एंड फाइनेंस

कम्पनी लि. नामक कम्पनियों को, जो पूर्वोक्त खंड प्रयोजन के लिए कम्पनी अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 1) के अंतर्गत पंजीकृत कंपनियाँ हैं, वित्तीय संस्थाओं के रूप में अधिसूचित करती है।

[एफ.सं. 20/3/94-बी.ओ.ए. (iv)]

पी. मोहन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1957.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of Explanation to sub-section (1) of Section 18 read with clause (i) of Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government hereby notifies as financial institutions the entities known as "PNB Gilts Ltd.", "SBI Gilts Ltd.", "Gilts Securities Trading Corporation Ltd." and "ICICI Securities and Finance Company Ltd." being companies registered under the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956) for the purpose of the aforesaid Clause.

[No. 20/3/94-BOA(iv)]

P. MOHAN, Director

विदेश मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 13 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1958.—राजनयिक कौंसली अधिकारी (अपथ एक्म शुल्क) अधिनियम 1948 (1948 का 41 वां) की धारा के 2 अंक (क) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत का दूतावास वियनान्गोने में सहायक श्री एस चिनपाऊ नागईन को 12 जून, 1996 से कौंसली एजेंट का कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[सं. टी.-4330/2/96]

आई.पी. मोहनन, अवर सचिव (पी.वी.एस)

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 13th June, 1996

S.O. 1958.—In pursuance of the Clause (a) of Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948 (41 of 1948), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri S. Chinpaou Ngaihte in the Embassy of India Vientiane to perform the duties of Consular Agent with effect from 12-6-1996.

[T. 4330/2 961]

I. P. MOHANAN, Under Secy. (Cons.)

मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय

(शिक्षा विभाग)

(युनेस्को एकक)

नई दिल्ली, 13 मई, 1996

का.आ. 1959.—योगेदिले प्रतिष्ठान अधिनियम, 1988 की धारा 12 की उपधारा (4) के अनुसार, प्रतिष्ठान

के णासी बोर्ड की सदस्य बेगम बिलकीस सतीफ के इस्तीफे को केन्द्र सरकार ने दिनांक 22 अप्रैल, 1996 में स्वीकार कर लिया है।

2. ओरोविले प्रतिष्ठान अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा 3 के अनुसार, बेगम बिलकीस सतीफ के इस्तीफे के कारण ओरोविले प्रतिष्ठान के शाही बोर्ड के रिक्त हुये सदस्य के पद पर डॉ. एम.एम. स्वामीनाथन को नियुक्त किया गया है।

[सं. एफ. 27-6/96-यू.यू.]

रूपा. आर. जोशी, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

(Department of Education)

(UNESCO Unit)

New Delhi, the 13th May, 1996

S.O. 1959.—In terms of Sub-Section (4) of Section 12 of the Auroville Foundations Act, 1988, the resignation tendered by Begum Bilkees Latif from the membership of the Governing Board of the Foundation has been accepted by the Central Government with effect from 22nd April, 1996.

2. In accordance with Sub-Section 3 of the Section 12 of the Auroville Foundation Act, Dr. M. S. Swaminathan has been appointed as a member of the Governing Board of Auroville Foundation with immediate effect against the vacancy created by the resignation of Begum Bilkees Latif.

[No. F. 27-6/96-UU]

ROOPA R. JOSHI, Director

(युवा कार्यक्रम और खेल विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 28 मई, 1996

का.आ. 1960 :—हम विभाग की दिनांक 12 अप्रैल, 1996 की अधिसूचना संख्या एफ. 15-2/89-भा.खे.प्रा. का अधिक्रमण करने हुए श्री ओ.पी. भाटिया, कार्यपालक निदेशक (परिचालन), भारतीय खेल प्राधिकरण को श्री राजेश धींगरा, सचिव, भारतीय खेल प्राधिकरण के स्थान पर राष्ट्रीय भारतीयम-1989 की विशेष आयोजन समिति के सदस्य-सचिव के रूप में मनोनीत किया जाता है।

[सं. संख्या 15-2/89-भा.खे.प्रा.]

अश्वनी कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

(Deptt. of Youth Affairs and Sports)

New Delhi, the 28th May, 1996

S.O. 1960.—In supersession of this Department's Notification No. F. 15-2/89-SAI dated 12th April, 1991, Shri. O. P. Bhatia, Executive Director (Ops), Sports Authority of India is nominated as Member-Secretary of Special Organising Committee of National Bharatiyam-1989 in place of Shri. Rajesh Dhingra, Secretary, Sports Authority of India.

[F. No. 15-2/89-SAI]

ASHWANI KUMAR, Desk Officer

(शिक्षा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1961 :—सरकारी स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधि-भोगियों की बेदखली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) में प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और दिनांक 10 नवम्बर, 1982 के तत्कालीन शिक्षा और संस्कृति मंत्रालय (शिक्षा विभाग) भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. 4008 का अधिक्रमण करते हुए, उन कार्यों को छोड़कर जो इस प्रकार के अधिक्रमण से पूर्व किये गये हों या किये जाने से रह गये हों, केन्द्र सरकार एन.एच. और एम.एच. मोहसिन को जो कि जाकिर हुसैन इंजीनियरिंग और टेक्नोलॉजी कालेज, अलीगढ़ मुस्लिम विश्वविद्यालय में प्रोफेसर, मैकेनिकल इंजीनियरिंग के तौर पर कार्यरत हैं, सरकारी राजपत्रित अधिकारी के समकक्ष अधिकारी होने के नाते, उक्त अधिनियम के उद्देश्य के लिए संपदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है, जो अलीगढ़ मुस्लिम विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा या इसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिये गये अलीगढ़ जिले की स्थानीय सीमाओं के अंतर्गत सरकारी स्थानों के संबंध में प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेंगे और उक्त अधिनियम के अंतर्गत या इसके द्वारा संपदा अधिकारी को भौत गये दायित्वों का निर्वाह करेंगे।

[सं. एफ. 3-11/96-डेस्क (यू)]

ए.के. खन्ना, डेस्क अधिकारी

(Department of Education)

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1996

S.O. 1961.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971) and in supersession of the Government of India in the erstwhile Ministry of Education and Culture (Department of Education) notification number S.O. 4008 dated the 16th November, 1982, except as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. H. Mohsin, Professor in Mechanical Engineering, Z. H. College of Engineering and Technology, Aligarh Muslim University, being an officer equivalent to the rank of a Gazetted Officer of Government, to be an Estate Officer for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the estate officer by or under the said Act, in respect of the public premises within the local limits of Aligarh District belonging to, or taken on lease by, or on behalf of, the Aligarh Muslim University.

[No. F. 3-11/96-Desk(U)]

A. K. KHANNA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1962 :—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (सूच के सरकारी प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम 1976 के नियम 10 के उप-नियम (4) के अनुसरण में मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय (शिक्षा विभाग) के अन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित केन्द्रीय विद्यालयों को जिनमें 80% से अधिक कर्मचारियों

ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करनी है :—

(Department of Education)

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1962.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Languages (use for Official Purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies of the following Kendriya Vidyalayas under the Ministry of Human Resource Development (Department of Education) more than 80 per cent staff of which has work in Hindi :—

1. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
नलेटी (हिमाचल प्रदेश)
2. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
हमीरपुर (हिमाचल प्रदेश)
3. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय संगठन,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, जबलपुर ।
4. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय नं. 1,
जालहल्ली (पश्चिम) बेंगलूर ।
5. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
एम.जी. रेलवे कालोनी, बेंगलूर ।
6. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
बन्डट्टि रोड, बेल्लारी ।
7. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
अरसीकेरे रोड, बी, काटीहल्ली, हासन ।
8. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय नं. 2,
बेलगाम कैंट, बेलगाम-590009.
9. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
धारवाड़-580001.
10. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
गुलबर्गा-585102.
11. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय नं. 1,
राजनगर, हुबली ।
12. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय नं., गदग रोड,
हुबली ।
13. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
कुद्रेमुख (चिकमंगलूर)
14. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय, बम्बोलिम,
गोवा ।
15. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
सी.पी.सी.आर. आई., कुडलू,
कासरगोड ।
16. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
पयन्नूर (केरल) ।
17. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय संगठन,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, पटना ।
18. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
पश्चिम कोयला क्षेत्र, दुर्गापुर,
चन्द्रपुर-442404.
19. केन्द्रीय विद्यालय,
मुरादनगर, जिला-गाजियाबाद, (उ.प्र.)

1. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Naleti (Himachal Pradesh)
2. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Hamirpur (Himachal P)
3. Kendriya Vidyalaya S
Regional Office,
Jabalpur.
4. Kendriya Vidyalaya No. 1,
Jalhalli (West),
Bangalore.
5. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
M. G. Rly. Colony,
Bangalore.
6. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Bundatti Road,
Ballari.
7. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Arcikere Road, B.
Katihalli, Hasan.
8. Kendriya Vidyalaya No. 2,
Belgam Cantt.,
Belgam-590009.
9. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Dharwar-580001.
10. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Gulbarga-505102.
11. Kendriya Vidyalaya No. 1,
Rajnagar, Hubli.
12. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
No., Gadag Road,
Hubli.
13. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Kudremukh (Chikmanglore).
14. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Bumbolim, Goa
15. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
C.P.C.R.I. Kud'oo,
Kasargod.
16. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Painnor, (Kerala).
17. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Regional Office,
Patna.
18. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
West Coal Field,
Durgapur, Chanderpur-442404.
19. Kendriya Vidyalaya,
Moradnagar,
District Ghaziabad.
(U.P.).

[सं. 11011/7/95-रा.भा.ए.]

निशेन्दु ओझा, निदेशक (रा.भा.)

[No. 11011-7/95/OLU]

NISHENDU OJHA, Director (O.L.)

कोयला मंत्रालय

शुद्धि-पत्र

नई दिल्ली, 20 मई, 1996

Gazette of India, Part-II, Section-3, Sub-Section (ii) dated the 13th January, 1996,—

At page 105 in the notification,

(1) in line 8, for "Calcutta 70000" read "Calcutta 700001".

(2) in the Schedule, in column 2 of the Table under the heading "Name of Village" at Sl. No. 1, for "Datime" read "Datima".

[No. 43015/7/95-LSW]

MRS. P. L. SAINI, Under Secy.

का.आ. 1963 :—भारत के राजपत्र, तारीख 13 जनवरी 1996 के भाग-2, खंड-3, उपखंड (ii) में पृष्ठ संख्या 104 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार, कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.आ. 83 तारीख 26 जून, 1995 में :—

पृष्ठ 104 पर, तालिका में ग्राम स्तंभ के नीचे :—

क्रम संख्या 1 — "दतिया" के स्थान पर "दतिमा" पढ़ें और जहां कहीं भी "दतिया" शब्द प्रयुक्त हुआ हो उसके स्थान पर "दतिमा" पढ़ें।

क्षेत्र हेक्टेयर में, स्तंभ के नीचे :—

क्रम संख्या 1 "44.740" के स्थान पर "14.740" पढ़ें

क्रम संख्या 5 "140.590" के स्थान पर "140.598" पढ़ें।

[फा.सं. 43015/7/95-एल.एस.डब्ल्यू.]

श्रीमती प्रेम लता सैनी, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF COAL

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 20th May, 1996

S.O. 1963.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 83, dated the 26th June, 1995, published at pages 104 and 105 of the

शुद्धि-पत्र

नई दिल्ली, 28 मई, 1996

का.आ. 1964 :—भारत के राजपत्र, तारीख 24 जून, 1995 के भाग-2 खंड-3, उपखंड (ii) में पृष्ठ संख्या 2366 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार, कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.आ. संख्या 1734 तारीख 8 जून, 1995 में,

पृष्ठ क्रमांक 2366, तालिका में, ग्राम स्तंभ के नीचे,

क्रम संख्या 4,— "नौसेमेर" के स्थान पर "नौसेमर" पढ़ें और जहां कहीं भी "नौसेमेर" शब्द प्रयुक्त हुआ हो उसके स्थान पर "नौसेमर" पढ़ें।

क्रम संख्या 5— "धुलधुली" के स्थान पर "धुलधुली" पढ़ें और जहां कहीं भी "धुलधुली" शब्द प्रयुक्त हुआ हो उसके स्थान पर "धुलधुली" पढ़ें।

[फा.सं. 43015/5/95-एलएसडब्ल्यू]

श्रीमती प्रेम लता सैनी, अवर सचिव

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1965.—केंद्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि इससे उपाय अनुसूची में उल्लिखित भूमि में कोयला अधिप्राप्त किए जाने की संभावना है,

अतः अब, केंद्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस क्षेत्र में कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है।

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले रेखांक सं. एस. ई. सी. एल./बी. एस. पी./जी. एस. (पी. एल. जी.) भूमि 157 तारीख 14 नवम्बर, 1995 का निरीक्षण साउथ ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि., राजस्व अनुभाग, सीपत रोड, बिलासपुर-495001 (मध्य प्रदेश) के कार्यालय में या कलकटर सरगुजा, मध्य प्रदेश के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक 1, कार्डसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाली भूमि में हितबद्ध सभी व्यक्ति उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उपधारा (7) में निर्दिष्ट सभी नक्शों, चाटों और अन्य दस्तावेजों को इस अधिसूचना के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से नब्बे दिन के भीतर, भारत साधक अधिकारी/विभागाध्यक्ष (राजस्व) साउथ ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि., सीपत रोड, बिलासपुर-495001 (मध्य प्रदेश) को भेज सकते हैं।

अनुसूची

नवापारा परियोजना

भदगांव —क्षेत्र

जिला सुरगुजा (मध्य प्रदेश)

रेखांक सं. एस. ई. सी. एस. /बी. एस. पी. /जी. एस. (पी. एल. जी)/भूमि/157 तारीख 14 नवम्बर, 1995 (पूर्वक्षेप के लिए अधिसूचित भूमि दर्शित करने हुए)

क्रम सं.	ग्राम का नाम	ग्राम सं.	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टर में	टिप्पणियां
1.	त्रिजनगर	55	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	140.250	भाग
2.	गजाधर पुर	35	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	48.225	भाग
3.	अनुजनगर	36	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	308.305	भाग
4.	महेणपुर	70	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	130.705	भाग
5.	लटोरी	65	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	606.205	भाग
6.	द्वारिका नगर	64	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	460.110	भाग
7.	गंगापुर	37	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	64.500	भाग
8.	आरक्षित वन	—	सूरजपुर	सुरगुजा	40.000	भाग
					कुल . 1798.300 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 4443.600 एकड़ (लगभग)	

सीमा वर्णन :

- क-ख-ग रेखा ग्राम गंगापुर में बिन्दु "क" से आरम्भ होती है और ग्राम गंगापुर त्रिज नगर, द्वारिका नगर से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ग" पर मिलती है।
- ग-घ-ङ रेखा ग्राम द्वारिका नगर, लटोरी, और महेणपुर से होकर जाती है, और बिन्दु "ङ" पर मिलती है।
- ङ-च-क रेखा ग्राम महेणपुर से आरक्षित वन से, फिर गजाधरपुर, अनुज नगर, गंगापुर ग्रामों में होकर जाती है और आरम्भिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है।

[फा. सं. 43015/21/95-एल. एस. डब्ल्यू]

श्रीमती प्रेम लता सैनी, अवर सचिव,

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1996

S.O. 1965.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal therein.

The plan bearing number SECL/BSP/GM(PLG) Land/157 dated the 14th November 1995, of the

area covered by this notification can be inspected in the Office of the South Eastern Coal-fields Limited, Revenue Section, Seepat Road, Bilaspur-495 001 or in the Office of the Collector, Surguja (Madhya Pradesh) or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta.

All persons interested in the land covered by this notification may deliver all maps, charts and other documents referred to in sub-section (7) of section 13 of the said Act to the Officer-in-Charge, Head of the Department (Revenue), South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Seepat Road, Bilaspur-495001 (Madhya Pradesh) within ninety days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE
NAWAPARA PROJECT
BHATGAON AREA
DISTRICT SURGUJA (MADHYA PRADESH)

Plan No. SECL/BSP/GM/(PLG)/Land/157

dated 14th November, 1995
(Showing land notified for prospecting)

Serial number	Name of Village	Village number	Tahsil	District	Area in Hectares	Remarks
1.	Brijnagar	55	Surajpur	Surguja	140.250	Part
2.	Gajadharpur	35	Surajpur	Surguja	48.225	Part
3.	Anujnagar	36	Surajpur	Surguja	308.305	Part
4.	Maheshpur	70	Surajpur	Surguja	130.705	Part
5.	Latori	65	Surajpur	Surguja	606.205	Part
6.	Dwarikanagar	64	Surajpur	Surguja	460.110	Part
7.	Gangapur	37	Surajpur	Surguja	64.500	Part
8.	Reserved Forest	—	Surajpur	Surguja	40.000	Part

TOTAL : 1798.300 Hectares (approximately)

OR

4443.60 Acres (approximately)

Boundary Description.

- A—B—C Line starts from point 'A, in village Gnagapur and passes through villages Gangapur, Brijnagar, Dwarikanagar and meets at point 'C'.
- C—D—E Line passes through villages Dwarikanagar, Latori, Maheshpur and meets at point 'E'.
- E—F—A Line passes through village Maheshpur, through reserved forest, then through villages Gajadharpur, Anujnagar, Gangapur and meets at the starting point 'A'.

[No. 43015/21/95-LSW]

M. S. P. L. SAINI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1966 ————— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (i) के अधीन जारी भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii), तारीख 9 जुलाई 1994 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. सं. 1553 तारीख 7 जून 1994 द्वारा उस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि में जिसका भाग 43.00 एकड़ (लगभग) या 19.43 हेक्टर (लगभग) है, कोयले के पूर्वक्षण करने के अपने अणय की सूचना दी थी।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त भूमि में कोयला अभिप्राप्त है,

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए इससे संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित 48.00 एकड़ (लगभग) या 19.43 हेक्टर (लगभग) भाग की भूमि में और ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के सभी अधिकारों का अर्जन करने के अपने अणय की सूचना देती है।

टिप्पण 1:—इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक सं. एम/88, तारीख 21 नवम्बर, 1955 का निर्माण बालाकटर, वर्तमान (पश्चिमी बंगाल) के कार्यालय में या कोयला निर्वहन, 1, कमंडिल हाउस स्ट्रीट कलकत्ता 700001 के कार्यालय में या निदेशक (तज्ज्ञांक) ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि., सेक्टरिया, पो. आ. दिशेरगढ़, जिला बर्द्धमान (प. बंगाल) के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

टिप्पण 2:— कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 8 के उपबंधों की ओर ध्यान आकृष्ट किया जाता है, जिसमें निम्नलिखित उपबंध है:—

“8 अर्जन के प्रति आक्षेप (1) कोई व्यक्ति जो किसी भूमि में जिसकी बाबत धारा 7 के अधीन अधिसूचना निकाली गई है, हितबद्ध है, अधिसूचना के निकाले जाने से तीस दिन के भीतर सम्पूर्ण भूमि या उसके किसी भाग या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किन्हीं अधिकारों का अर्जन किए जाने के बारे में आपत्ति पर मकेगा।

स्पष्टीकरण—इस धारा के अन्तर्गत यह आपत्ति नहीं मानी जाएगी कि कोई व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में कोयला उत्पादन के लिए स्वयं खनन संक्रियाएं करनी चाहता है और ऐसी संक्रियाएं केन्द्रीय सरकार या किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को नहीं करनी चाहिए।

(2) उपधारा (1) के अधीन प्रत्येक आपत्ति सक्षम प्राधिकारी का लिखित रूप में की जाएगी और सक्षम प्राधिकारी आपत्तिकर्ता को स्वयं सुने जाने का या विधि व्यवसायी द्वारा सुनवाई का अवसर देगा और ऐसी सभी आपत्तियों को सुनने के पश्चात् और ऐसी अतिरिक्त जांच यदि कोई हो, करने के पश्चात् जो वह आवश्यक समझता है वह या तो धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन अधिसूचित भूमि के या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में एक रिपोर्ट या ऐसी भूमि के विभिन्न टुकड़ों या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में आपत्तियों पर अपनी सिफारिशों और उसके द्वारा की गई कार्रवाई के अभिलेख सहित विभिन्न रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को उसके विनिश्चय के लिए देगा।

(3) इस धारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए, वह व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में हितबद्ध समझा जाएगा जो प्रतिकर में हित का दावा करने का हकदार होता यदि भूमि या किसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकार इस अधिनियम के अधीन अर्जित कर दिए जाते हैं।

टिप्पण 3:— केन्द्रिय सरकार ने कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट कलकत्ता को उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी नियुक्त किया है।

अनुसूची

रानीगंज कोयला क्षेत्र

(रेखांक सं. एम/88, तारीख 21 नवम्बर, 1995)

क्रम सं.	मौजा/ग्राम का नाम	अधिकारिता सूची संख्या	पुलिस थाना	जिला	क्षेत्र एकड़ में	टिप्पणी
1.	माधईपुर	4	फरीदपुर	बर्दवान	17.00	भाग
2.	भावूरिया	7	फरीदपुर	बर्दवान	10.50	भाग
3.	देवीपुर	127	इबराजपुर	बारभूम	16.00	भाग
4.	पलामडंगा	154	इबराजपुर	बारभूम	4.50	भाग

कुल 48.00 एकड़ (लगभग)

या

19.43 हेक्टर (लगभग)

मौजा माधईपुर में अर्जित किए जाने वाला प्लॉट संख्यांक

2378 (भाग)

मौजा भावूरिया में अर्जित किए जाने वाला प्लॉट संख्यांक

1384 (भाग)

मौजा देवीपुर में अर्जित किए जाने वाला प्लॉट संख्यांक

556(भाग), 557(भाग)

मौजा पलामडंगा में अर्जित किए जाने वाला प्लॉट संख्यांक

2960 (भाग)

सीमा वर्णन

क-अ-ग- रेखा “क” बिन्दु से आरंभ होता है और जिला बर्दवान (भावूरिया, अधिकारिता सूची संख्या 7 और मौजा माधईपुर अधिकारिता सूची सं. 14) में बदजोई नदी के दक्षिणा किनारे से होते हुए जाता है तथा “ग” बिन्दु पर मिलता है 1522 GI/96—3.

- म-घ- रेखा, अदजाई नदी को पार करती है और बर्दवान जिला के मौजा, देवीपुर अधिकांशिता सूची सं. 127 में "ब" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- म-ङ-च- रेखा, जिला बरभूम के मौजा देवीपुर और पलासडंगा अधिकांशिता सूची सं. 154 में होते हुए जाती है तथा बर्दमान जिला के भावरिया मौजा, अधिकांशिता सूची सं. 7 के "छ" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- छक- रेखा "छ" बिन्दु से अदजाई नदी को पार करती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है।

[फा. सं. 43015/12/93 एल. एम. डब्ल्यू]

पी. के.जी. नायर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1996

S.O. 1966.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 1553 dated the 7th June, 1994 issued under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) and published in the Gazette of India in Part-II, Section-3, Sub-Section (ii) dated the 9th July, 1994 the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in 48.00 acres (approximately) or 19.43 hectares (approximately) of the lands in the locality specified in the Schedule annexed to that notification.

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that coal is obtainable in the said lands;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to acquire the lands measuring 48.00 acres (approximately) or 19.43 hectares (approximately) and all rights in or over such lands as described in the Schedule appended hereto.

Note 1 :-- The plan bearing number M/88 dated the 21st November, 1995 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the office of the Collector, Burdwan (West Bengal) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta-700001 or in the office of the Director (Technical), Eastern Coalfields Limited, Sanctoria, P.O. Dishergarh, District Burdwan (West Bengal).

Note 2:--Attention is hereby invited to the provisions of section 8 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) which provides as follows:—

"8. Objections to acquisition:

- (1) Any person interested in any land in respect of which a notification under section 7 has been issued may within thirty days of the issue of the notification, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or of any rights in or over such land.

Explanation:--- It shall not be an objection within the meaning of this section for any person to say that he himself desires to undertake mining operations in the land for the production of coal and that such operations should not be undertaken by the Central Government or by any other person.

- (2) Every objection under sub-section (1) shall be made to the competent authority in writing and the competent authority shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard either in person or by a legal practitioner and shall, after hearing all such objections and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks necessary, either make a report in respect of the land which has been notified under sub-section (1) of Section 7 or of rights in or over such land, or make different reports in respect of different parcels of such land or of rights in or over such land, to the Central Government, containing his recommendations on the objections, together with the record of the proceedings held by him, for the decision of that Government.
- (3) For the purpose of this section, a person shall be deemed to be interested in land who would be entitled to claim an interest in compensation if the land or any rights in or over such land were acquired under this Act."

Note 3 :---The Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta has been appointed by the Central Government as the Competent authority under the Act.

THE SCHEDULE RANIGANJ COALFIELDS

(Drawing No. M/88, dated the 21st November, 1995)

Serial number	Name of Mouza (Village)	Jurisdiction List number	Police Station	District	Area in acres	Remarks
1.	Madhaipur	4	Faridpur	Burdwan	17.00	Part
2.	Bhaburia	7	Faridpur	Burdwan	10.50	Part
3.	Debipur	127	Dubrajpur	Birbhum	16.00	Part
4.	Palasdanga	154	Dubrajpur	Birbhum	4.50	Part
					Total 48.00 acres	(approximately)
						or
						19.43 hectares (approximately)

Plot number to be acquired in Mouza Madhaipur ; 2378 (P)
 Plot number to be acquired in Mouza Bhaburia ; 1384 (P)
 Plot numbers to be acquired in Mouza Debipur ; 556(P), 557(P)
 Plot number to be acquired in Mouza Palasdanga ; 2960 (P)

Boundary description;

- A—B—C Line starts from point 'A' and passes through Southern Bank of Adjoy river at Burdwan District (Bhaburia, Jurisdiction List No. 7 and Madhaipur Mouza, Jurisdiction List No. 14) and meet at point 'C'.
- C—D Line passes across the Adjoy river and meets at point 'D' at Mouza Debipur, Jurisdiction List No. 127 of Birbhum District.
- D—E—F—G Line passes through Mouza Debipur and Palasdanga, Jurisdiction List No. 154 of Birbhum District and meet at point 'G' at Bhaburia Mouza, Jurisdiction List No. 7 of Burdwan district.
- G—A Line passes across the Adjoy river from point 'G' and meets at starting point 'A'.

[No. 43015/12/93-LSW]
P. K. G. NAIR, Under Secy.

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस संस्थान

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. प्रा. 1967.— भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग -II,

खण्ड-3 उपखंड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस संस्थान भारत सरकार के का. प्रा. संख्या 257(अ) दिनांक 24-03-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का धर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जी कि ग्राम रिडोनिया तहसील कैलारम जिला मुरैना- के संबंध में भी को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाये।

1522 GI/96—4.

राजपत्र के अनुसार

निम्न संगोपन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाये

क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
24	73	00.1530	73	00.1680
26	75	00.0300	75	00.0400
27	74	00.0480	74	00.0930
28	71	00.0754	—	—
29	76	00.1103	76	00.0430
30	77	00.0247	77	00.0430
31	78	00.0900	78	00.0950
34	84	00.7058	84/1	00.6380
35	86	00.1018	86/1	00.1560

[संख्या :- एल-14016/8/95-जी. पी.

प्रर्वेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

[संख्या :- एल-14016/8/95-जी. पी.]

प्रवैन्दु सेन, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM & NATURAL GAS

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1967.—In the Gazette of India, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 257(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the 'Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Ritoanunyan, Tehsil Kailaras, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
24.	73	00.1530	73	00.1680
26.	75	00.0300	75	00.0400
27.	74	00.0480	74	00.0930
28.	71	00.0754	—	—
29.	76	00.1103	76	00.0430
30.	77	00.0247	77	00.0430
31.	78	00.0900	78	00.0950
34.	84	00.7058	84/1	00.6380
35.	86	00.1018	86/1	00.1560

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]
ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. भा. 1968.—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग II खण्ड 3, उपखंड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस संशोधन, भारत सरकार के का. भा. संख्या 257(अ) दिनांक 24-03-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का प्रजन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम भूरावली, तहसील कैलारस, जिला मुरैना के संबंध में बी को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाये।

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाए		
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
1	2	3	4	5
11.	30/2	00.1717	30/2	00.1217
12.	31	00.1163	31/1/1	00.0985
—	—	—	31/1/2	00.0678
14.	23	00.1530	23/3/1	00.1530
17.	07	00.0354	—	—
18.	08	00.3186	08	00.3540

[संख्या एस - 14016/8/95 - जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1968.—In the Gazette of India, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, S.O. No. 257(E) dated 24-03-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Bhurawali, Tehsil Kaitaras, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
11.	30/2	0.1717	30/2	0.1217
12.	31	0.1163	31/1/1	0.0985
—	—	—	31/1/2	0.0678
14.	23	0.1530	23/3/1	0.1530
17.	7	0.0354	—	—
18.	8	0.3186	8	0.3540

[No. L-14016/8/95-G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. भा. 1969.—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग II खण्ड 3, उपखंड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस संशोधन, भारत सरकार के का. भा. संख्या 257(अ) दिनांक 24-03-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का प्रजन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम भूरावली, तहसील कैलारस, जिला मुरैना के संबंध में बी को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाये।

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाए		
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
1	2	3	4	5
01.	1955	00.0560	1055/4	00.1560
—	—	—	1055/5	00.0410
—	—	—	1055/3	00.0210
02.	1054	00.2390	1054/1	00.1460
—	—	—	1054/2	00.0210
03.	1053	00.1450	1053	00.0100
04.	1052	00.0034	—	—
05.	1051	00.0408	—	—
06.	1050	00.1576	1050	00.1050
07.	1048	00.1535	1048	00.0530
08.	1057	00.0702	1057/3	00.2140
—	—	—	1057/2	00.0410
09.	1030	00.0010	—	—

1	2	3	4	5
10.	1029	00.3026	1029/3	00.1680
	—	—	1029/2	00.0510
11.	1028	00.0143	1028	00.1050
12.	1027	00.1796	1027	00.2100

[संख्या एन-14016/8/95-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. No. 1969.—In the Gazette of India, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 257(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub-section (i) of Section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Naipri, Tehsil Kailaras, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette Be read as corrected below

Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
1.	1055	0.0560	1055/4	0.1560
	—	—	1055/5	0.0410
	—	—	1055/3	0.0210
2.	1054	0.2390	1054/1	0.1460
	—	—	1054/2	0.0210
	—	—	—	0.0100
3.	1053	0.1450	1053	—
4.	1052	0.0034	—	—
5.	1051	0.0408	—	—
6.	1050	0.1576	1050	0.1050
7.	1048	0.1535	1048	0.0530
8.	1057	0.0702	1057/3	0.2140
	—	—	1057/2	0.0410
9.	1030	0.0010	—	—
10.	1029	0.3026	1029/3	0.1680
	—	—	1029/2	0.0510
11.	1028	0.0143	1028	0.1050
12.	1027	0.1796	1027	0.2100

[No. L-14016/8/95-G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. मा. 1970.—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 21-04-95 के भाग-II खण्ड-3, उपखंड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. मा. संख्या 371(अ) दिनांक 19-04-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत

प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम मुजगढ़, तहसील जौरा जिला मुरैना के संबंध में थी, को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाये :—

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाए	
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
01.	962	00.1200	962 00.1000
02.	963	00.0800	963 00.0450
03.	964	00.0345	964/1 00.0020
05.	966	00.0390	966 00.0290
06.	967	00.0420	967 00.0320
11.	949	00.1980	949/1 00.1700
—	—	—	949/2 00.0280

[संख्या एन-14016/8/95-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1970.—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 371(E) dated 19-4-95 published on 21-4-95 under sub-section (i) of Section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of Village Brijgarhi, Tehsil Jaura, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette Be read as corrected below

Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
01.	962	00.1200	962	00.1000
02.	963	00.0600	963	00.0450
03.	964	00.0345	964/1	00.0020
05.	966	00.0390	966	00.0290
06.	967	00.0420	967	00.0320
11.	949	00.1980	949/1	00.1700
	—	—	949/2	00.0280

[No. L-14016/8/95-G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. मा. 1971.—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग-II खण्ड-3, उपखंड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. मा. संख्या 257(अ) दिनांक 24-03-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत

अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम सिगाचोली, तहसील कैलारस, जिला मुरैना के संबंध में थी, को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाये :—

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाये		
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
01.	524	00.1395	524/1	00.1395
06.	508	00.1615	508/2	00.1615
35.	279	00.1365	279/2	00.0205
	—	—	279/3	00.1160

[संख्या एल - 14016/8/95 - जी. पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1971.—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 257(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Singacholi, Tehsil Kailaras, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette			Be read as Corrected below	
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
01.	524	00.1375	524/1	00.1395
06.	508	00.1615	508/2	00.1615
35.	277	00.1365	279/2	00.1025
	—	—	279/3	00.1160

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. प्रा. 1972.— भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-96 के भाग II, खण्ड - 3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. प्रा. संख्या 257(अ) दिनांक 24-03-96 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम हटीपुरा, तहसील कैलारस जिला मुरैना के संबंध में थी, को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाये।

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाय		
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
1	2	3	4	5
1.	231	00.1305	231/2	00.1305
2.	234	00.0895	234/2	00.0895
3.	233	00.2460	233/1	00.2460
5.	251	00.1247	251/1	00.1247
12.	269	00.3360	139	00.3360

	2	3	4	5
13.	136	00.2794	136	00.2697
14.	135	00.0052	135	00.0069
15.	134	00.2565	134	00.2645
17.	107	00.4425	107	00.4410
18.	104	00.0025	104/1	00.0025
28.	68	00.0888	68/1	00.0888
35.	43	00.0357	43/1	00.0357

[संख्या एल - 14016/8/95 - जी. पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1972.—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. no. 257 (E) dated 24-03-96 published on 28-03-96 under sub section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Hatipura, Tehsil, Kailaras, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette			Be read as corrected below	
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey no.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
1.	231	0.1305	231/2	0.1305
2.	234	0.0895	234/2	0.0895
3.	233	0.2460	233/1	0.2460
5.	251	0.1247	251/1	0.1247
12.	269	0.3360	139	0.3360
13.	136	0.2794	136	0.2697
14.	135	0.0052	135	0.0069
15.	134	0.2565	134	0.2645
17.	107	0.4425	107	0.4410
18.	104	0.0025	104/1	0.0025
28.	68	0.0888	68/1	0.0888
35.	43	0.0357	43/1	0.0357

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. प्रा. 1973.— भारत के राजपत्र, दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग-II, खण्ड - 3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. प्रा. संख्या 257(अ) दिनांक 24-03-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम पवेष्ठा, तहसील कैलारस, जिला मुरैना के संबंध में थी, को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाए :—

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाए		
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
07.	300	00.1800	307	00.1800

[संख्या एल - 14016/8/95 - जी. पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1973 .— in the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 257(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Pachekha, Tehsil Kailaras, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
07.	300	00.1800	307	00.1800

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. भा. 1974.— भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग-II खण्ड-3 उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. भा. सं. 257(अ) दिनांक 24-3-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का भर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम बेरईमानगढ़, तहसील कैलारस जिला मुरैना के संबंध में थी, को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाए।

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाए		
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
17.	296	00.0475	196/1	00.0400
—	—	—	196/2	00.0075

[संख्या एन - 14016/8/95 - जी. पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1974 .—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 257(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum & Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Beraiman-garh, Tehsil Kailaras, District Morena be read as follows:—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
17.	296	00.0475	196/1	00.0400
—	—	—	196/2	00.0075

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. भा. 1975.— भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग-II, खण्ड-3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. भा. संख्या 272(अ) दिनांक 24-03-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का भर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम बुडरा, तहसील विजयपुरा जिला, मुरैना के संबंध में थी को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाये।

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाये		
क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
22.	1257	00.2110	1257	00.1300
—	—	—	1256	00.0710

[संख्या एन-14016/8/95-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1975 .—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 272(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum & Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Budera, Tehsil Vijaipur, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
22.	1257	00.2110	1257	00.1300
—	—	—	1256	00.0710

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. भा. 1976.— भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 21-04-95 के भाग-II, खण्ड-3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. भा. संख्या 372(अ) दिनांक 19-04-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का भर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के

अप्रतर्पित प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम सहस्रराम, तहसील विजयपुर, जिला मुरैता के संबंध में थी, को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जावे।

1	2	3	4	5
51.	1947	00.2235	1946	Combined survey no.
52.	1946	00.2100	1947	
—	—	—	1948	
58.	1818	00.1710	1819	00.1710

राजपत्र के अनुसार निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जावे

क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
20.	3040	00.1230	3040	00.0840
—	—	—	3039	00.0590
25.	3030	00.0048	3030 } 3029 }	00.0048 नामिल सं.
51.	1947	00.2235	1946	
52.	1946	00.2100	1947 } — } 1948 }	00.4335 नामिल सं.
—	—	—	1949	
58.	1818	00.1710	1819	00.1710

[No. L-14016/8/95—G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1996

का. धा. 1967.—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग II खण्ड-3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस संभालय, भारत सरकार के का. धा. संख्या 257 (ध) दिनांक 24-03-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईप लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अंतर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम हटीपुरा, तहसील केदारस, जिला मुरैता के संबंध में थी को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जावे।

[संख्या एल-14016/8/95-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 1976.—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 372(E) dated 19-4-95 published on 21-4-95 under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Sahasram, Tehsil Vijaipur, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette

Be read as corrected below

Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
20.	3040	00.1230	3040	00.0840
—	—	—	3039	00.0590
25.	3030	00.0048	3030 Combined survey no.	00.0048

राजपत्र के अनुसार निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जावे

क्र. सं.	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में
27.	72	0.2589	72/4	0.0600
—	—	—	72/5	0.0700
—	—	—	72/1	0.1289

[संख्या एल-14016/8/95-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1996

S.O. 1977.—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 257(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village

Hatipura, Tehsil Kallaras, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
27.	72	0.2589	72/4	0.0600
—	—	—	72/5	0.0700
—	—	—	72/1	0.1289

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1996

का. प्रा. 1978.—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग II खण्ड-3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. प्रा. संख्या 256 (घ) दिनांक 24-3-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईप लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का धर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अंतर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम आरेंटी तहसील जोरा जिला भुरैना के संबंध में की गयी निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जावे।

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जावे		
क्र. सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	
2.	331	0.2624	332/1	0.0430
—	—	—	332/2	0.1480
—	—	—	333	0.1050
6.	328	0.0150	334	0.1050
—	—	—	335	0.1050
10.	324	0.0170	336	0.0220
41.	111	0.0369	107	0.4180
42.	120	0.0495	—	—

[संख्या एल-14016/8/95 जी. पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1996

S.O. No. 1978.—In the Gazette of India, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 256(E) dated 24-3-95

published on 28-3-95 under sub section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Aarenti, Tehsil Jaura, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
2.	331	0.2624	332/1	0.0430
—	—	—	332/2	0.1480
—	—	—	333	0.1050
6.	328	0.0150	334	0.1050
—	—	—	335	0.1050
10.	324	0.0170	336	0.0220
41.	111	0.0369	107	0.4180
42.	120	0.0495	—	—

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1996

का. प्रा. 1979—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 28-03-95 के भाग II खण्ड-3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. प्रा. संख्या 256 (घ) दिनांक 24-3-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईप लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का धर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (i) के अंतर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम जोगपुरा तहसील जोरा जिला भुरैना के संबंध में की गयी निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जावे।

राजपत्र के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जावे		
क्र. सं. सर्वे	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टेयर में	
2.	336	0.1100	349	0.0980
—	—	—	350	0.0120
3.	335	0.2038	348	0.2038
4.	339	0.2085	351	0.2085
5.	337	0.2339	352	0.2339
6.	356	1.0501	360/1, 2	0.0400
—	—	—	360/3, 6, 7	0.2231
—	—	—	358/1, 2	0.0050
—	—	—	359	0.0480
—	—	—	358/3, 4, 5	0.2200
—	—	—	378	0.1480
—	—	—	377	0.3660
11.	309	1.1850	308/7	0.2820
—	—	—	308/1	0.2400
—	—	—	308/6	0.3290
—	—	—	308/4	0.3340

[संख्या एल-14016/8/95 जी. पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1996

S.O. 1979.—In the Gazette of India Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 256(E) dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Jogipura, Tehsil Jaura, District Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette		Be read as corrected below		
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
2.	336	0.1100	349	0.0980
	—	—	350	0.0120
3.	335	0.2038	348	0.2038
4.	339	0.2685	351	0.2685
5.	337	0.2339	352	0.2339
6.	356	1.0501	360/1, 2	0.0400
	—	—	360/5, 6, 7	0.2231
	—	—	358/1, 2	0.0050
	—	—	359	0.0480
	—	—	358/3, 4, 5	0.2200
	—	—	378	0.1480
	—	—	377	0.3660
11.	309	1.1850	308/7	0.2820
	—	—	308/1	0.2400
	—	—	308/6	0.3290
	—	—	308/4	0.3340

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

संशोधन

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1996

का. प्रा. 1980 — भारत के राजपक्ष दिनांक 28-3-95 के भाग II खण्ड -3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के का. प्रा. संख्या 256 (प्र) दिनांक 24-3-95 से पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईप लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अधिनियम) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (i) के अंतर्गत प्रकाशित अधिसूचना जो कि ग्राम धुरकुंडा

सहसील जौरा, जिला मुरैना के संबंध में श्री को निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जाए।

राजपक्ष के अनुसार		निम्न संशोधन के अनुसार पढ़ा जाये।	
क्रम सं.	सर्वे संख्या क्षेत्रफल हे. में	सर्वे संख्या	क्षेत्रफल हे. में
10.	917 0.0048	917/2	0.0048
15.	506 0.0517	506/3	0.0050
—	—	506/4	0.0117
—	—	506/5	0.0350
28.	516 0.1230	516	0.1670
29.	406 0.3282	406/2	0.0700
—	—	406/3	0.2410
—	—	406/1	0.0730
30.	405 0.1883	—	—
31.	404 0.3839	404/2	0.1160
—	—	404/1	0.3450
36.	400 0.0523	400	0.0637

[संख्या एन-14016/8/95 जी पी]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1996

S.O. 1980 .—In the Gazette of India, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 256 (E) Dated 24-3-95 published on 28-3-95 under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) in respect of village Dhurkunda, Tehsil Jaura, Distt. Morena be read as follows :—

As per Gazette			Be read as corrected below	
Sr. No.	Survey No.	Area in Hectare	Survey No.	Area in Hectare
1	2	3	4	5
10	917	0.0048	917/2	0.0048
15	506	0.0517	506/3	0.0050
	—	—	506/4	0.0117
	—	—	506/5	0.0350
28	516	0.1230	516	0.1670
29	406	0.3282	406/2	0.0700
	—	—	406/3	0.2410
	—	—	406/1	0.0730
30	405	0.1883	—	—
31	404	0.3839	404/2	0.1160
	—	—	404/1	0.3450
36	400	0.0523	400	0.0637

[No. L-14016/8/95 G.P.]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 05 जुलाई, 1996

का.आ. 1981.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना आवश्यक है कि पश्चिमी बंगाल राज्य के हल्दिया से बिहार राज्य के बरौनी तक पेट्रोलियम (क्रूड) के परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाए;

और यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करना आवश्यक है;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है।

उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस तारीख से, जिसको, राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियां साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, 21 दिन के भीतर भूमि के बीच पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने संबंधी लिखित रूप में आक्षेप श्री जी. एन. अखौरी, ज्येष्ठ संपर्क अधिकारी (प्रतिनियुक्ति पर) द्वारा इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, हल्दिया बरौनी क्रूड पाइपलाइन परियोजना, बामपस टाइन, झांसागोरी, देवधर-814 114 (बिहार) को कर सकेगा।

अनुसूची

अंचल : नाला		जिला : दुमका		राज्य : बिहार	
गांव	थाना संख्या	प्लॉट संख्या	हेक्टेयर	क्षेत्र आरे	सेन्टी आरे
1	2	3	4	5	6
परसी	14	55	0	6	48
		58	0	0	81
		57	0	5	67
		56	0	5	67
		52	0	0	81
		65	0	6	48
		68	0	0	40
		67	0	0	81
		63	0	2	83
		114	0	1	62
		189	0	7	28
		190	0	1	21
		186	0	8	9
		211	0	0	40
		185	0	4	45
		188	0	17	40
		212	0	0	40
		227	0	0	40
		228	0	2	43
		184	0	2	2
		229	0	12	14
		229/617	0	2	43
		230	0	2	2
		244	0	3	24
		243	0	0	81
		240	0	0	81
		247	0	3	64
		335	0	7	69
		239	0	2	2
		336	0	0	40
		337	0	5	26
		338	0	1	62
		339	0	2	2
कारं पूजा	15	541	0	20	64
		542	0	4	45

1	2	3	4	5	6
ढार पूजा—(जारी)	15	599	0	6	7
		598	0	7	28
		597	0	2	43
		588	0	2	43
		587	0	0	81
		596	0	2	2
		608	0	0	40
		609	0	1	62
		594	0	1	21
		595	0	1	21
		593	0	0	81
		610	0	4	5
		611	0	0	81
		612	0	1	62
		592	0	0	40
		613	0	4	5
		614	0	0	81
		657	0	3	64
		658	0	0	81
अम्बाबाँक	13	1171	0	1	62
		1172	0	2	43
		1173	0	2	83
		1174	0	2	2
		1175	0	2	2
		1183	0	6	48
		1184	0	1	21
		1885	0	2	2
		1048	0	1	62
		1130	0	2	2
		1127	0	3	24
		1126	0	5	67
		1125	0	1	21
		1124	0	26	71
		1111	0	0	81
		1112	0	2	2
		1117	0	0	40
		1113	0	4	5
		1300	0	16	99
		1243	0	10	12
		1242	0	1	2
		1245	0	5	67
		1240	0	1	62
		1230	0	1	62
छुमरिया	21	802	0	1	21
		817	0	16	99
		818	0	6	7
		809	0	0	40
		810	0	0	40
		816	0	6	88
		815	0	1	62
		786	0	12	55
		792	0	0	40
		793	0	0	40
		790	0	0	40
		789	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
हुमरिया—(जारी)	21	787	0	5	67
		788	0	3	24
		774	0	9	71
		773	0	1	21
		609	0	7	28
		772	0	3	64
		735	0	2	83
		734	0	4	5
		736	0	0	40
		732	0	0	40
		733	0	9	71
		730	0	1	62
		641	0	0	81
		1578	0	2	2
		729	0	6	7
		724	0	2	83
		725	0	1	62
		723	0	4	5
		726	0	2	2
		722	0	2	43
		649	0	3	24
		1582	0	6	7
		659	0	0	40
		657	0	2	83
		658	0	0	81
		656	0	4	45
		655	0	0	40
		474	0	5	67
		473	0	2	43
		472	0	2	83
		470	0	9	71
		468	0	0	81
		469	0	0	40
		467	0	0	40
		1415	0	6	88
		1397	0	5	26
		1399	0	5	26
		1400	0	0	40
		1394	0	5	67
		1472	0	8	90
		1473	0	3	64
		1471	0	3	64
		1469	0	3	24
		1478	0	1	62
		1480	0	0	81
		1481	0	1	62
		1468	0	0	40
		1467	0	0	40
		1482	0	8	90
		1476	0	6	48
		1510	0	5	67
		1508	0	1	62
		1509	0	0	81
		1507	0	4	45
		1506	1	1	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
कुमरिया—(जारी)	21	1553	0	3	64
		1554	0	4	86
		1552	0	0	81
		1555	0	0	81
		1558	0	5	26
		1559	0	0	40
		1560	0	9	31
		1561	0	6	88
करमातार	20	01	0	7	28
		17	0	2	83
		02	0	15	38
		12	0	1	62
		04	0	2	83
		05	0	2	43
		106	0	1	21
		109	0	10	93
		110	0	10	52
		117	0	5	26
		118	0	1	62
		119	0	2	43
दुमदुमी	22	322	0	8	50
		330	0	0	40
		333	0	0	81
		338	0	0	81
		360	0	1	21
		362	0	1	62
		361	0	1	21
		365	0	7	28
		364	0	0	40
बाबूडीह	25	291	0	7	69
		755	0	1	62
		289	0	4	5
		299	0	6	88
		301	0	2	43
		267	0	0	40
		336	0	21	4
		304	0	6	7
		338	0	8	90
		340	0	3	64
		341	0	4	86
		339	0	0	40
		342	0	0	40
		343	0	1	21
		345	0	3	24
		344	0	11	74
		346	0	2	2
जालीन	19	600	0	29	54
		598	0	2	83
		609	0	5	67
		608	0	4	86
		610	0	2	43
		607	0	1	21
		611	0	7	28
		611/1143	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
लाकड़ा कुंदा	43	01	0	0	40
		33	0	2	2
		31	0	6	7
		32	0	3	24
		30	0	4	86
		95	0	1	21
		28	0	0	81
		24	0	6	7
		19	0	12	14
		25	0	0	81
		20	0	2	43
		22	0	1	21
		18	0	3	24
		17	0	3	64
		16	0	0	81
		112	0	6	48
		113	0	14	57
		120	0	6	48
		119	0	1	21
		118	0	3	64
		115	0	4	5
		114	0	5	67
		116	0	2	43
		195	0	1	62
		225	0	4	5
		241	0	4	5
		242	0	2	83
		243	0	8	90
		244	0	1	62
		245	0	8	50
		258	0	4	45
		256	0	13	76
		269	0	7	69
माझीलाडी	44	265	0	2	2
		257	0	7	28
		259	0	0	40
		267	0	0	40
		268	0	5	67
		266	0	4	5
		301	0	4	81
		300	0	0	43
		303	0	2	43
		293	0	2	24
		294	0	3	81
		292	0	0	40
		291	0	5	67
		312	0	6	48
		330	0	3	64
		328	0	2	2
		329	0	1	62
		325	0	0	81
		331	0	2	43
		332	0	10	12
चरकमार	46	01	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
चरकमार—(जारी)	46	02	0	1	62
		03	0	4	5
		04	0	5	67
खमरचाक	45	96	0	0	40
		112	0	3	24
		113	0	3	64
		114	0	2	43
		115	0	2	43
		111	0	3	24
		117	0	15	38
		110	0	6	48
		109	0	5	67
		108	0	0	40
		155	0	17	81
		156	0	3	64
		157	0	0	40
		154	0	0	40
		152	0	13	76
		151	0	4	5
		150	0	2	43
		149	0	7	28
		148	0	1	62
		147	0	2	83
		125/235	0	0	81
		125	0	2	2
		126	0	0	40
		143	0	14	97
		134	0	12	14
		136	0	2	2
		137	0	0	81
सेमलहूबी	12	01	0	7	28
		02	0	0	40
		08	0	1	62
		10/1671	0	3	24
		09	0	2	83
		10	0	1	62
		12	0	0	40
		11	0	5	26
		15	0	0	40
		16	0	5	67
		17	0	3	24
		154	0	5	67
		153	0	0	40
		152	0	9	31
		150	0	0	81
		151	0	7	69
		238	0	0	81
		239	0	2	83
		240	0	2	83
		242	0	3	24
		244	0	3	24
		243	0	2	83
		245	0	3	24
		246	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
सेमलडूबी—(जारी)	12	247	0	1	62
		248	0	0	81
		272	0	23	7
		146	0	7	69
		273	0	0	81
		327	0	2	43
		326	0	2	43
		333	0	8	90
		332	0	4	86
		331	0	9	71
		139	0	2	43
		137	0	10	52
		399	0	5	67
		406	0	2	2
		427	0	1	62
		429	0	2	43
		421	0	8	9
		424	0	0	81
		418	0	0	40
		419	0	1	62
		420	0	0	81
		568	0	7	28
		567	0	2	83
		562	0	2	43
		565	0	2	43
		590	0	0	40
		591	0	1	21
		593	0	2	43
		606	0	0	40
		594	0	0	40
		608	0	0	40
		602	0	4	86
		648	0	5	67
		629	0	6	7
		645	0	0	81
		646	0	2	43
		628	0	3	24
		615	0	4	45
		616	0	0	40
		622	0	0	81
उदालपुरी	4	810	0	0	81
		913	0	0	40
		914	0	2	83
		919	0	16	19
		920	0	6	48
		921	0	0	40
		931	0	6	48
		929	0	2	43
		930	0	8	9
		1047	0	6	88
		1048	0	19	43
		1045	0	0	81
		1055	0	1	21
		1049	0	7	28

1	2	3	4	5	6
उदालपुरी—(जारी)	4	1053	0	9	31
		1054	0	0	81
		1135	0	3	24
		1183	0	2	2
		1384	0	2	43
		1381	0	4	5
		1382	0	0	40
		1380	0	0	81
		1379	0	1	62
		1377	0	0	40
		1378	0	6	7
		1370	0	2	43
		1371	0	0	40
		1369	0	1	21
		1368	0	5	67
		1326	0	7	69
		1325	0	1	62
		1324	0	1	62
		1323	0	1	62
		1322	0	0	81
		1310	0	0	81
		1309	0	1	21
		1308	0	0	81
		1311	0	0	40
		1307	0	1	21
		1306	0	1	21
		1305	0	1	62
		1301	0	2	83
		1299	0	3	24
		1291	0	5	67
		1292	0	0	40
		1293	0	0	40
		1294	0	0	40
		1288	0	2	43
		1287	0	0	40
		1286	0	4	5
		1285	0	1	62
		1280	0	0	40
		1284	0	0	81
		1282	0	2	2
		1283	0	4	5
		1237	0	0	81
		1224	0	2	2
		1232	0	6	48
		1235	0	0	40
		1233	0	1	62
		1234	0	3	24
		1566	0	0	81
		1564	0	0	40
		1567	0	4	5
		1574	0	0	40
		1568	0	2	83
		1573	0	3	24
		1576	0	3	24
		1575	0	4	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
उदालपुरी—(जारी)	4	1585	0	0	81
		1559	0	2	2
		1515	0	4	86
		1560	0	3	64
		1558	0	4	5
		1557	0	0	40
		1612	0	3	64
		1609	0	1	62
		1625	0	1	62
		1626	0	7	28
		1627	0	3	64
मन्नीहारी	5	705	0	4	5
		706	0	4	5
		710	0	1	21
		711	0	5	67
		712	0	0	40
		732	0	0	40
		713	0	1	21
		714	0	1	62
		728	0	2	43
		729	0	8	9
		740	0	6	7
		743	0	6	88
		744	0	14	57
		750	0	4	45
		749	0	0	81
		752	0	25	9
		754	0	1	62
		846	0	10	52
		847	0	4	5
		851	0	4	5
		850	0	3	24
		852	0	2	43
		853/968	0	1	62
		853	0	2	2
		854	0	2	2
		855	0	3	64
		856	0	3	24
		882	0	18	21
		857	0	0	81
		885	0	0	40
		882/967	0	2	83
		863	0	0	81
		867	0	0	40
		869	0	3	24
सुन्दरपुर	6	272	0	5	67
		275	0	0	40
		274/343	0	0	40
		273	0	6	48
		274	0	8	90
		274/351	0	0	40
		264/346	0	3	24
		264	0	22	66
		214/347	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
सुन्दरपुर—(जारी)	6	231/336	0	21	45
		232	0	3	64
		255	0	8	9
जानकुडी	7	84	0	0	40
		85	0	0	81
		188	0	8	50
		187	0	0	40
		177	0	7	28
		175	0	13	36
		174	0	1	62
		173	0	0	40
		127	0	2	2
		160	0	4	5
		161	0	3	64
		619	0	1	21
		162	0	7	69
		141	0	7	69
		135	0	0	40
		131	0	3	64
		142	0	6	7
		130	0	2	2
		62	0	1	21
		61	0	3	24
		67	0	2	43
		84	0	0	40
		68	0	6	48
		83	0	2	83
		69	0	2	43
		70	0	2	2
		71	0	0	40
		73	0	0	40
		74	0	2	2
		76	0	0	40
		75	0	1	21
		465	0	15	78
		468	0	0	81
		469	0	2	83
		458	0	5	67
		455	0	3	64
		457	0	0	81
		456	0	2	83
		453	0	1	62
		452	0	2	2
		454	0	0	40
		451	0	4	5
		450	0	2	2
		500	0	1	62
		486	0	0	40
		485	0	4	86
		487	0	3	64
		488	0	0	81
		490	0	6	88
		493	0	1	21
		491	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
जालकुडी—(जारी)	7	497	0	3	24
		496	0	2	43
		495	0	2	83
साहरपुर	3	225	0	4	5
		226	0	0	40
		231	0	7	28
		232	0	4	86
		230	0	3	24
		233	0	5	67
		221	0	0	81
		234	0	0	40
		320	0	6	88
		236	0	1	62
		235	0	4	86
		244	0	0	81
		308	0	2	2
		309	0	0	40
		319	0	7	28
		310	0	0	40
		311	0	0	40
		312	0	0	40
		393	0	1	21
		391	0	16	19
		391/410	0	0	40
		388	0	5	67
		387	0	5	67
कालीपठार	4	220	0	3	64
		219	0	0	40
		223	0	0	40
		221	0	4	86
		222	0	4	86
		218	0	0	81
		255	0	3	24
		257	0	4	86
		260	0	3	64
		259	0	0	40
		215	0	0	81
		261	0	4	86
		520	0	72	4
		599	0	11	74
		261	0	6	7
		600	0	12	95
		277	0	0	81
		278	0	1	21
		521	0	12	95
सुमरिया	10	02	0	28	33
		07	0	1	21
		89	0	24	69
		88	0	2	2
		77	0	0	40
		87	0	6	7
		93	0	3	64
		104	0	1	21
		105	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
झुमरिया—(जारी)	10	103	0	3	64
		102	0	5	67
		101	0	2	2
		99	0	0	81
		100	0	4	45
		1005/1008	0	1	62
देवली	19	997	0	6	48
		996	0	0	40
		995	0	1	62
		01	0	67	99
		936	0	7	28
		954/2995	0	2	43
		949	0	2	83
		937	0	0	40
		948	0	5	67
		947	0	0	40
		945	0	0	81
		944	0	2	43
		942	0	6	88
		943	0	0	81
		918	0	3	64
		917	0	1	62
		282	0	7	69
		280	0	4	5
		284	0	2	2
		283	0	0	81
		277	0	1	62
		276	0	5	26
		214	0	0	40
		215	0	1	21
		216	0	3	24
		275	0	0	40
		217	0	2	2
		220	0	0	40
		274	0	1	21
		273	0	1	62
		272	0	3	24
		264	0	0	40
		270	0	3	24
		269	0	3	24
		268	0	11	33
		509	0	1	21
		510	0	1	62
		516	0	4	45
		517	0	2	43
		515	0	0	81
		513	0	9	31
		524	0	2	43
		522	0	0	40
		523	0	2	43
		487	0	0	40
		488	0	8	50
		489	0	2	43
		484	0	4	45

1	2	3	4	5	6
देवली—(जारी)	19	486	0	0	81
		485	0	0	81
		465	0	0	81
		464	0	4	5
		452	0	1	62
		461	0	1	62
		457	0	0	81
		458	0	0	81
		455	0	0	81
		456	0	2	2
		555	0	6	7
		554	0	2	43
		556	0	0	40
		553	0	3	24
		570	0	2	43
		569	0	0	40
		552	0	4	5
		571	0	2	43
		1918	0	2	83
		1917	0	4	5
		1916	0	2	2
		595	0	2	43
		596	0	0	40
		45	0	3	24
		2025	0	4	86
		1903	0	2	83
		1900	0	1	21
		1901	0	1	62
		1902	0	1	62
		1907	0	2	43
		1905	0	1	21
		1896	0	1	62
		1906	0	5	26
		1894	0	5	67
		1882	0	3	24
		1890	0	3	24
		1805	0	2	83
		1806	0	3	24
		1810	0	4	45
		1813	0	1	21
		1811	0	0	40
		1812	0	0	81
		1814	0	5	67
		1815	0	0	40
		1831	0	12	95
		1822	0	0	40
		1823	0	2	2
		1830	0	2	83
		1824	0	6	48
		1827	0	4	86
		1871	0	0	81
		1872	0	8	50
		1874	0	8	9
		1876	0	0	40
		1571	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
देवली—(जारी)	19	2329	0	1	62
		2149	0	4	86
		2154	0	2	43
		2155	0	1	62
		2153	0	0	40
		2156	0	14	57
		2142	0	8	50
		2205	0	1	21
		2208	0	19	43
		2256	0	1	62
		2255	0	0	40
		2252	0	8	9
		2253	0	2	43
		2245	0	3	24
		2248	0	2	83
		2249	0	4	86
		2250	0	8	50
		2222	0	3	24
		2221	0	1	62
		2118	0	8	9
		2217	0	0	40
		2232	0	0	81
राख	26	01	0	1	21
		02	0	7	28
		404	0	2	2
		451	0	8	90
		458	0	0	81
		459	0	1	21
		460	0	1	21
		461	0	6	7
		464	0	0	40
		462	0	1	21
		463	0	1	21
		482	0	1	62
		483	0	1	21
		484	0	0	40
		481	0	0	81
		480	0	2	43
		486	0	0	81
		479	0	1	21
		470	0	2	43
		478	0	0	81
		471	0	1	62
		475	0	1	21
		474	0	0	40
		476	0	0	81
		473	0	0	81
		472	0	1	62
		659	0	2	2
		660	0	0	40
		661	0	2	43
		662	0	0	81
		656	0	4	86
		663	0	0	81
		655	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
राख—(जारी)	26	651	0	0	40
		650	0	3	24
		643	0	4	5
		642	1	1	21
		645	0	0	40
		644	0	0	40
		641	0	1	62
		640	0	4	5
		639	0	2	43
		633	0	1	62
		630	0	4	86
		632	0	0	40
		628	0	0	81
		629	0	6	7
		616	0	1	21
		617	0	2	43
		615	0	0	40
		618	0	4	5
		620	0	0	40
		610	0	2	43
		609	0	0	40
		768	0	4	86
		605	0	2	83
		604	0	0	40
		606	0	1	21
		607	0	0	40
		785	0	4	45
		781	0	8	9
		782	0	0	81
		777	0	11	33
		776	0	12	14
		770	0	16	19
		773	0	4	86
		772	0	0	81
		774	0	4	45
		769	0	1	21
बामण्डी	17	1030	0	8	9
		4031	0	14	16
		1099	0	77	70
		1080	0	1	21
		907	0	2	43
		906	0	2	2
		908	0	2	2
		909	0	2	2
		911	0	4	5
		910	0	4	5
		903	0	0	40
		901	0	1	21
		898	0	4	86
		899	0	0	81
		894	0	6	88
		896	0	4	86
		895	0	11	74

1	2	3	4	5	6
बामण्डी—(जारी)	17	894	0	2	43
		1098	0	7	69
		891	0	4	86
खुरियम	16	154	0	12	14
		153	0	14	16
		169	0	0	40
		152	0	8	9
		317	0	0	40
		323	0	1	62
		324	0	2	2
		331	0	5	67
		330	0	3	64
		326	0	2	43
		329	0	2	43
		328	0	4	5
		335	0	2	43
		336	0	0	40
		333	0	3	64
		334	0	2	2
		345	0	0	81
		346	0	1	62
		344	0	6	7
		555	0	4	5
		556	0	0	81
		557	0	4	45
		551	0	1	62
		559	0	1	62
		588	0	2	43
		587	0	0	81
		586	0	0	40
		589	0	1	62
		585	0	4	5
		594	0	16	19
		580	0	1	62
		579	0	4	5
		578	0	3	64
		577	0	1	62
		599	0	9	71
		696	0	2	2
		695	0	0	81
		692	0	4	5
		693	0	6	7
		687	0	1	21
		691	0	0	40
		690	0	4	45
		689	0	6	7
		705	0	8	9
		715	0	2	43
		716	0	3	24
		683	0	12	14
		814	0	2	2
		802	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
खुरियम—(जारी)	16	815	0	0	40
		800	0	3	64
		801	0	3	24
		805	0	0	40
		799	0	7	28
		797	0	1	62
		793	0	2	2
		794	0	4	45
		787	0	3	24
		790	0	0	40
		789	0	4	86
		788	0	1	21
		846	0	2	2
		785	0	4	5
		940	0	4	45
		946	0	6	7
		942	0	20	64
		948	0	23	47
		939	0	0	40
		936	0	0	40
		938	0	4	86
		938/1002	0	1	21
		937	0	0	40
सालदाही	27	74	0	5	26
		72	0	2	2
		76	0	36	42
		95	0	2	83
		77	0	6	48
		97	0	5	67
		98	0	2	2
		99	0	2	83
अंचल—कुण्डा हीट		जिला—दुमका		राज्य—बिहार	
गांव	धाना संख्या	प्लॉट संख्या	हेक्टेयर	क्षेत्र आरे	सेन्टी आरे
1	2	3	4	5	6
सुलगा	11	800	0	5	67
		797	0	2	83
		798	0	2	2
		314/845	0	2	2
		799	0	1	21
		614	0	63	13
		745	0	0	40
		744	0	8	9
		743	0	12	95
		742	0	0	81
		731	0	1	62
		730	0	6	48
		734	0	1	62
		733	0	3	24
		732	0	6	48
		704	0	4	86
		705	0	3	24
		709	0	0	40
		712	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
सुलगा—(जारी)	11	713	0	8	50
		694	0	0	40
		693	0	0	40
		692	0	1	21
		691	0	2	43
		689	0	6	7
		690	0	4	86
शिबराम	14	670	0	1	62
		666	0	4	45
		671	0	3	24
		678	0	0	81
		677	0	4	86
		679	0	1	21
		653	0	1	62
		684	0	0	40
		683	0	0	81
		682	0	2	43
		681	0	2	2
		680	0	1	21
		685	0	0	40
		686	0	0	81
		1127	0	0	40
		695	0	0	40
		697	0	3	64
		696	0	3	64
		593	0	0	40
		594	0	3	24
		595	0	0	40
		598	0	0	81
		597	0	2	43
		596	0	2	2
		614	0	4	5
		559	0	3	64
		560	0	2	42
		564	0	0	40
		563	0	5	67
		562	0	0	40
		561	0	4	86
		496	0	3	24
		776	0	8	9
		493	0	0	40
		778	0	2	43
		779	0	1	21
		781	0	8	90
		775	0	1	21
		782	0	1	62
		784	0	0	40
		868	0	0	81
		863	0	2	2
		861	0	1	21
		862	0	0	81
		860	0	1	21
		859	0	3	24
		858	0	4	45

1	2	3	4	5	6
शिवराम—(जारी)	14	856	0	0	8
		850	0	0	40
		857	0	4	45
		862	0	0	81
		847	0	6	48
रंभूकडी	13	246	0	7	69
		248	0	2	83
		249	0	1	62
		245	0	0	81
		244	0	1	62
		237	0	0	81
		238	0	1	62
		239	0	0	40
		235	0	2	2
		234	0	3	24
		229	0	0	40
		233	0	1	21
		232	0	0	81
		231	0	3	64
		226	0	0	40
		218	0	2	83
		216	0	0	40
		215	0	0	40
		217	0	14	57
		158	0	1	62
		162	0	5	67
		164	0	2	83
		165	0	2	43
		169	0	0	40
		166	0	0	40
		163	0	0	40
		168	0	1	21
		167	0	1	21
		170	0	1	62
		171	0	1	21
		172	0	0	81
		173	0	0	81
		175	0	0	40
		174	0	5	67
		308	0	2	2
		355	0	2	2
		354	0	1	21
		357	0	0	40
		356	0	0	81
		353	0	0	40
		319	0	0	40
		309	0	47	75
		877	0	0	81
		878	0	25	90
		876	0	1	62
		981	0	6	88
		2380	0	0	40
		907	0	0	40
		908	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
ढङ्गुङ्गडी—(जारी)	13	909	0	0	81
		910	0	4	5
		912	0	3	24
		947	0	0	40
		948	0	1	62
		940	0	0	40
		941	0	0	40
		942	0	0	40
		946	0	0	81
		945	0	0	40
		944	0	0	81
		1027	0	4	5
		1025	0	0	40
		1026	0	0	81
		1029	0	0	40
		1034	0	0	81
		1035	0	0	81
		1036	0	2	2
		1101	0	1	62
		1076	0	3	24
		1075	0	0	40
		1083	0	3	24
		1078	0	4	5
		1077	0	0	40
		1079	0	0	81
		1080	0	1	21
		1081	0	0	40
		1182	0	6	7
		1153	0	0	40
		1152	0	1	62
		1151	0	1	62
		1150	0	0	40
		1154	0	3	64
		1157	0	1	62
		1158	0	4	5
		1165	0	0	40
		1206	0	5	67
		1195	0	2	83
		1194	0	2	2
		1193	0	1	62
		1192	0	0	40
		1191	0	0	81
		1190	0	1	21
		1189	0	1	21
		1188	0	0	81
		1187	0	2	43
		1185	0	0	81
		1186	0	2	2
पहारगौरा	33	02	0	3	24
		04	0	12	95
		03	0	4	86
		54	0	3	24
		53	0	0	81
		59	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
पहारगौरा—(जारी)	33	56	0	0	40
		57	0	4	5
		58	0	8	50
		55	0	12	14
		63	0	3	64
		66	0	3	24
		67	0	2	83
		68	0	2	2
		69	0	2	2
		201	0	2	83
		196	0	1	21
		193	0	1	21
		202	0	3	64
		190	0	3	24
		203	0	1	21
		204	0	6	48
		207	0	3	24
		217	0	1	62
		216	0	0	81
		215	0	0	81
		214	0	1	62
		213	0	1	21
		201	0	2	43
		206	0	1	62
		212	0	1	62
		211	0	2	2
		210	0	1	62
		208	0	3	24
		209	0	3	24
		176	0	2	43
		386	0	3	24
		384	0	0	40
		387	0	2	2
		385	0	2	43
		382	0	0	40
		381	0	0	40
		388	0	8	90
		389	0	1	21
		390	0	2	2
		393	0	2	2
		395	0	2	43
		394	0	0	40
		397	0	2	83
		399	0	1	62
		400	0	0	40
		401	0	3	24
		402	0	2	2
		412	0	2	2
		413	0	0	40
		411	0	0	40
		414	0	2	43
		417	0	0	40
		420	0	1	21
		360	0	2	2
		358	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
पहारगोरा—(जारी)	33	356	0	0	40
		355	0	1	21
		354	0	2	43
		353	0	2	43
		352	0	1	21
		347	0	3	24
		431	0	1	21
		430	0	1	62
		433	0	2	43
		435	0	2	43
		442	0	1	62
		443	0	0	81
		449	0	0	81
		454	0	4	5
		466	0	1	21
		457	0	1	21
		458	0	0	81
		459	0	0	40
		464	0	4	86
		460	0	4	45
कून्डाहिट	42	591	0	1	62
		589	0	1	21
		593	0	4	5
		592	0	4	5
		594	0	0	81
		687	0	13	76
		604	0	1	21
		556	0	0	40
		607	0	2	83
		608	0	4	86
		609	0	4	45
		610	0	3	64
		547	0	7	28
		493	0	3	24
		467	0	19	2
		656	0	2	43
		657	0	3	24
		658	0	3	24
		660	0	4	45
		727	0	4	45
		726	0	4	5
		725	0	2	43
		724	0	4	5
		667	0	4	45
		668	0	10	12
		669	0	2	43
		672	0	2	2
		673	0	3	24
		678	0	1	21
		673	0	1	21
		679	0	4	45
		698	0	0	40
		694	0	0	40
		704	0	0	40
		699	0	6	7

1	2	3	4	5	6
कून्हाहिट—(जारी)	42	700	0	2	43
		693	0	6	48
		691	0	2	83
		689	0	0	81
		692	0	0	81
		688	0	6	48
		759	0	2	2
		999	0	29	95
		993	0	3	24
		988	0	5	67
		989	0	11	74
		987	0	0	81
		986	0	10	52
		1009	0	4	86
		1008	0	0	40
		981	0	4	86
		980	0	1	62
		979	0	4	86
		978	0	9	31
		977	0	0	81
		975	0	6	48
		976	0	0	81
		973	0	2	43
		1939	0	4	5
		1938	0	3	64
		1935	0	6	48
		1936	0	5	67
		1961	0	5	26
		1960	0	6	48
		1962	0	1	62
		1963	0	0	40
		1959	0	2	43
		1958	0	6	48
		1957	0	5	67
		1955	0	0	81
बाण सोला	47	527	0	0	40
		526	0	1	62
		525	0	4	86
		523	0	6	48
		528	0	4	86
		524	0	0	81
		611	0	1	62
		612	0	2	2
		618	0	0	40
		617	0	1	62
		616	0	2	2
		620	0	1	62
		622	0	0	40
		621	0	1	21
		623	0	0	40
		591	0	0	40
		592	0	0	40
		600	0	0	40
		598	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
नाम सोला—(जारी)	47	597	0	2	43
		596	0	2	2
		595	0	0	40
		571	0	0	40
		570	0	2	2
		569	0	0	40
		1331	0	1	62
		1449	0	1	21
		1452	0	4	86
		1451	0	5	26
		1450	0	5	26
		1411	0	0	81
		1410	0	1	21
		1453	0	0	40
		1454	0	0	81
		1455	0	2	83
		1457	0	0	81
		1456	0	1	62
		1438	0	1	21
		1458	0	2	2
		1469	0	0	40
		1475	0	0	81
		1476	0	0	40
		1473	0	0	40
		1472	0	0	40
		1471	0	0	40
		1467	0	0	40
		1470	0	17	81
		1526	0	0	40
		1525	0	1	62
		1524	0	2	83
		1523	0	1	21
		1522	0	4	86
		1544	0	1	21
		1543	0	3	24
		1542	0	0	40
		1541	0	1	21
		1540	0	1	62
		1545	0	0	40
		1539	0	9	71
		1536	0	3	24
		1534	0	1	62
		0333	0	5	67
		0316	0	4	45
		313	0	5	26
		315	0	0	40
		312	0	1	21
		311	0	2	83
		310	0	2	2
		309	0	0	40
		308	0	2	2
		306	0	0	40
		304	0	5	67
		292	0	8	9
		291	0	8	9

1	2	3	4	5	6
बाबसोला—(जारी)	47	295	0	0	40
		293	0	0	40
		294	0	7	28
		191	0	2	2
जितुरहीर	48	20	0	0	40
		19	0	9	71
		02	0	3	24
		01	0	14	57
		5	0	3	24
		4	0	3	64
		6	0	7	28
		7	0	4	5
		145	0	23	88
		142	0	0	40
		141	0	0	40
		140	0	0	81
		134	0	0	40
		138	0	6	48
		137	0	0	81
		136	0	2	2
		135	0	0	40
		199	0	7	69
		208	0	1	21
		207	0	4	5
		206	0	4	5
		205	0	2	83
		204	0	1	62
		509	0	1	21
बनकटी	46	854	0	0	40
		853	0	0	81
		852	0	0	40
		855	0	4	5
		850	0	3	24
		856	0	0	40
		844	0	3	64
		843	0	0	40
		842	0	0	40
		841	0	0	40
		840	0	2	83
		837	0	5	67
		836	0	0	40
		827	0	5	67
		829	0	5	67
पालाजोरी	12	203	0	1	21
		204	0	0	81
		205	0	0	40
		206	0	2	83
		209	0	0	40
		201	0	5	67
		207	0	1	62
		208	0	2	43
		195	0	4	45
		194	0	2	2
		173	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
पालाजोरी—(जारी)	12	177	0	0	40
		241	0	1	21
		240	0	0	40
		171	0	0	40
		172	0	8	9
		170	0	0	40
		169	0	12	14
		156	0	1	62
		157	0	4	5
		158	0	1	62
		160	0	4	5
		161	0	8	9
		162	0	3	64
		123	0	2	83
		124	0	13	36
		118	0	11	74
		117	0	2	83
		114	0	0	40
		113	0	4	5
		111	0	0	40
		102	0	2	43
		101	0	3	64
		98	0	5	26
		97	0	10	93
		1486	0	2	43
		1487	0	1	21
		1485	0	10	12
		1491	0	3	64
		1494	0	4	5
		1493	0	3	24
		1496	0	4	86
		1507	0	2	43
		1508	0	4	45
		1509	0	0	81
		1511	0	2	2
		1512	0	2	43
		1513	0	0	81
		1516	0	5	26
		1514	0	2	43
		1518	0	0	81
		1517	0	1	62
		1522	0	2	43
		1521	0	20	24
		1524	0	6	48
		1526	0	19	83
		1609	0	0	40
		1610	0	6	48
		1611	0	4	5
		1612	0	2	43
		1613	0	1	62
		1614	0	0	81
		1615	0	0	40
		1616	0	6	88
		1617	0	7	28
		1609	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
पालाजोरी—(जारी)	12	1632	0	7	69
		1631	0	2	83
		1636	0	1	21
		1634	0	10	12
		1643	0	1	21
		1644	0	18	21
		1651	0	4	5
		1653	0	0	40
		1652	0	4	45
गरगुरी	10	2430	0	1	21
		2429	0	1	21
		2428	0	3	64
		2438	0	4	86
		2440	0	0	40
		2448	0	0	40
		2447	0	0	40
		2402	0	10	12
		2462	0	7	69
		2464	0	11	33
		2465	0	1	21
		2466	0	0	81
		2461	0	0	81
प्रसादपुर	19	01	0	0	81
		46	0	2	2
		45	0	1	62
		41	0	6	48
		43	0	2	2
		42	0	0	81
		80	0	8	9
		82	0	0	40
		81	0	0	81
		95	0	8	90
		31	0	2	43
		30	0	0	81
		29	0	0	40
		5	0	7	28
		13	0	0	40
		12	0	5	26
		10	0	2	2
		9	0	0	40
		11	0	4	5
		1328	0	1	62
		118	0	4	45
		117	0	6	48
		119	0	3	64
		124	0	1	62
		123	0	1	21
		122	0	4	45
		121	0	0	40
		120	0	2	2
		807	0	1	62
		806	0	4	86
		805	0	9	71
		809	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
प्रसादपुर (जारी)	19	716	0	8	90
		812	0	19	83
		811	0	0	81
		675	0	6	48
		679	0	6	88
		678	0	4	5
		677	0	0	40
		506	0	3	64
		508	0	2	43
		505	0	4	5
		516	0	1	21
		515	0	1	62
		514	0	0	81
		513	0	0	81
		518	0	1	21
		519	0	2	2
		520	0	0	40
		521	0	2	83
		522	0	0	40
		523	0	0	40
		540	0	0	40
		539	0	4	86
		528	0	8	90
		530	0	1	62
		529	0	1	62
		473	0	0	40
		472	0	0	81
		981	0	1	21
		1219	0	3	64
		1221	0	0	81
		1216	0	0	40
		1215	0	0	40
		1218	0	0	81
		1217	0	1	21
		1220	0	2	43
		1222	0	0	40
		1228	0	0	40
		1227	0	3	24
		1226	0	2	83
		1225	0	1	21
		1236	0	0	40
		1239	0	0	40
		1238	0	4	86
		1248	0	4	5
		1247	0	1	62
		1246	0	0	40
		1241	0	6	7
		1240	0	2	43
		1191	0	13	36
		1190	0	4	45
		1188	0	0	81
		1187	0	4	5
		1321	0	2	2
खैरबाली	18	667	0	2	43
		201	0	13	76

1	2	3	4	5	6
खैरबानी—(जारी)	18	203	0	1	62
		212	0	1	62
		211	0	0	40
		198	0	6	7
		188	0	1	62
		180	0	0	81
		181	0	1	21
		166	0	1	62
		121	0	6	7
		122	0	0	81
		123	0	0	81
		674	0	89	3
		331	0	0	40
		330	0	2	43
		329	0	1	21
		345	0	1	21
		344	0	1	62
		343	0	0	40
		390	0	0	81
		389	0	2	43
		80	0	36	42
		452	0	1	21
		569	0	0	40
		572	0	18	62
खजूरी	17	457	0	4	86
		456	0	0	40
		454	0	2	43
		455	0	2	83
		451	0	3	24
		450	0	0	81
		449	0	4	86
		448	0	2	2
		446	0	0	40
		441	0	4	45
		600	0	1	62
		610	0	1	62
		611	0	0	81
		612	0	3	24
		613	0	1	21
		614	0	0	40
		615	0	1	62
		798	0	3	64
		793	0	2	43
		794	0	1	62
		792	0	0	40
		795	0	2	43
		786	0	1	62
		782	0	1	21
		783	0	0	40
		785	0	0	40
		781	0	2	83
		807	0	2	83
		808	0	2	2
		755	0	3	24
		880	0	10	93

1	2	3	4	5	6
खजूरी—(जारी)	17	881	0	0	40
		882	0	1	62
		883	0	0	40
		884	0	0	81
		885	0	3	64
		886	0	0	40
		887	0	0	40
		982	0	1	62
		891	0	4	5
		893	0	6	7
		894	0	0	81
		895	0	1	21
		896	0	0	40
		926	0	2	2
		924	0	1	21
		925	0	2	83
		930	0	0	81
		923	0	0	40
		935	0	3	24
		936	0	0	40
		921	0	0	40
		912	0	6	7
		940	0	8	9
		942	0	14	57
		948	0	6	7
		949	0	15	38
		946	0	0	81
		1094	0	4	45
		1090	0	3	64
		1091	0	2	43
		1092	0	5	67
		1148	0	1	62
		1149	0	4	5
		1147	0	2	2
		1246	0	20	24
		1245	0	0	40
		1161	0	14	57
		1162	0	0	40
		1160	0	2	43
		1175	0	3	64
		1176	0	0	40
		1177	0	2	2
		1178	0	2	43
		1179	0	2	43
		1180	0	1	21
		1424	0	1	62
		1738	0	2	43
		1739	0	1	62
		1737	0	2	43
		1736	0	2	2
		1747	0	0	40
		1731	0	2	2
		1728	0	3	24
		1727	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
खजूरी—(जारी)	17	1704	0	2	83
		1748	0	0	81
		1599	0	0	81
		1598	0	1	21
		1600	0	3	64
		1601	0	0	81
		1602	0	0	81
		1603	0	0	81
		1605	0	1	21
		1606	0	2	2
		1613	0	0	40
		1612	0	1	21
		1607	0	1	62
		1608	0	1	21
		1609	0	0	81
		1610	0	0	81
		1592	0	2	43
		1593	0	0	40
		1594	0	0	40
		1590	0	0	81
		1591	0	1	21
		1540	0	4	86
		1541	0	0	40
		1544	0	1	21
		1543	0	3	24
		1584	0	2	2
		1446	0	0	81
		1476	0	0	81
		1477	0	0	81
		1478	0	0	40
		1479	0	4	86
		1463	0	7	28
		1462	0	1	21
		1458	0	0	81
		2979	0	2	83
		3006	0	1	21
		2980	0	3	24
		2995	0	2	2
		2994	0	2	2
		2993	0	0	81
		2992	0	2	2
		2987	0	0	40
		2986	0	2	83
		2985	0	5	26
		2984	0	2	43
		3024	0	0	81
		3022	0	0	40
		3023	0	0	40
		3055	0	0	40
		3056	0	4	5
		3057	0	1	62
		3058	0	0	81
		3059	0	2	2
		3060	0	0	40
		3046	0	4	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
खजूरी—(जारी)	17	3047	0	1	62
		3048	0	1	62
		3045	0	1	21
		3043	0	3	64
		3033	0	0	81
		3035	0	0	81
		3036	0	0	81
		3042	0	2	2
		3041	0	0	81
		3049	0	2	2
		3050	0	0	40
		3039	0	2	83
		3040	0	0	40
		3080	0	8	50
		3081	0	0	81
		3082	0	0	40
		3088	0	1	62
		3089	0	1	21
		3090	0	3	64
		3091	0	0	40
		3087	0	2	83
		3094	0	3	24
		3093	0	3	24
		3097	0	0	81
		3098	0	1	21
		3099	0	2	2
		3100	0	2	43
		3101	0	2	43
		3102	0	2	43
		3103	0	0	40
		3104	0	0	40
		3105	0	0	40
		3149	0	10	52
		3146	0	0	81
		3145	0	2	83
		3136	0	0	40
		3137	0	0	40
		3144	0	24	28
		3147	0	2	43
		3139	0	0	40
		3140	0	0	40
		3142	0	0	40
		3141	0	0	40
		3143	0	0	81
		3197	0	0	40
		3396	0	0	40
		3395	0	0	81
		3394	0	3	24
		3393	0	0	81
		3389	0	1	21
		3398	0	1	21
		3387	0	1	62
		3390	0	2	2
		3382	0	2	43
		3381	0	4	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
खजूरी—(जारी)	17	3383	0	0	40
		3375	0	0	40
		3378	0	0	40
		3377	0	0	81
		3379	0	4	45
		3380	0	6	88
		3312	0	3	24
		3346	0	2	2
		3345	0	4	45
		3344	0	3	64
		3343	0	1	62
		3339	0	0	40
		3338	0	4	45
		628	0	6	88
		627	0	1	62
		626	0	5	67
		625	0	0	40
सीमा	15	797	0	0	81
		801	0	0	40
		802	0	12	14
		803	0	3	24
पंचमहली	36	185	0	3	64
		184	0	2	43
		186	0	0	40
		195	0	4	86
		194	0	0	40
		193	0	0	40
		192	0	4	45
		202	0	12	14
		209	0	1	21
		206	0	0	40
		207	0	0	81
		208	0	0	81
		300	0	4	86
		341	0	1	62
		299	0	6	48
		304	0	2	2
		312	0	0	81
		311	0	0	40
		301	0	1	62
		310	0	2	2
		309	0	1	62
		305	0	0	81
		313	0	0	40
		308	0	12	14
		332	0	2	2
		371	0	3	24
		335	0	16	19
		337	0	0	81
		338	0	1	21
		339	0	1	21
		340	0	0	40
		341	0	6	48
		344	0	5	26

1	2	3	4	5	6
पंचमहली—(जारी)	36	345	0	0	40
		382	0	6	7
		581	0	0	81
		587	0	0	40
		586	0	1	62
		584	0	7	28
		591	0	1	21
		590	0	5	26
		596	0	1	21
		597	0	4	5
		589	0	5	67
		588	0	0	40
		599	0	4	86
		600	0	2	2
		601	0	0	40
		605	0	7	28
		603	0	0	40
		604	0	0	81
		620	0	0	81
		623	0	3	24
		619	0	1	62
		618	0	0	40
		624	0	2	2
श्रंगारपुर	37	470	0	0	40
		469	0	20	24
		476	0	14	97
		468	0	5	67
		489	0	3	24
		488	0	1	62
		490	0	0	81
		520	0	0	81
		519	0	4	5
		492	0	1	62
		493	0	2	2
		496	0	0	81
		495	0	0	81
		494	0	0	81
		499	0	0	81
		517	0	1	62
		511	0	0	40
		527	0	0	40
		518	0	1	62
		516	0	4	5
		512	0	1	21
		513	0	1	21
		514	0	4	45
		515	0	7	28
		544	0	2	2
		545	0	8	9
		546	0	3	64
जोकपहाडी	38	1372	0	10	12
		1374	0	7	28
		1375	0	4	5
		1377	0	4	45

1	2	3	4	5	6
जोकपहाडी—(जारी)	38	1383	0	8	9
		1384	0	1	62
		1385	0	2	43
		1386	0	6	88
		1387	0	0	81
		1391	0	4	45
		1388	0	3	64
सुधराखीपुर	13	378	0	1	62
		377	0	12	95
		387	0	0	40
		385	0	8	50
		371	0	6	88
		370	0	0	81
		369	0	4	5
		368	0	1	21
		367	0	8	50
		356	0	0	40
		365	0	8	9
		366	0	4	5
		355	0	18	62
		320	0	16	99
		319	0	0	40
		318	0	2	83
		317	0	3	64
		316	0	2	2
		315	0	6	7
		304	0	6	7
		303	0	4	86
		305	0	12	55
		301	0	4	86
		296	0	8	90
		295	0	0	81
		294	0	4	45
		2306	0	10	93
		2305	0	1	21
		2307	0	2	83
		2304	0	8	9
		2297	0	19	43
		2293	0	14	57
		2296	0	1	21
		2295	0	0	40
		2291	0	4	86
		2290	0	2	83
		2289	0	8	9
		496	0	4	45
		2288	0	9	71
		2287	0	2	83
		2018/5380	0	0	81
		2016	0	9	31
		2018	0	1	62
		2017	0	4	45
		2017/5382	0	2	2
		2018/5381	0	4	5
		2241	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
सुधराजीपुर (जारी)	13	2019	0	0	81
		2020	0	1	62
		2021	0	6	7
		2026	0	28	33
		2027	0	3	24
		2028	0	9	71
		2032	0	7	28
		2043	0	0	40
		2031	0	4	5
		2033	0	0	81
		2034	0	0	81
		2037	0	10	52
		2039	0	19	83
		2040	0	0	40
		2119	0	0	40
		2117	0	6	88
		2124	0	0	40
		2118	0	0	40
		2144	0	10	93
		2137	0	2	83
		2136	0	0	40
		2135	0	0	40
		2133	0	0	40
		2132	0	0	40
		2150	0	10	52
		2158	0	8	9
		2157	0	0	81
		2155	0	3	64
		2156	0	0	40
		2153	0	8	50
		2152	0	0	81
		2162	0	1	62
		2669	0	1	21
		2667	0	3	64
		2668	0	2	83
		2673	0	0	81
		2670	0	4	45
		4484	0	6	7
		4485	0	3	64
		4486	0	9	31
		4496	0	17	40
		4497	0	0	40
		4498	0	0	40
		4499	0	8	50
		4500	0	7	28
		3998	0	1	62
		3974	0	6	48
		3977	0	0	40
		3973	0	0	40
		3976	0	4	5
		3975	0	2	83
		3967	0	2	2
		3969	0	2	2
		3968	0	6	7

1	2	3	4	5	6
सुधराखीपुर—(जारी)	13	3963	0	0	40
		3960	0	6	88
		3928	0	1	21
		3929	0	1	21
		3930	0	3	64
		3931	0	3	24
		3934	0	1	21
		3935	0	5	67
		3951	0	6	88
		3953	0	0	40
		3949	0	0	40
		3950	0	10	12
		4516	0	0	40
		4524	0	2	2
		3900	0	1	62
		4526	0	0	40
		4536	0	0	40
		4535	0	14	57
		4541	0	8	9
		4534	0	4	45
		4546	0	3	24
		4545	0	5	67
		4571	0	0	40
		4570	0	0	81
		4548	0	11	74
		4549	0	2	43
		4049/5336	0	2	43
		4569	0	0	81
		4568	0	4	86
		4566	0	0	40
		4575	0	1	21
		4574	0	1	21
		4573	0	2	43
		4576	0	4	45
		4793	0	1	62
		4913	0	8	9
		4910	0	2	43
		4909	0	1	21
		4918	0	0	40
		4907	0	10	93
		4906	0	4	5
		4905	0	5	67
		4894	0	5	67
		4892	0	0	40
		4891	0	0	40
		4890	0	0	81
		4889	0	1	62
		4888	0	1	21
		4887	0	0	40
		4886	0	0	40
		4885	0	6	48
		4869	0	1	62
		4868	0	3	64
		4867	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
सुधराखीपुर (जारी)	13	4866	0	1	21
		4862	0	3	64
		4861	0	1	62
		4865	0	12	95
		4864	0	0	81
		4949	0	16	59
		4952	0	0	40
		4844	0	14	16
		4835	0	8	9
		4827	0	1	21
		4828	0	8	9
मरभंगा	17	367	0	12	55

[सं. आर.-31015/3/96-ओ. आर-1]

के. सी. कटोच, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 5th July, 1996

S.O. 1981.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum (crude) from Haldia in the State of West Bengal to Barauni in the State of Bihar, pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipelines it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may within 21 days from the date on which the copies of this notification, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the Pipeline under the land to Shri V. N. Akhauri, Senior Liaison Officer (on deputation), C/o Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Haldia-Barauni, Crude Pipeline Project, Bampus Town, Jhansagori, Deoghar-814114, Bihar.

SCHEDULE

Anchal : Nala		Distt. : Dumka		State : Bihar	
Village	Thana	Plot	Area		
	No	No	Hectares	Arc	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5	6
Parasi	14	55	0	6	48
		58	0	0	81
		57	0	5	67
		56	0	5	67
		52	0	0	81
		65	0	6	48
		68	0	0	40
		67	0	0	81
		63	0	2	83
		114	0	1	62
		189	0	7	28
		190	0	1	21
		186	0	8	9
		211	0	0	40
		185	0	4	45

1	2	3	4	5	6
Parasi (Contd.)	14	212	0	0	40
		227	0	0	40
		228	0	2	43
		184	0	2	2
		229	0	12	14
		229/617	0	2	43
		230	0	2	2
		244	0	3	24
		243	0	0	81
		240	0	0	81
		247	0	3	64
		335	0	7	69
		239	0	2	2
		336	0	0	40
		337	0	5	26
		338	0	1	62
		339	0	2	2
Danr Puja	15	541	0	20	64
		542	0	4	45
		599	0	6	7
		598	0	7	28
		597	0	2	43
		588	0	2	43
		587	0	0	81
		596	0	2	2
		608	0	0	40
		609	0	1	62
		594	0	1	21
		595	0	1	21
		593	0	0	81
		610	0	4	5
		611	0	0	81
		612	0	1	62
		592	0	0	40
		613	0	4	5
		614	0	0	81
		657	0	3	64
		658	0	0	81
Ambabank	13	1171	0	1	62
		1172	0	2	43
		1173	0	2	83
		1174	0	2	2
		1175	0	2	2
		1183	0	6	48
		1184	0	1	21
		1185	0	2	2
		1048	0	1	62
		1130	0	2	2
		1127	0	3	24
		1126	0	5	67
		1125	0	1	21
		1124	0	26	71
		1111	0	0	81
		1112	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ambabank (Contd.)	13	1117	0	0	40
		1113	0	4	5
		1300	0	16	99
		1243	0	10	12
		1242	0	1	21
		1245	0	5	67
		1240	0	1	62
		1230	0	1	62
Dumaria	21	802	0	1	21
		817	0	16	99
		818	0	6	7
		809	0	0	40
		810	0	0	40
		816	0	6	88
		815	0	1	62
		786	0	12	55
		792	0	0	40
		793	0	0	40
		790	0	0	40
		789	0	0	81
		787	0	5	67
		788	0	3	24
		774	0	9	71
		773	0	1	21
		609	0	7	28
		772	0	3	64
		735	0	2	83
		734	0	4	5
		736	0	0	40
		732	0	0	40
		733	0	9	71
		730	0	1	62
		641	0	0	81
		1578	0	2	2
		729	0	6	7
		724	0	2	83
		725	0	1	62
		723	0	4	5
		726	0	2	2
		722	0	2	43
		649	0	3	24
		1582	0	6	7
		659	0	0	40
		657	0	2	83
		658	0	0	81
		656	0	4	45
		655	0	0	40
		474	0	5	67
		473	0	2	43
		472	0	2	83
		470	0	9	71
		468	0	0	81
		469	0	0	40
		467	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Dumaria (Contd.)	21	1415	0	6	88
		1397	0	5	26
		1399	0	5	26
		1400	0	0	40
		1394	0	5	67
		1472	0	8	90
		1473	0	3	64
		1471	0	3	64
		1469	0	3	24
		1478	0	1	62
		1480	0	0	81
		1481	0	1	62
		1468	0	0	40
		1467	0	0	40
		1482	0	8	90
		1476	0	6	48
		1510	0	5	67
		1508	0	1	62
		1509	0	0	81
		1507	0	4	45
		1506	0	1	21
		1553	0	3	64
		1554	0	4	86
		1552	0	0	81
		1555	0	0	81
		1558	0	5	26
		1559	0	0	40
		1560	0	9	31
		1561	0	6	88
Karmatanr	20	01	0	7	28
		17	0	2	83
		02	0	15	38
		12	0	1	62
		04	0	2	83
		05	0	2	43
		106	0	1	21
		109	0	10	93
		110	0	10	52
		117	0	5	26
		118	0	1	62
		119	0	2	43
Dumdumi	22	322	0	8	50
		330	0	0	40
		333	0	0	81
		338	0	0	81
		360	0	1	21
		362	0	1	62
		361	0	1	21
		365	0	7	28
		364	0	0	40
Babudih	25	291	0	7	69
		755	0	1	62
		289	0	4	5
		299	0	6	88

1	2	3	4	5	6
Babudih (Contd.)	25	301	0	2	43
		267	0	0	40
		336	0	21	4
		304	0	6	7
		338	0	8	90
		340	0	3	64
		341	0	4	86
		339	0	0	40
		342	0	0	40
		343	0	1	21
		345	0	3	24
		344	0	11	74
		346	0	2	2
Jalain	19	600	0	29	54
		598	0	2	83
		609	0	5	67
		608	0	4	86
		610	0	2	43
		607	0	1	21
		611	0	7	28
		611/1143	0	2	2
Lakra Kunda	43	01	0	0	40
		33	0	2	2
		31	0	6	7
		32	0	3	24
		30	0	4	86
		95	0	1	21
		28	0	0	81
		24	0	6	7
		19	0	12	14
		25	0	0	81
		20	0	2	43
		22	0	1	21
		18	0	3	24
		17	0	3	64
		16	0	0	81
		112	0	6	48
		113	0	14	57
		120	0	6	48
		119	0	1	21
		118	0	3	64
		115	0	4	5
		114	0	5	67
		116	0	2	43
		195	0	1	62
		225	0	4	5
		241	0	4	5
		242	0	2	83
		243	0	8	90
		244	0	1	62
		245	0	8	50
		258	0	4	45
		256	0	13	76
		269	0	7	69

1	2	3	4	5	6
Majhiladi	44	265	0	2	2
		257	0	7	28
		259	0	0	40
		267	0	0	40
		268	0	5	67
		266	0	4	5
		301	0	0	81
		300	0	2	43
		303	0	2	43
		293	0	3	24
		294	0	0	81
		292	0	0	40
		291	0	5	67
		312	0	6	48
		330	0	3	64
		328	0	2	2
		329	0	1	62
		325	0	0	81
		331	0	2	43
		332	0	10	12
Charakmar	46	01	0	2	2
		02	0	1	62
		03	0	4	5
		04	0	5	67
Khamarchack	45	96	0	0	40
		112	0	3	24
		113	0	3	64
		114	0	2	43
		115	0	2	43
		111	0	3	24
		117	0	15	38
		110	0	6	48
		109	0	5	67
		108	0	0	40
		155	0	17	81
		156	0	3	64
		157	0	0	40
		154	0	0	40
		152	0	13	76
		151	0	4	5
		150	0	2	43
		149	0	7	28
		148	0	1	62
		147	0	2	83
		125/235	0	0	81
		125	0	2	2
		126	0	0	40
		143	0	14	97
		134	0	12	14
		136	0	2	2
		137	0	0	81
Semaldub	12	01	0	7	28
		02	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Semaldubi (Contd.)	12	08	0	1	62
		10/1671	0	3	24
		09	0	2	83
		10	0	1	62
		12	0	0	40
		11	0	5	26
		15	0	0	40
		16	0	5	67
		17	0	3	24
		154	0	5	67
		153	0	0	40
		152	0	9	31
		150	0	0	81
		151	0	7	69
		238	0	0	81
		239	0	2	83
		240	0	2	83
		242	0	3	24
		244	0	3	24
		243	0	2	83
		245	0	3	24
		246	0	2	2
		247	0	1	62
		248	0	0	81
		272	0	23	7
		146	0	7	69
		273	0	0	81
		327	0	2	43
		326	0	2	43
		333	0	8	90
		332	0	4	86
		331	0	9	71
		139	0	2	43
		137	0	10	52
		399	0	5	67
		406	0	2	2
		427	0	1	62
		429	0	2	43
		421	0	8	9
		424	0	0	81
		418	0	0	40
		419	0	1	62
		420	0	0	81
		568	0	7	28
		567	0	2	83
		562	0	2	43
		565	0	2	43
		590	0	0	40
		591	0	1	21
		593	0	2	43
		606	0	0	40
		594	0	0	40
		608	0	0	40
		602	0	4	86
		648	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
Semaldubi (Contd.)		629	0	6	7
		645	0	0	81
		646	0	2	43
		628	0	3	24
		615	0	4	45
		616	0	0	40
		622	0	0	81
Udalpuri	4	810	0	0	81
		913	0	0	40
		914	0	2	83
		919	0	16	19
		920	0	6	48
		921	0	0	40
		931	0	6	48
		929	0	2	43
		930	0	8	9
		1047	0	6	88
		1048	0	19	43
		1045	0	0	81
		1055	0	1	21
		1049	0	7	28
		1053	0	9	31
		1054	0	0	81
		1135	0	3	24
		1183	0	2	2
		1384	0	2	43
		1381	0	4	5
		1382	0	0	40
		1380	0	0	81
		1379	0	1	62
		1377	0	0	40
		1378	0	6	7
		1370	0	2	43
		1371	0	0	40
		1369	0	1	21
		1368	0	5	67
		1326	0	7	69
		1325	0	1	62
		1324	0	1	62
		1323	0	1	62
		1322	0	0	81
		1310	0	0	81
		1309	0	1	21
		1308	0	0	81
		1311	0	0	40
		1307	0	1	21
		1306	0	1	21
		1305	0	1	62
		1301	0	2	83
		1299	0	3	24
		1291	0	5	67
		1292	0	0	40
		1293	0	0	40
		1294	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Udalpuri (Contd.)		1288	0	2	43
		1287	0	0	40
		1286	0	4	5
		1285	0	1	62
		1280	0	0	40
		1284	0	0	81
		1282	0	2	2
		1283	0	4	5
		1237	0	0	81
		1224	0	2	2
		1232	0	6	48
		1235	0	0	40
		1233	0	1	62
		1234	0	3	24
		1566	0	0	81
		1564	0	0	40
		1567	0	4	5
		1574	0	0	40
		1568	0	2	83
		1573	0	3	24
		1576	0	3	24
		1575	0	4	5
		1585	0	0	81
		1559	0	2	2
		1515	0	4	86
		1560	0	3	64
		1558	0	4	5
		1557	0	0	40
		1612	0	3	64
		1609	0	1	62
		1625	0	1	62
		1626	0	7	28
		1627	0	3	64
Manihari	5	705	0	4	5
		706	0	4	5
		710	0	1	21
		711	0	5	67
		712	0	0	40
		732	0	0	40
		713	0	1	21
		714	0	1	62
		728	0	2	43
		729	0	8	9
		740	0	6	7
		743	0	6	88
		744	0	14	57
		750	0	4	45
		749	0	0	81
		752	0	25	9
		754	0	1	62
		846	0	10	52
		847	0	4	5
		851	0	4	5
		850	0	3	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
Manihari (Contd.)		852	0	2	43
		853/968	0	1	62
		853	0	2	2
		854	0	2	2
		855	0	3	64
		856	0	3	24
		882	0	18	21
		857	0	0	81
		885	0	0	40
		882/967	0	2	83
		863	0	0	81
		867	0	0	40
		869	0	3	24
Sundarpur	6	272	0	5	67
		275	0	0	40
		274/343	0	0	40
		273	0	6	48
		274	0	8	90
		274/351	0	0	40
		264/346	0	3	24
		264	0	22	66
		214/347	0	0	40
		231/336	0	21	45
		232	0	3	64
		255	0	8	9
Jarkuri	7	84	0	0	40
		85	0	0	81
		188	0	8	50
		187	0	0	40
		177	0	7	28
		175	0	13	36
		174	0	1	62
		173	0	0	40
		127	0	2	2
		160	0	4	5
		161	0	3	64
		619	0	1	21
		162	0	7	69
		141	0	7	69
		135	0	0	40
		131	0	3	64
		142	0	6	7
		130	0	2	2
		62	0	1	21
		61	0	3	24
		67	0	2	43
		84	0	0	40
		68	0	6	48
		83	0	2	83
		69	0	2	43
		70	0	2	2
		71	0	0	40
		73	0	0	40
		74	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
Jarkuri (Contd.)	7	76	0	0	40
		75	0	1	21
		465	0	15	78
		468	0	0	81
		469	0	2	83
		458	0	5	67
		455	0	3	64
		457	0	0	81
		456	0	2	83
		453	0	1	62
		452	0	2	2
		454	0	0	40
		451	0	4	5
		450	0	2	2
		500	0	1	62
		486	0	0	40
		485	0	4	86
		487	0	3	64
		488	0	0	81
		490	0	6	88
		493	0	1	21
		491	0	0	40
		497	0	3	24
		496	0	2	43
		495	0	2	83
Saharpur	3	225	0	4	5
		226	0	0	40
		231	0	7	28
		232	0	4	86
		230	0	3	24
		233	0	5	67
		221	0	0	81
		234	0	0	40
		320	0	6	88
		236	0	1	62
		235	0	4	86
		244	0	0	81
		308	0	2	2
		309	0	0	40
		319	0	7	28
		310	0	0	40
		311	0	0	40
		312	0	0	40
		393	0	1	21
		391	0	16	19
		391/410	0	0	40
		388	0	5	67
		387	0	5	67
Kalipathar	4	220	0	3	64
		219	0	0	40
		223	0	0	40
		221	0	4	86
		222	0	4	86
		218	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
Kalipathar (Contd.)	4	255	0	3	24
		257	0	4	86
		260	0	3	64
		259	0	0	40
		215	0	0	81
		261	0	4	86
		520	0	72	4
		599	0	11	74
		261	0	6	7
		600	0	12	95
		277	0	0	81
		278	0	1	21
		521	0	12	95
Dumaria	10	02	0	28	33
		07	0	1	21
		89	0	24	69
		88	0	2	2
		77	0	0	40
		87	0	6	7
		93	0	3	64
		104	0	1	21
		105	0	5	67
		103	0	3	64
		102	0	5	67
		101	0	2	2
		99	0	0	81
		100	0	4	45
		1005/1008	0	1	62
Deoli	19	997	0	6	48
		996	0	0	40
		995	0	1	62
		01	0	67	99
		936	0	7	28
		954/2995	0	2	43
		949	0	2	83
		937	0	0	40
		948	0	5	67
		947	0	0	40
		945	0	0	81
		944	0	2	43
		942	0	6	88
		943	0	0	81
		918	0	3	64
		917	0	1	62
		282	0	7	69
		280	0	4	5
		284	0	2	2
		283	0	0	81
		277	0	1	62
		276	0	5	26
		214	0	0	40
		215	0	1	21
		216	0	3	24
		275	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Deoli (Contd.)	19	217	0	2	2
		220	0	0	40
		274	0	1	21
		273	0	1	62
		272	0	3	24
		264	0	0	40
		270	0	3	24
		269	0	3	24
		268	0	11	33
		509	0	1	21
		510	0	1	62
		516	0	4	45
		517	0	2	43
		515	0	0	81
		513	0	9	31
		524	0	2	43
		522	0	0	40
		523	0	2	43
		487	0	0	40
		488	0	8	50
		489	0	2	43
		484	0	4	45
		486	0	0	81
		485	0	0	81
		465	0	0	81
		464	0	4	5
		452	0	1	62
		461	0	1	62
		457	0	0	81
		458	0	0	81
		455	0	0	81
		456	0	2	2
		555	0	6	7
		554	0	2	43
		556	0	0	40
		553	0	3	24
		570	0	2	43
		569	0	0	40
		552	0	4	5
		571	0	2	43
		1918	0	2	83
		1917	0	4	5
		1916	0	2	2
		595	0	2	43
		596	0	0	40
		45	0	3	24
		2025	0	4	86
		1903	0	2	83
		1900	0	1	21
		1901	0	1	62
		1902	0	1	62
		1907	0	2	43
		1905	0	1	21
		1896	0	1	62
		1906	0	5	26

1	2	3	4	5	6
Deoli (Contd.)	19	1894	0	5	67
		1882	0	3	24
		1890	0	3	24
		1805	0	2	83
		1806	0	3	24
		1810	0	4	45
		1813	0	1	21
		1811	0	0	40
		1812	0	0	81
		1814	0	5	67
		1815	0	0	40
		1831	0	12	95
		1822	0	0	40
		1823	0	2	2
		1830	0	2	83
		1824	0	6	48
		1827	0	4	86
		1871	0	0	81
		1872	0	8	50
		1874	0	8	9
		1876	0	0	40
		1571	0	1	62
		2329	0	1	62
		2149	0	4	86
		2154	0	2	43
		2155	0	1	62
		2153	0	0	40
		2156	0	14	57
		2142	0	8	50
		2205	0	1	21
		2208	0	19	43
		2256	0	1	62
		2255	0	0	40
		2252	0	8	9
		2253	0	2	43
		2245	0	3	24
		2248	0	2	83
		2249	0	4	86
		2250	0	8	50
		2222	0	3	24
		2221	0	1	62
		2118	0	8	9
		2217	0	0	40
		2232	0	0	81
Rakh	26	01	0	1	21
		02	0	7	28
		404	0	2	2
		451	0	8	90
		458	0	0	81
		459	0	1	21
		460	0	1	21
		461	0	6	7
		464	0	0	40
		462	0	1	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
Rakh (Contd.)	26	463	0	1	21
		482	0	1	62
		483	0	1	21
		484	0	0	40
		481	0	0	81
		480	0	2	43
		486	0	0	81
		479	0	1	21
		470	0	2	43
		478	0	0	81
		471	0	1	62
		475	0	1	21
		474	0	0	40
		476	0	0	81
		473	0	0	81
		472	0	1	62
		659	0	2	2
		660	0	0	40
		661	0	2	43
		662	0	0	81
		656	0	4	86
		663	0	0	81
		655	0	3	64
		651	0	0	40
		650	0	3	24
		643	0	4	5
		642	0	1	21
		645	0	0	40
		644	0	0	40
		641	0	1	62
		640	0	4	5
		639	0	2	43
		633	0	1	62
		630	0	4	86
		632	0	0	40
		628	0	0	81
		629	0	6	7
		616	0	1	21
		617	0	2	43
		615	0	0	40
		618	0	4	5
		620	0	0	40
		610	0	2	43
		609	0	0	40
		768	0	4	86
		605	0	2	83
		604	0	0	40
		606	0	1	21
		607	0	0	40
		785	0	4	45
		781	0	8	9
		782	0	0	81
		777	0	11	33
		776	0	12	14
		770	0	16	19

1	2	3	4	5	6
Rakh (Contd.)	26	773	0	4	86
		772	0	0	81
		774	0	4	45
		769	0	1	21
Bamandi	17	1030	0	8	9
		4031	0	14	16
		1099	0	77	70
		1080	0	1	21
		907	0	2	43
		906	0	2	2
		908	0	2	2
		909	0	2	2
		911	0	4	5
		910	0	4	5
		903	0	0	40
		901	0	1	21
		898	0	4	86
		899	0	0	81
		894	0	6	88
		896	0	4	86
		895	0	11	74
		894	0	2	43
		1098	0	7	69
		891	0	4	86
Khuriam	16	154	0	12	14
		153	0	14	16
		169	0	0	40
		152	0	8	9
		317	0	0	40
		323	0	1	62
		324	0	2	2
		331	0	5	67
		330	0	3	64
		326	0	2	43
		329	0	2	43
		328	0	4	5
		335	0	2	43
		336	0	0	40
		333	0	3	64
		334	0	2	2
		345	0	0	81
		346	0	1	62
		344	0	6	7
		555	0	4	5
		556	0	0	81
		557	0	4	45
		551	0	1	62
		559	0	1	62
		588	0	2	43
		587	0	0	81
		586	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Khuriam (Contd.)		589	0	1	62
		585	0	4	5
		594	0	16	19
		580	0	1	62
		579	0	4	5
		578	0	3	64
		577	0	1	62
		599	0	9	71
		696	0	2	2
		695	0	0	81
		692	0	4	5
		693	0	6	7
		687	0	1	21
		691	0	0	40
		690	0	4	45
		689	0	6	7
		705	0	8	9
		715	0	2	43
		716	0	3	24
		683	0	12	14
		814	0	2	2
		802	0	5	67
		815	0	0	40
		800	0	3	64
		801	0	3	24
		805	0	0	40
		799	0	7	28
		797	0	1	62
		793	0	2	2
		794	0	4	45
		787	0	3	24
		790	0	0	40
		789	0	4	86
		788	0	1	21
		846	0	2	2
		785	0	4	5
		940	0	4	45
		946	0	6	7
		942	0	20	64
		948	0	23	47
		939	0	0	40
		936	0	0	40
		938	0	4	86
		938/1002	0	1	21
		937	0	0	40
Saldahi	27	74	0	5	26
		72	0	2	2
		76	0	36	42
		95	0	2	83
		77	0	6	48
		97	0	5	67
		98	0	2	2
		99	0	2	83

Anchal : Kundahit

Dist. : Dumka

State : Bihar

Village	Thana No.	Plot No.	Area		
			Hectares	Ares	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5	6
Sulanga	11	800	0	5	67
		797	0	2	83
		798	0	2	2
		314/845	0	2	2
		799	0	1	21
		614	0	63	13
		745	0	0	40
		744	0	8	9
		743	0	12	95
		742	0	0	81
		731	0	1	62
		730	0	6	48
		734	0	1	62
		733	0	3	24
		732	0	6	48
		704	0	4	86
		705	0	3	24
		709	0	0	40
		712	0	0	40
		713	0	8	50
		694	0	0	40
		693	0	0	40
		692	0	1	21
		691	0	2	43
		689	0	6	7
		690	0	4	86
Sibram	14	670	0	1	62
		666	0	4	45
		671	0	3	24
		678	0	0	81
		677	0	4	86
		679	0	1	21
		653	0	1	62
		684	0	0	40
		683	0	0	81
		682	0	2	43
		681	0	2	2
		680	0	1	21
		685	0	0	40
		686	0	0	81
		1127	0	0	40
		695	0	0	40
		697	0	3	64
		696	0	3	64
		593	0	0	40
		594	0	3	24
		595	0	0	40
		598	0	0	81
		597	0	2	43
		596	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sibram (Contd.)	14	614	0	4	5
		559	0	3	64
		560	0	2	42
		564	0	0	40
		563	0	5	67
		562	0	0	40
		561	0	4	86
		496	0	3	24
		776	0	8	9
		493	0	0	40
		778	0	2	43
		779	0	1	21
		781	0	8	90
		775	0	1	21
		782	0	1	62
		784	0	0	40
		868	0	0	81
		863	0	2	2
		861	0	1	21
		862	0	0	81
		860	0	1	21
		859	0	3	24
		858	0	4	45
		856	0	0	8
		850	0	0	40
		857	0	4	45
		862	0	0	81
		847	0	6	48
Dhanukdi	13	246	0	7	69
		248	0	2	83
		249	0	1	62
		245	0	0	81
		244	0	1	62
		237	0	0	81
		238	0	1	62
		239	0	0	40
		235	0	2	2
		234	0	3	24
		229	0	0	40
		233	0	1	21
		232	0	0	81
		231	0	3	64
		226	0	0	40
		218	0	2	83
		216	0	0	40
		215	0	0	40
		217	0	14	57
		158	0	1	62
		162	0	5	67
		164	0	2	83
		165	0	2	43
		169	0	0	40
		166	0	0	40
		163	0	0	40
		168	0	1	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
Dhanukdi (Contd.)	13	167	0	1	21
		170	0	1	62
		171	0	1	21
		172	0	0	81
		173	0	0	81
		175	0	0	40
		174	0	5	67
		308	0	2	2
		355	0	2	2
		354	0	1	21
		357	0	0	40
		356	0	0	81
		353	0	0	40
		319	0	0	40
		309	0	47	75
		877	0	0	81
		878	0	25	90
		876	0	1	62
		981	0	6	88
		2380	0	0	40
		907	0	0	40
		908	0	3	64
		909	0	0	81
		910	0	4	5
		912	0	3	24
		947	0	0	40
		948	0	1	62
		940	0	0	40
		941	0	0	40
		942	0	0	40
		946	0	0	81
		945	0	0	40
		944	0	0	81
		1027	0	4	5
		1025	0	0	40
		1026	0	0	81
		1029	0	0	40
		1034	0	0	81
		1035	0	0	81
		1036	0	2	2
		1101	0	1	62
		1076	0	3	24
		1075	0	0	40
		1083	0	3	24
		1078	0	4	5
		1077	0	0	40
		1079	0	0	81
		1080	0	1	21
		1081	0	0	40
		1182	0	6	7
		1153	0	0	40
		1152	0	1	62
		1151	0	1	62
		1150	0	0	40
		1154	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
Dhanukdi (Contd.)	13	1157	0	1	62
		1158	0	4	5
		1163	0	3	40
		1206	0	5	67
		1195	0	2	83
		1194	0	2	2
		1193	0	1	62
		1192	0	0	40
		1191	0	0	81
		1190	0	1	21
		1189	0	1	21
		1188	0	0	81
		1187	0	2	43
		1185	0	0	81
		1186	0	2	2
Pahargora	33	02	0	3	24
		04	0	12	95
		03	0	4	86
		54	0	3	24
		53	0	0	81
		59	0	0	40
		56	0	0	40
		57	0	4	5
		58	0	8	50
		55	0	12	14
		65	0	3	64
		66	0	3	24
		67	0	2	83
		68	0	2	2
		69	0	2	2
		201	0	2	83
		196	0	1	21
		195	0	1	21
		202	0	3	64
		190	0	3	24
		203	0	1	21
		204	0	6	48
		207	0	3	24
		217	0	1	62
		216	0	0	81
		215	0	0	81
		214	0	1	62
		213	0	1	21
		201	0	2	43
		206	0	1	62
		212	0	1	62
		211	0	2	2
		210	0	1	62
		208	0	3	24
		209	0	3	24
		176	0	2	43
		386	0	3	24
		384	0	0	40
		387	0	2	2
		385	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
Pahargora (Contd.)	33	382	0	0	40
		381	0	0	40
		388	0	8	90
		389	0	1	21
		390	0	2	2
		393	0	2	2
		395	0	2	43
		394	0	0	40
		397	0	2	83
		399	0	1	62
		400	0	0	40
		401	0	3	24
		402	0	2	2
		412	0	2	2
		413	0	0	40
		411	0	0	40
		414	0	2	43
		417	0	0	40
		420	0	1	21
		360	0	2	2
		358	0	1	62
		356	0	0	40
		355	0	1	21
		354	0	2	43
		353	0	2	43
		352	0	1	21
		347	0	3	24
		431	0	1	21
		430	0	1	62
		433	0	2	43
		435	0	2	43
		442	0	1	62
		443	0	0	81
		449	0	0	81
		454	0	4	5
		466	0	1	21
		457	0	1	21
		458	0	0	81
		459	0	0	40
		464	0	4	86
		460	0	4	45
Kundahit	42	591	0	1	62
		589	0	1	21
		593	0	4	5
		592	0	4	5
		594	0	0	81
		687	0	13	76
		604	0	1	21
		556	0	0	40
		607	0	2	83
		608	0	4	86
		609	0	4	45
		610	0	3	64
		547	0	7	28
		493	0	3	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
Kundahit (Contd.)	42	467	0	19	2
		656	0	2	43
		657	0	3	24
		658	0	3	24
		660	0	4	45
		727	0	4	45
		726	0	4	5
		725	0	2	43
		724	0	4	5
		667	0	4	45
		668	0	10	12
		669	0	2	43
		672	0	2	2
		673	0	3	24
		678	0	1	21
		673	0	1	21
		679	0	4	45
		698	0	0	40
		694	0	0	40
		704	0	0	40
		699	0	6	7
		700	0	2	43
		693	0	6	48
		691	0	2	83
		689	0	0	81
		692	0	0	81
		688	0	6	48
		759	0	2	2
		999	0	29	95
		993	0	3	24
		988	0	5	67
		989	0	11	74
		987	0	0	81
		986	0	10	52
		1009	0	4	86
		1008	0	0	40
		981	0	4	86
		980	0	1	62
		979	0	4	86
		978	0	9	31
		977	0	0	81
		975	0	6	48
		976	0	0	81
		973	0	2	43
		1939	0	4	5
		1938	0	3	64
		1935	0	6	48
		1936	0	5	67
		1961	0	5	26
		1960	0	6	48
		1962	0	1	62
		1963	0	0	40
		1959	0	2	43
		1958	0	6	48
		1957	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
Kundahit (Contd.)	42	1955	0	0	81
Bagha Sola	47	527	0	0	40
		526	0	1	62
		525	0	4	86
		523	0	6	48
		528	0	4	86
		524	0	0	81
		611	0	1	62
		612	0	2	2
		618	0	0	40
		617	0	1	62
		616	0	2	2
		620	0	1	62
		622	0	0	40
		621	0	1	21
		623	0	0	40
		591	0	0	40
		592	0	0	40
		600	0	0	40
		598	0	5	67
		597	0	2	43
		596	0	2	2
		595	0	0	40
		571	0	0	40
		570	0	2	2
		569	0	0	40
		1331	0	1	62
		1449	0	1	21
		1452	0	4	86
		1451	0	5	26
		1450	0	5	26
		1411	0	0	81
		1410	0	1	21
		1453	0	0	40
		1454	0	0	81
		1455	0	2	83
		1457	0	0	81
		1456	0	1	62
		1438	0	1	21
		1458	0	2	2
		1469	0	0	40
		1475	0	0	81
		1476	0	0	40
		1473	0	0	40
		1472	0	0	40
		1471	0	0	40
		1467	0	0	40
		1470	0	17	81
		1526	0	0	40
		1525	0	1	62
		1524	0	2	83
		1523	0	1	21
		1522	0	4	86
		1544	0	1	21
		1543	0	3	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bagha Sola (Contd.)	47	1542	0	0	40
		1541	0	1	21
		1540	0	1	62
		1545	0	0	40
		1539	0	9	71
		1536	0	3	24
		1534	0	1	62
		0333	0	5	67
		0316	0	4	45
		313	0	5	26
		315	0	0	40
		312	0	1	21
		311	0	2	83
		310	0	2	2
		309	0	0	40
		308	0	2	2
		306	0	0	40
		304	0	5	67
		292	0	8	9
		291	0	8	9
		295	0	0	40
		293	0	0	40
		294	0	7	28
		191	0	2	2
Jiturhir	48	20	0	0	40
		19	0	9	71
		02	0	3	24
		01	0	14	57
		5	0	3	24
		4	0	3	64
		6	0	7	28
		7	0	4	5
		145	0	23	88
		142	0	0	40
		141	0	0	40
		140	0	0	81
		134	0	0	40
		138	0	6	48
		137	0	0	81
		136	0	2	2
		135	0	0	40
		199	0	7	69
		208	0	1	21
		207	0	4	5
		206	0	4	5
		205	0	2	83
		204	0	1	62
		509	0	1	21
Bankati	46	854	0	0	40
		853	0	0	81
		852	0	0	40
		855	0	4	5
		850	0	3	24
		856	0	0	40
		844	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bankati	46	843	0	0	40
		842	0	0	40
		841	0	0	40
		840	0	2	83
		837	0	5	67
		836	0	0	40
		827	0	5	67
		829	0	5	67
Palajori	12	203	0	1	21
		204	0	0	81
		205	0	0	40
		206	0	2	83
		209	0	0	40
		201	0	5	67
		207	0	1	62
		208	0	2	43
		195	0	4	45
		194	0	2	2
		173	0	1	62
		177	0	0	40
		241	0	1	21
		240	0	0	40
		171	0	0	40
		172	0	8	9
		170	0	0	40
		169	0	12	14
		156	0	1	62
		157	0	4	5
		158	0	1	62
		160	0	4	5
		161	0	8	9
		162	0	3	64
		123	0	2	83
		124	0	13	36
		118	0	11	74
		117	0	2	83
		114	0	0	40
		113	0	4	5
		111	0	0	40
		102	0	2	43
		101	0	3	64
		98	0	5	26
		97	0	10	93
		1486	0	2	43
		1487	0	1	21
		1485	0	10	12
		1491	0	3	64
		1494	0	4	5
		1493	0	3	24
		1496	0	4	86
		1507	0	2	43
		1508	0	4	45
		1509	0	0	81
		1511	0	2	2
		1512	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
Palajori	12	1513	0	0	81
		1516	0	5	26
		1514	0	2	43
		1518	0	0	81
		1517	0	1	62
		1522	0	2	43
		1521	0	20	24
		1524	0	6	48
		1526	0	19	83
		1609	0	0	40
		1610	0	6	48
		1611	0	4	5
		1612	0	2	43
		1613	0	1	62
		1614	0	0	81
		1615	0	0	40
		1616	0	6	88
		1617	0	7	28
		1609	0	0	40
		1632	0	7	69
		1631	0	2	83
		1636	0	1	21
		1634	0	10	12
		1643	0	1	21
		1644	0	18	21
		1651	0	4	5
		1653	0	0	40
		1652	0	4	45
Garguri	10	2430	0	1	21
		2429	0	1	21
		2428	0	3	64
		2438	0	4	86
		2440	0	0	40
		2448	0	0	40
		2447	0	0	40
		2402	0	10	12
		2462	0	7	69
		2464	0	11	33
		2465	0	1	21
		2466	0	0	81
		2461	0	0	81
Prasadpur	19	01	0	0	81
		46	0	2	2
		45	0	1	62
		41	0	6	48
		43	0	2	2
		42	0	0	81
		80	0	8	9
		82	0	0	40
		81	0	0	81
		95	0	8	90
		31	0	2	43
		30	0	0	81
		29	0	0	40
		5	0	7	28

1	2	3	4	5	6
Prasadpur (Contd.)	19	13	0	0	40
		12	0	5	26
		10	0	2	2
		9	0	0	40
		11	0	4	5
		1328	0	1	62
		118	0	4	45
		117	0	6	48
		119	0	3	64
		124	0	1	62
		123	0	1	21
		122	0	4	45
		121	0	0	40
		120	0	2	2
		807	0	1	62
		806	0	4	86
		805	0	9	71
		809	0	2	43
		716	0	8	90
		812	0	19	83
		811	0	0	81
		675	0	6	48
		679	0	6	88
		678	0	4	5
		677	0	0	40
		506	0	3	64
		508	0	2	43
		505	0	4	5
		516	0	1	21
		515	0	1	62
		514	0	0	81
		513	0	0	81
		518	0	1	21
		519	0	2	2
		520	0	0	40
		521	0	2	83
		522	0	0	40
		523	0	0	40
		540	0	0	40
		539	0	4	86
		528	0	8	90
		530	0	1	62
		529	0	1	62
		473	0	0	40
		472	0	0	81
		981	0	1	21
		1219	0	3	64
		1221	0	0	81
		1216	0	0	40
		1215	0	0	40
		1218	0	0	81
		1217	0	1	21
		1220	0	2	43
		1222	0	0	40
		1228	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Prasadpur (Contd.)	19	1227	0	3	24
		1226	0	2	83
		1225	0	1	21
		1236	0	0	40
		1239	0	0	40
		1238	0	4	86
		1248	0	4	5
		1247	0	1	62
		1246	0	0	40
		1241	0	6	7
		1240	0	2	43
		1191	0	13	36
		1190	0	4	45
		1188	0	0	81
		1187	0	4	5
		1321	0	2	2
Khairbani	18	667	0	2	43
		201	0	13	76
		203	0	1	62
		212	0	1	62
		211	0	0	40
		198	0	6	7
		188	0	1	62
		180	0	0	81
		181	0	1	21
		166	0	1	62
		121	0	6	7
		122	0	0	81
		123	0	0	81
		674	0	89	3
		331	0	0	40
		330	0	2	43
		329	0	1	21
		345	0	1	21
		344	0	1	62
		343	0	0	40
		390	0	0	81
		389	0	2	43
		80	0	36	42
		452	0	1	21
		569	0	0	40
		572	0	18	62
Khajuri	17	457	0	4	86
		456	0	0	40
		454	0	2	43
		455	0	3	24
		451	0	2	83
		450	0	0	81
		449	0	4	86
		448	0	2	2
		446	0	0	40
		441	0	4	45
		609	0	1	62
		610	0	1	62
		611	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
Khairbani (Contd.)	18	612	0	3	24
		613	0	1	21
		614	0	0	40
		615	0	1	62
		798	0	3	64
		793	0	2	43
		794	0	1	62
		792	0	0	40
		795	0	2	43
		786	0	1	62
		782	0	1	21
		783	0	0	40
		785	0	0	40
		781	0	2	83
		807	0	2	83
		808	0	2	2
		755	0	3	24
		880	0	10	93
		881	0	0	40
		882	0	1	62
		883	0	0	40
		884	0	0	81
		885	0	3	64
		886	0	0	40
		887	0	0	40
		982	0	1	62
		891	0	4	5
		893	0	6	7
		894	0	0	81
		895	0	1	21
		896	0	0	40
		926	0	2	2
		924	0	1	21
		925	0	2	83
		930	0	0	81
		923	0	0	40
		935	0	3	24
		936	0	0	40
		921	0	0	40
		912	0	6	7
		940	0	8	9
		942	0	14	57
		948	0	6	7
		949	0	15	38
		946	0	0	81
		1094	0	4	45
		1090	0	3	64
		1091	0	2	43
		1092	0	5	67
		1148	0	1	62
		1149	0	4	5
		1147	0	2	2
		1246	0	20	24
		1245	0	0	40
		1161	0	14	57

1	2	3	4	5	6
Khairbani (Contd.)	18	1162	0	0	40
		1160	0	2	43
		1175	0	3	64
		1176	0	0	40
		1177	0	2	2
		1178	0	2	43
		1179	0	2	43
		1180	0	1	21
		1424	0	1	62
		1738	0	2	43
		1739	0	1	62
		1737	0	2	43
		1736	0	2	2
		1747	0	0	40
		1731	0	2	2
		1728	0	3	24
		1727	0	1	62
		1704	0	2	83
		1748	0	0	81
		1599	0	0	81
		1598	0	1	21
		1600	0	3	64
		1601	0	0	81
		1602	0	0	81
		1603	0	0	81
		1605	0	1	21
		1606	0	2	2
		1613	0	0	40
		1612	0	1	21
		1607	0	1	62
		1608	0	1	21
		1609	0	0	81
		1610	0	0	81
		1592	0	2	43
		1593	0	0	40
		1594	0	0	40
		1590	0	0	81
		1591	0	1	21
		1540	0	4	86
		1541	0	0	40
		1544	0	1	21
		1543	0	3	24
		1584	0	2	2
		1446	0	0	81
		1476	0	0	81
		1477	0	0	81
		1478	0	0	40
		1479	0	4	86
		1463	0	7	28
		1462	0	1	21
		1458	0	0	81
		2979	0	2	83
		3006	0	1	21
		2980	0	3	24
		2995	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
Khairbani (Contd.)	18	2994	0	2	2
		2993	0	0	81
		2992	0	2	2
		2987	0	0	40
		2986	0	2	83
		2985	0	5	26
		2984	0	2	43
		3024	0	0	81
		3022	0	0	40
		3023	0	0	40
		3055	0	0	40
		3056	0	4	5
		3057	0	1	62
		3058	0	0	81
		3059	0	2	2
		3060	0	0	40
		3046	0	4	5
		3047	0	1	62
		3048	0	1	62
		3045	0	1	21
		3043	0	3	64
		3033	0	0	81
		3035	0	0	81
		3036	0	0	81
		3042	0	2	2
		3041	0	0	81
		3049	0	2	2
		3050	0	0	40
		3039	0	2	83
		3040	0	0	40
		3080	0	8	50
		3081	0	0	81
		3082	0	0	40
		3088	0	1	62
		3089	0	1	21
		3090	0	3	64
		3091	0	0	40
		3087	0	2	83
		3094	0	3	24
		3093	0	3	24
		3097	0	0	81
		3098	0	1	21
		3099	0	2	2
		3100	0	2	43
		3101	0	2	43
		3102	0	2	43
		3103	0	0	40
		3104	0	0	40
		3105	0	0	40
		3149	0	10	52
		3146	0	0	81
		3145	0	2	83
		3136	0	0	40
		3137	0	0	40
		3144	0	24	28

1	2	3	4	5	6
Khairbani (Contd.)	18	3147	0	2	43
		3139	0	0	40
		3140	0	0	40
		3142	0	0	40
		3141	0	0	40
		3143	0	0	81
		3197	0	0	40
		3396	0	0	40
		3395	0	0	81
		3394	0	3	24
		3393	0	0	81
		3389	0	1	21
		3398	0	1	21
		3387	0	1	62
		3390	0	2	2
		3382	0	2	43
		3381	0	4	5
		3383	0	0	40
		3375	0	0	40
		3378	0	0	40
		3377	0	0	81
		3379	0	4	45
		3380	0	6	88
		3312	0	3	24
		3346	0	2	2
		3345	0	4	45
		3344	0	3	64
		3343	0	1	62
		3339	0	0	40
		3338	0	4	45
		628	0	6	88
		627	0	1	62
		626	0	5	67
		625	0	0	40
Sima	15	797	0	0	81
		801	0	0	40
		802	0	12	14
		803	0	3	24
Panchmahli	36	185	0	3	64
		184	0	2	43
		186	0	0	40
		195	0	4	86
		194	0	0	40
		193	0	0	40
		192	0	4	45
		202	0	12	14
		209	0	1	21
		206	0	0	40
		207	0	0	81
		208	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
Panchmahli (Contd.)	36	300	0	4	86
		341	0	1	62
		299	0	6	48
		304	0	2	2
		312	0	0	81
		311	0	0	40
		301	0	1	62
		310	0	2	2
		309	0	1	62
		305	0	0	81
		313	0	0	40
		308	0	12	14
		332	0	2	2
		371	0	3	24
		335	0	16	19
		337	0	0	81
		338	0	1	21
		339	0	1	21
		340	0	0	40
		341	0	6	48
		344	0	5	26
		345	0	0	40
		382	0	6	7
		581	0	0	81
		587	0	0	40
		586	0	1	62
		584	0	7	28
		591	0	1	21
		590	0	5	26
		596	0	1	21
		597	0	4	5
		589	0	5	67
		588	0	0	40
		599	0	4	86
		600	0	2	2
		601	0	0	40
		605	0	7	28
		603	0	0	40
		604	0	0	81
		620	0	0	81
		623	0	3	24
		619	0	1	62
		618	0	0	40
		624	0	2	2
Singarpur	37	470	0	0	40
		469	0	20	24
		476	0	14	97
		468	0	5	67
		489	0	3	24
		488	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
Singarpur (Contd.)	37	488	0	1	62
		490	0	0	81
		520	0	0	81
		519	0	4	5
		492	0	1	62
		493	0	2	2
		496	0	0	81
		495	0	0	81
		494	0	0	81
		499	0	0	81
		517	0	1	62
		511	0	0	40
		527	0	0	40
		518	0	1	62
		516	0	4	5
		512	0	1	21
		513	0	1	21
		514	0	4	45
		515	0	7	28
		544	0	2	2
		545	0	8	9
		546	0	3	64
Jokpahari	38	1372	0	10	12
		1374	0	7	28
		1375	0	4	5
		1377	0	4	45
		1383	0	8	9
		1384	0	1	62
		1385	0	2	43
		1386	0	6	88
		1387	0	0	81
		1391	0	4	45
		1388	0	3	64
Sudrakhipur (Sheet-I)	13	378	0	1	62
		377	0	12	95
		387	0	0	40
		385	0	8	50
		371	0	6	88
		370	0	0	81
		369	0	4	5
		368	0	1	21
		367	0	8	50
		356	0	0	40
		365	0	8	9
		366	0	4	5
		355	0	18	62
		320	0	16	99
		319	0	0	40
		318	0	2	83
		317	0	3	64
		316	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sudrakhipur (Contd.)	13	304	0	6	7
		303	0	4	86
		305	0	12	55
		301	0	4	86
		296	0	8	90
		295	0	0	81
		294	0	4	45
		2306	0	10	93
		2305	0	1	21
		2307	0	2	83
		2304	0	8	9
		2297	0	19	43
		2293	0	14	57
		2296	0	1	21
		2295	0	0	40
		2291	0	4	86
		2290	0	2	83
		2289	0	8	9
		496	0	4	45
		2288	0	9	71
		2287	0	2	83
		2018/5380	0	0	81
		2016	0	9	31
		2018	0	1	62
		2017	0	4	45
		2017/5382	0	2	2
		2018/5381	0	4	5
		2241	0	0	40
		2019	0	0	81
		2020	0	1	62
		2021	0	6	7
		2026	0	28	33
		2027	0	3	24
		2028	0	9	71
		2032	0	7	28
		2043	0	0	40
		2031	0	4	5
		2033	0	0	81
		2034	0	0	81
		2037	0	10	52
		2039	0	19	83
		2040	0	0	40
		2119	0	0	40
		2117	0	6	88
		2124	0	0	40
		2118	0	0	40
		2144	0	10	93
		2137	0	2	83
		2136	0	0	40
		2135	0	0	40
		2133	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sudrahipur (Contd.)	13	2132	0	0	40
		2150	0	10	52
		2158	0	8	9
		2157	0	0	81
		2155	0	3	64
		2156	0	0	40
		2153	0	8	50
		2152	0	0	81
		2162	0	1	62
		2669	0	1	21
		2667	0	3	64
		2668	0	2	83
		2673	0	0	81
		2670	0	4	45
		4484	0	6	7
		4485	0	3	64
		4486	0	9	31
		4496	0	17	40
		4497	0	0	40
		4498	0	0	40
		4499	0	8	50
		4500	0	7	28
		3998	0	1	62
		3974	0	6	48
		3977	0	0	40
		3973	0	0	40
		3976	0	4	5
		3975	0	2	83
		3967	0	2	2
		3969	0	2	2
		3968	0	6	7
		3963	0	0	40
		3960	0	6	88
		3928	0	1	21
		3929	0	1	21
		3930	0	3	64
		3931	0	3	24
		3934	0	1	21
		3935	0	5	67
		3951	0	6	88
		3953	0	0	40
		3949	0	0	40
		3950	0	10	12
		4516	0	0	40
		4524	0	2	2
		3900	0	1	62
		4526	0	0	40
		4536	0	0	40
		4535	0	14	57
		4541	0	8	9

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sudrakhipur (Contd.)	13	4534	0	4	45
		4546	0	3	24
		4545	0	5	67
		4571	0	0	40
		4570	0	0	81
		4548	0	11	74
		4549	0	2	43
		4049/5336	0	2	43
		4569	0	0	81
		4568	0	4	86
		4566	0	0	40
		4575	0	1	21
		4574	0	1	21
		4573	0	2	43
		4576	0	4	45
		4793	0	1	62
		4913	0	8	9
		4910	0	2	43
		4909	0	1	21
		4918	0	0	40
		4907	0	10	93
		4906	0	4	5
		4905	0	5	67
		4894	0	5	67
		4892	0	0	40
		4891	0	0	40
		4890	0	0	81
		4889	0	1	62
		4888	0	1	21
		4887	0	0	40
		4886	0	0	40
		4885	0	6	48
		4869	0	1	62
		4868	0	3	64
		4867	0	1	62
		4866	0	1	21
		4862	0	3	64
		4861	0	1	62
		4865	0	12	95
		4864	0	0	81
		4949	0	16	59
		4952	0	0	40
		4844	0	14	16
		4835	0	8	9
		4827	0	1	21
		4828	0	8	9
Marbhanga	17	367	0	12	55

नई दिल्ली, 05 जुलाई, 1996

का.आ. 1982.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना आवश्यक है कि पश्चिमी बंगाल राज्य के हल्दिया से बिहार राज्य के बरौनी तक पेट्रोलियम (क्रूड) के परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाए;

और यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करना आवश्यक है;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है।

उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस तारीख से, जिसको, राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियां साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, 21 दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने संबंधी लिखित रूप में आक्षेप श्री बी. एन. अखौरी, ज्येष्ठ संपर्क अधिकारी (प्रतिनियुक्ति पर) द्वारा इंडियन ऑयल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड, हल्दिया बरौनी क्रूड पाइपलाइन परियोजना, बामपस टाइन, झांसागोरी, देवघर-814 114 (बिहार) को कर सकेगा।

अंचल — देवघर		जिला — देवघर		राज्य — बिहार	
गांव	थाना संख्या	प्लॉट संख्या	हेक्टेयर	क्षेत्र आरे	सेन्टी. आरे
1	2	3	4	5	6
केननकाठी	7	17	0	12	95
गरजोरा	6	51	0	21	4
		54	0	22	26
		66	0	56	66
		55	0	1	21
		1150	0	1	62
		28	0	14	57
		1177	0	2	43
		1114	0	4	45
		1115	0	1	21
		1116	0	0	81
		1117	0	0	81
बंथाकेन्दुआ	216	68	0	12	95
		618	0	0	81
		66	0	8	90
		64	0	3	64
		58	0	0	81
		65	0	7	28
		59	0	3	64
		60	0	3	64
		51	0	2	83
		50	0	0	40
		49	0	8	9
		48	0	1	21
		26	0	2	83
		27	0	3	64
		28	0	11	74
		17	0	0	40
		19	0	5	26
		18	0	2	83
		15	0	2	83
		16	0	2	83
		13	0	2	2
		11	0	0	40
		12	0	8	90

1	2	3	4	5	6
बंधाकेन्दुआ—(जारी)	216	305	0	20	24
		294	0	2	2
		300	0	7	28
		295	0	0	40
		301	0	7	28
		304	0	4	5
		327	0	8	90
		399	0	0	40
		348	0	0	81
		250	0	0	81
		349	0	4	86
		251	0	3	24
		350	0	0	81
		347	0	1	62
		365	0	10	12
		395	0	13	76
		391	0	0	40
		393	0	20	24
		514	0	16	19
		516	0	0	40
		513	0	1	21
		604	0	19	43
		511	0	0	81
		577	0	10	93
		576	0	5	26
		575	0	2	2
		579	0	4	45
		573	0	5	26
		583	0	0	40
		571	0	3	24
		570	0	4	86
		579	0	3	24
		578	0	3	24
		559	0	1	62
		560	0	0	81
		562	0	9	31
		563	0	3	64
		561	0	0	40
		567	0	0	81
		540	0	12	14
		539	0	0	81
		593	0	2	43
		599	0	8	9
		595	0	7	69
		596	0	4	5
कुरुमाहा	222	750	0	16	19
		748	0	5	67
		759	0	0	40
		751	0	2	83
		756	0	1	21
		755	0	7	69
		754	0	4	45
		865	0	0	81
		778	0	6	48

1	2	3	4	5	6
कुशमाहा—(जारी)	222	779	0	4	45
		780	0	3	24
		931	0	5	67
		800	0	4	86
		796	0	1	62
		797	0	0	40
		798	0	5	26
		822	0	1	62
		721	0	1	62
		983	0	2	2
		799	0	1	62
		823	0	7	28
		824	0	1	62
		834	0	0	40
		833	0	0	40
		860	0	3	24
		861	0	2	43
		764	0	0	40
		858	0	0	81
		859	0	9	71
		757	0	0	40
		892	0	13	76
		722	0	3	24
		890	0	7	28
सारसा	226	944	0	0	40
		1008	0	4	86
		1007	0	3	24
		1018	0	2	2
		1012	0	0	40
		1018/1407	0	0	81
		1019/1408	0	0	81
		1020/1409	0	1	62
		1019	0	0	81
		1020	0	2	83
		1017	0	0	40
		1021	0	2	43
		1022	0	2	43
		1023	0	2	43
		1033	0	5	67
		1055	0	0	81
		1054	0	0	81
		1048	0	0	40
		1046	0	7	28
		1047	0	0	40
		1045	0	2	43
		1044	0	2	83
		1043	0	1	62
		1042	0	3	64
		952	0	1	62
		951	0	1	21
		950	0	4	45
		953	0	0	40
		949	0	2	83
		948	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
सारसा—(जारी)	226	937	0	1	62
		939	0	0	40
		940	0	0	40
		943	0	1	62
		941	0	0	81
		942	0	0	81
		918	0	12	14
		1175	0	0	40
		1176	0	0	40
		917	0	4	5
		1208	0	5	67
		827	0	0	40
		826	0	1	21
		825	0	3	24
		824	0	2	83
		823	0	0	40
		822	0	3	24
		821	0	0	81
		818	0	2	2
देवपुर	226	819	0	0	40
		817	0	2	83
		816	0	2	83
		820	0	0	40
		815	0	4	86
		791	0	3	24
		792	0	0	40
		794	0	0	81
		795	0	0	40
		793	0	5	67
		796	0	0	40
		781	0	9	31
		660	0	0	40
		661	0	13	36
		671	0	6	7
		672	0	0	81
		670	0	0	40
		679	0	4	5
		683	0	3	64
		684	0	0	81
		682	0	3	64
		687	0	0	81
		688	0	2	2
		689	0	3	24
		690	0	3	24
		691	0	4	45
		692	0	2	43
देवपुर	227	248	0	6	88
		386	0	0	40
		389	0	0	81
		387	0	0	81
		390	0	0	40
		388	0	2	2
		404	0	6	7
		403	0	4	86

1	2	3	4	5	6
देवपुर—(जारी)	227	402	0	0	40
		394	0	0	40
		397/685	0	3	24
		395	0	3	64
		361	0	5	26
		362	0	0	40
		359	0	1	21
		358	0	4	86
		357	0	3	24
		340	0	0	40
		344	0	2	83
		345	0	1	21
		343	0	0	40
		346	0	3	24
		347	0	3	24
		348	0	1	62
बासमण्डी	228	18	0	6	48
		24	0	2	83
		25	0	2	2
		26	0	0	81
		27	0	4	86
		22	0	0	81
		41	0	12	14
		39	0	0	40
		40	0	1	21
		46	0	3	64
		47	0	2	43
		45	0	3	64
		51	0	3	64
		9	0	1	62
		8	0	12	95
		6	0	8	50
संफरी	229	87	0	23	47
		81	0	34	40
		439	0	0	40
		438	0	0	40
		437	0	0	40
		440	0	3	24
		441	0	2	83
		436	0	2	2
		435	0	2	2
		432	0	3	64
		433	0	0	40
		1350	0	3	64
		1349	0	1	62
		1351	0	6	88
		1352	0	3	24
		1354	0	3	24
		1314	0	2	2
		1312	0	1	62
		1309	0	3	64
		1308	0	2	43
		1302	0	4	86
		1301	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
संकरी—(जारी)	229	1300	0	0	40
गरीबदिल	243	76	0	4	5
		74	0	2	83
		73	0	1	21
		72	0	1	21
		58	0	3	24
		50	0	0	81
		59	0	1	21
		51	0	2	2
		60	0	4	5
		49	0	0	81
		44	0	0	81
		111	0	8	50
		75	0	0	40
		134	0	3	64
		131	0	2	2
		129	0	2	83
		132	0	9	31
		140	0	3	64
		141	0	2	43
		142	0	4	45
		143	0	0	40
		165	0	6	7
		150	0	2	2
		159	0	2	43
		151	0	0	40
		152	0	0	40
		153	0	3	64
		154	0	4	5
		156	0	0	40
		160	0	2	2
गिधमी	244	14	0	19	43
		13	0	3	24
		7	0	37	23
		216	0	2	2
		215	0	18	62
		206	0	29	14
		205	0	0	81
		243	0	5	26
		242	0	15	78
		241	0	6	7
		252	0	8	9
		250	0	2	43
		255/1611	0	5	67
		256	0	6	7
		363	30	18	62
		367	0	31	57
		380	0	7	69
		385	0	12	95
		386	0	12	95
		391	0	5	26
		390	0	5	26
		389	0	11	33
		395	0	10	93

1	2	3	4	5	6
गिधनी—(जारी)	244	400 399 404	0 0 0	10 5 10	12 26 52
<hr/>					
अंचल — मोहनपुर	जिला — देवघर		राज्य — बिहार		
गाँव	धाना	प्लाट	क्षेत्र	आरे	सेन्टी आरे
	संख्या	संख्या	हेक्टेयर		
1	2	3	4	5	6
खेजुरिया	420	34 38 36 40	0 0 0 0	13 4 4 16	36 86 86 99
तेलियावावाडी	562	4 41 42 43 47 48 49 50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 2 0 0 0 1 0	40 83 2 81 40 40 21 81
गौरीगुंगे	555	30 31 95 96	2 0 0 0	2 0 16 12	43 81 19 14
हैछोतबांधा	561	1 2	0 0	1 0	62 81
चकनावाडीह	556	7 6	0 0	6 4	48 45
उपार रंगातहर	560	117 120 121 122	0 0 0 0	2 0 4 4	2 81 45 86
कुसुमबंध	568	24 23 22 21 18 08 104 105 103 106 102	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 3 2 5 7 4 1 0 7 0	40 5 64 2 67 28 86 62 81 69 40
अमगारिया	578	128 124 133 134 127 135 138 155	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 0 1 1 0 11 6 3	9 40 62 62 81 74 48 64

1	2	3	4	5	6
अमगारिया—(जारी)	578	156	0	9	71
		168	0	7	69
		167	0	5	26
		195	0	6	88
		199	0	0	81
		197	0	4	86
		311	0	6	88
		312	0	1	21
		313	0	9	71
		354	0	2	83
		353	0	6	88
		303	0	0	40
		302	0	0	81
		301	0	7	69
		299	0	0	40
		300	0	13	36
		374	0	0	40
पुनसिया	579	35	0	2	2
		36	0	25	9
बलसोरा	569	48	0	0	40
		42	0	6	88
		45	0	8	9
		46	0	1	21
पुनसिया सीट-1	579	380	0	4	45
		381	0	0	40
		383	0	3	24
		388	0	3	24
		707	0	2	2
		706	0	0	81
		702	0	7	28
		704	0	0	81
		705	0	2	43
		392	0	9	71
		681	0	2	83
		680	0	2	43
		679	0	16	59
		674	0	14	97
		673	0	0	40
		675	0	10	93
		669	0	7	69
		668	0	7	28
		99	0	0	81
		96	0	7	69
		97	0	1	21
		98	0	10	93
		112	0	2	43
		113	0	6	48
		114	0	4	5
		111	0	2	2
		868	0	0	40
		302	0	9	31
		303	0	6	7
		288	0	0	40
		312	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
पुनसिया सीट-1—(जारी)	579	313	0	1	62
		315	0	2	43
		308	0	0	40
		319	0	0	81
		318	0	2	43
		317	0	1	62
		316	0	1	62
		328	0	1	62
		327	0	4	86
		326	0	1	21
		343	0	0	40
		344	0	1	21
		345	0	2	2
		376	0	0	40
		357	0	2	43
		356	0	2	83
		358	0	0	81
		355	0	1	21
		353	0	0	40
		379	0	4	5
कोराबंघ	558	19	0	6	88
		18	0	8	9
		20	0	0	40
		21	0	9	71
		24	0	1	21
		22	0	1	62
		27	0	0	81
		28	0	4	86
		29	0	6	7
		31	0	1	21
		30	0	4	86
अधमुरिया	691	292	0	4	86
		293	0	0	40
बाभमारी	687	4	0	14	97
		5	5	0	40
		21	0	15	38
		22	0	6	7
		32	0	7	28
धारी	688	165	0	10	12
		167	0	6	88
		203	0	10	12
		206	0	0	81
		202	0	5	26
		201	0	8	50
		239	0	1	21
		302	0	4	45
		301	0	3	24
		300	0	6	88
		304	0	0	40
		305	0	8	50
		355	0	9	71
		356	0	0	40
		359	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
धारी—(जारी)	688	360	0	0	81
		366	0	2	2
		367	0	13	76
		419	0	4	86
		444	0	0	81
		445	0	2	43
		443	0	9	71
		441	0	0	81
		470	0	2	83
		471	0	1	21
		472	0	1	62
		474	0	10	12
		483	0	4	5
		487	0	1	62
		485	0	3	24
		484	0	1	62
		492	0	0	81
		493	0	6	48
		515	0	12	55
		499	0	3	64
		501	0	1	62
		500	0	0	81
		676	0	4	45
		677	0	0	40
पाथरवाटी	703	25	0	12	55
सिंगरडीह	702	369	0	1	62
		370	0	1	62
		371	0	6	7
		372	0	4	86
		373	0	15	78
		376	0	3	24
		377	0	10	12
		368	0	3	64
		378	0	43	30
रंगामोदीखक	705	16	0	4	45
		14	0	5	67
		14/101	0	12	14
		12	0	8	90
		11	0	8	90
		52	0	0	81
मेदीनीडीह	681	399	0	1	62
		401	0	2	83
		402	0	8	90
		404	0	10	52
		417	0	8	90
		416	0	3	24
		413	0	4	5
		441	0	1	21
		442	0	2	43
		443	0	6	7
		445	0	3	24
		440	0	18	62
		439	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
मेदीनीडीड—(जारी)	681	456	0	6	88
		455	0	0	40
		356	0	2	83
		515	0	6	88
		514	0	9	71
		524	0	13	76
		525	0	2	43
		526	0	8	9
		511	0	8	50
		495	0	8	9
		493	0	4	45
		494	0	3	24
हरकाता	678	3	0	2	83
		4	0	8	9
		5	0	5	67
		8	0	4	5
		6	0	10	12
		7	0	0	40
		67	0	9	31
		68	0	16	19
		194	0	2	2
		189	0	2	43
		188	0	9	71
		186	0	14	57
		183	0	0	40
		184	0	2	2
		185	0	4	5
		206	0	10	52
		210	0	0	81
		202	0	14	16
		203	0	0	40
गौरीसिंधा	671	10	0	20	64
सारसा	672	41	0	7	28
		40	0	0	40
		38	0	5	26
		33	0	8	50
		39	0	0	81
		36	0	0	81
		35	0	3	64
पकाडिया	674	318	0	0	81
		319	0	1	21
		358	0	3	24
		321	0	21	85
		357	0	30	35
		325	0	0	40
		326	0	0	81
		328	0	7	28
		329	0	4	5
		330	0	0	40
		356	0	8	9
		355	0	1	62
		353	0	1	21
		351	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
पकाडिया—(जारी)	674	354	0	5	26
		350	0	3	64
		349	0	1	21
		348	0	0	40
किशुनिधि	662	374	0	37	23
		483	0	2	43
		482	0	4	86
		481	0	5	67
		477	0	0	81
		496	0	2	43
		495	0	0	81
		497	0	10	12
		512	0	3	24
		514	0	6	48
		457	0	9	31
		456	0	0	81
		458	0	7	28
		459	0	3	24
		460	0	1	62
		451	0	18	62
		447	0	7	28
		442	0	0	40
		441	0	2	43
		440	0	4	86
		439	0	2	83
		532	0	1	62
		435	0	0	40
		433	0	14	97
		431	0	3	24
		430	0	0	40
		418	0	5	67
		417	0	0	40
		416	0	0	40
		420	0	1	21
		421	0	2	2
		419	0	3	24
		422	0	0	81
		423	0	0	40
		583	0	13	76
		586	0	7	69
		587	0	0	81
		798	0	1	21
		801	0	5	67
		806	0	16	19
		808	0	3	24
नाराही	663	313	0	23	7
		315	0	3	64
		317	0	20	24
		316	0	0	81
गाधीबलिया छोट	653	10	0	12	95
		11	0	0	40
तिवारीकभारी	654	2	0	5	26
		9	0	11	74
		6	0	11	74

1	2	3	4	5	6
तिवारीकनारी	654	7	0	6	48
		13	0	9	71
		13	0	9	31
		15	0	5	26
		16	0	1	21
गादीमालिया	655	110	0	0	40
		109	0	4	86
		108	0	6	7
		114	0	0	40
		117	0	4	5
		116	0	1	21
बांपोखरिया	656	2	0	0	81
		13	0	0	40
		38	0	19	43
		39	0	7	69
		44	0	13	36
		55	0	25	50
		57	0	0	40
		132	0	13	76
		168	0	0	81
		131	0	5	67
		126	0	8	90
		127	0	1	21
		128	0	0	81
		129	0	2	43
		133	0	1	62
		124	0	19	83
		138	0	5	67
		137	0	2	43
		139	0	0	40
		140	0	0	81
		141	0	4	5
		143	0	0	81
		144	0	3	64
		145	0	0	81
		147	0	10	52
		146	0	3	64
		174	0	0	40
		159	0	10	93
		161	0	7	28
		160	0	4	86
		164	0	8	9
झारखण्डी	646	978	0	27	52
		980	0	6	48
		982	0	3	24
		985	0	4	5
		984	0	4	86
		986	0	0	40
		988	0	2	43
खैरखुंटी	657	49	0	7	28
		50	0	6	48
		51	0	0	81
		52	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
खैरखुंटी—(जारी)	657	55	0	4	5
		428	0	6	48
		696	0	3	24
		424	0	4	86
		426	0	1	62
		425	0	2	2
		437	0	11	33
		418	0	38	85
		438	0	0	81
		417	0	1	21
		441	0	12	95
		441/688	0	2	43
		490	0	5	26
		491	0	6	7
		492	0	6	48
		493	0	0	40
		494	0	8	90
		637	0	8	9
		636	0	3	24
		635	0	13	76
		632	0	11	33
		630	0	1	21
		631	0	12	14
		646	0	5	26
		645	0	3	24
		665	0	6	48
		677	0	0	40
		673	0	6	88
		672	0	1	62
		666	0	0	81
		669	0	0	40
अंचल — सारावन	जिला — देवघर	राज्य बिहार			
गांव	थाना	प्लॉट	क्षेत्र		सेन्टी आरे
	संख्या	संख्या	हेक्टेयर	आरे	
1	2	3	4	5	6
दुलियाडीह	21	542	0	1	62
		543	0	1	21
		544	0	7	28
		545	0	2	2
		546	0	0	81
		547	0	1	62
		548	0	0	40
		549	0	4	5
		550	0	2	43
		551	0	2	43
		553	0	0	40
		575	0	0	40
		576	0	3	24
		577	0	0	81
		578	0	3	64
		579	0	2	43
हरलाडीह	22	13	0	4	5
		14	0	7	28

1	2	3	4	5	6
हरलाडीह—(जारी)	22	15	0	4	86
		16	0	4	5
		17	0	0	40
		18	0	6	7
		19	0	4	86
		22	0	0	81
		23	0	3	24
		24	0	1	62
		88	0	2	43
		86	0	4	86
		87	0	14	57
		89	0	0	40
		109	0	1	21
		110	0	0	40
		108	0	0	40
		111	0	6	88
		112	0	0	81
		113	0	4	45
		115	0	6	48
		116	0	1	62
		117	0	6	48
		120	0	3	64
		121	0	0	40
		131/378	0	5	67
		131	0	1	21
		129	0	3	24
		128	0	0	40
		133	0	0	40
		127	0	7	69
		126	0	0	40
		134	0	10	12
		135	0	0	40
		152	0	8	50
		147	0	7	69
		146	0	14	16
		150	0	0	40
		151	0	7	69
मैधनाथपुर	82	216	0	23	88
		217	0	3	24
		212	0	0	40
		218	0	11	74
		219	0	4	45
		222	0	8	9
		223	0	0	40
		221	0	13	76
		224	0	0	40
बिसनपुर	98	104	0	4	86
		103	0	0	40
		146	0	17	81
		142	0	3	24
		141	0	0	81
		140	0	3	24
		136	0	6	88
		133	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
बिसनपुर—(जारी)	98	134	0	5	26
		128	0	17	81
		129	0	0	40
		126	0	3	24
		125	0	3	24
		124	0	1	21
		123	0	3	64
		122	0	1	62
		121	0	4	45
		120	0	1	21
		119	0	3	24
		118	0	14	16
		156	0	1	62
पहाड़पुर	97	28	0	3	24
		31	0	19	83
		33	0	6	88
		32	0	0	40
		37	0	0	81
		34	0	12	14
		36	0	4	45
		35	0	2	2
		47	0	3	64
		77	0	13	76
		75	0	2	43
		99	0	4	45
		74	0	5	67
		73	0	0	81
		100	0	14	16
		103	0	1	62
		104	0	4	5
		105	0	0	40
		106	0	4	5
		107	0	1	62
		108	0	0	40
		96	0	8	9
		95	0	14	16
		151	0	0	81
सरावन	104	1001/2413	0	3	64
		990	0	8	9
		991	0	2	43
		922	0	4	5
		993	0	10	52
		997	0	0	40
		994	0	1	21
		996	0	7	28
		1043	0	4	5
		1044	0	3	24
		1034	0	1	62
		1045	0	8	9
		1032	0	0	40
		1046	0	2	43
		1049	0	1	21
		1048	0	4	86
		1047	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
सरावन	104	1033	0	0	40
कुशमाहा	96	311	0	0	81
		312	0	12	14
		313	0	0	81
		314	0	3	24
		345	0	8	9
		346	0	6	88
		348	0	1	21
		359	0	0	40
		360	0	1	62
		361	0	3	24
		1478	0	5	67
		1479	0	5	26
		1477	0	3	64
		1480	0	0	40
		1497/1629	0	0	40
		1498	0	4	86
		1497	0	5	26
		1496	0	6	7
		1495	0	8	9
		1492	0	1	62
		1501	0	1	21
		1522	0	0	81
		1523	0	5	67
		1525	0	3	24
		1526	0	3	24
		1527	0	9	31
		1524	0	1	21
		1528	0	2	43
		1529	0	2	43
		1534	0	0	81
		1533	0	0	40
		1531	0	0	40
		1532	0	9	31
		1521	0	5	26
बंधडीह	134	94	0	2	83
		95	0	1	62
		93	0	3	64
		92	0	4	5
		88	0	0	40
		89	0	3	24
		87	0	1	62
		85	0	1	21
		84	0	0	81
		83	0	0	40
		72	0	1	21
		73	0	0	40
		74	0	3	64
		75	0	0	81
		76	0	1	62
		78	0	1	62
		79	0	2	2
		160	0	0	81
		48	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
लस्करडीह	133	80	0	6	48
		79	0	2	2
		82	0	0	40
		318	0	0	40
		67	0	1	62
		68	0	4	45
		69	0	2	43
		236	0	6	48
		237	0	1	21
		235	0	0	40
		238	0	2	43
		239	0	8	90
		249	0	1	62
		367	0	4	45
		368	0	2	83
		369	0	0	81
		341	0	0	40
		342	0	0	81
		343	0	1	21
		344	0	1	62
		346	0	0	40
		345	0	2	2
		348	0	0	40
		339	0	0	40
		351	0	1	21
		352	0	1	21
		350	0	0	40
		353	0	1	62
		319	0	0	81
		320	0	3	24
		321	0	1	62
		322	0	1	62
		323	0	6	7
		316	0	2	2
		314	0	4	5
		315	0	3	64
		324	0	0	81
		325	0	3	24
		326	0	0	40
		312	0	16	19
		656	0	1	21
		305	0	0	40
		306	0	0	40
		307	0	0	40
		308	0	0	81
		309	0	0	81
		311	0	4	5
		310	0	1	21
		662	0	12	55
		663	0	4	86
		661	0	0	81
		734	0	0	40
		735	0	7	28
		736	0	5	26

1	2	3	4	5	6
लस्करडीह—(जारी)	133	737	0	0	40
		738	0	6	7
		693	0	0	40
		694	0	3	24
		74	0	2	43
		75	0	5	67
		76	0	3	64
		77	0	0	40
तलैयाडीह	138	3	0	0	81
		4	0	15	38
सौभासाडीह	139	2	0	4	45
		33	0	5	67
		34	0	16	19
		30	0	0	40
		42	0	0	40
		43	0	2	83
		44	0	0	81
		45	0	3	64
		23	0	0	40
		46	0	3	24
		47	0	1	62
		48	0	2	43
		49	0	1	21
		50	0	7	28
		51	0	1	21
नौखिलाछीट	140	1158	0	1	62
		1176	0	3	24
		1175	0	0	81
		1177	0	4	5
		1178	0	4	86
		1172	0	8	9
		1171	0	1	21
		1169	0	1	62
		1182	0	3	24
बगीजा	141	155	0	0	81
		156	0	7	28
		164	0	6	7
		177	0	0	81
		157	0	0	40
		166	0	1	62
		165	0	0	40
		180	0	0	40
		179	0	4	45
		178	0	4	5
		175	0	12	14
		181	0	2	43
		182	0	0	40
नौखिला	144	63	0	9	31
		62	0	5	67
		60	0	0	40
		61	0	1	62
		77	0	5	67
		76	0	2	83

1	2	3	4	5	6
नौखिला	144	69	0	5	67
		70	0	0	40
		71	0	4	45
		72	0	1	62
		117	0	8	9
		116	0	3	64
		115	0	0	40
		120	0	2	43
		138/1161	0	2	43
		141	0	0	81
		138	0	9	71
		137	0	1	21
		142	0	4	45
		143	0	5	26
		136	0	0	81
		212	0	4	86
		211	0	2	2
		209	0	12	14
		322	0	7	28
		323	0	10	52
		324	0	4	5
		321	0	3	64
		352	0	1	62
		351	0	3	64
		354	0	5	67
		355	0	2	2
		353	0	1	21
		364	0	1	62
		365	0	2	43
		366	0	2	43
		367	0	5	67
		368	0	2	43
		370	0	3	24
		378	0	0	40
		371	0	3	24
		372	0	2	43
		373	0	5	67
		374	0	6	48
		375	0	0	40
		414	0	1	21
		417	0	3	24
		1131	0	8	9
पिछी		62	0	15	38
		63	0	5	26
		64	0	2	2
		65	0	6	7
		66	0	3	24
		84	0	3	24
		99	0	1	62
		86	0	1	62
		98	0	2	83
		90	0	0	81
		91	0	2	2
		92	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
पिछी	175	93	0	1	21
		95	0	0	40
		94	0	3	64
		96	0	2	83
		252	0	2	43
		253	0	2	43
		254	0	0	81
		255	0	1	21
		256	0	5	67
		258	0	0	81
		259	0	6	7
		260	0	6	7
		261	0	1	21
		262	0	2	43
		263	0	4	5
		264	0	0	40
		265	0	5	67
		266	0	10	12
		832	0	1	62
		833	0	1	62
		834	0	3	64
		835	0	3	24
		836	0	3	64
		837	0	4	5
		838	0	3	24
		839	0	0	81
उपरबंधी	176	1	0	3	64
		3	0	0	81
		4	0	7	28
		5	0	7	28
		8	0	0	81
		9	0	0	81
		10	0	0	40
		11	0	2	83
		12	0	2	83
		13	0	2	83
		15	0	0	40
		20	0	6	48
		21	0	0	40
		22	0	7	28
		23	0	1	62
		24	0	0	81
		25	0	0	40
थरही	219	7	0	4	45
		6	0	0	40
		9	0	1	21
		10	0	4	5
		12	0	6	88
		13	0	4	86
		15	0	14	97
		144	0	12	14
		134	0	11	33
		136	0	0	40
		133	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
थरही—(जारी)	219	235	0	8	90
		237	0	4	45
		240	0	14	57
		245	0	12	95
		246	0	5	26
		270	0	3	24
		264	0	1	62
		265	0	2	43
		266	0	4	86
		267	0	1	62
		268	0	1	62
		277	0	4	5
		279	0	0	81
		280	0	0	40
		327	0	4	45
		319	0	2	83
		320	0	2	83
		322	0	1	21
		323	0	2	83
		324	0	9	71
		325	0	2	43
		318	0	1	62
		317	0	7	28
		315	0	2	83
		316	0	0	81
		314	0	5	67
		362	0	3	24
		363	0	11	74
		364	0	3	64
		367	0	3	64
		366	0	7	69
		365	0	2	2
सुरसुरा	220	10	0	0	40
		92	0	9	31
		93	0	0	40
		97	0	3	24
		96	0	4	45
		100	0	0	81
		101	0	2	2
		102	0	4	86
		103	0	0	40
		104	0	2	43
		470	0	3	64
		118	0	1	21
		120	0	1	21
		121	0	3	64
		122	0	2	43
		123	0	0	81
दंगा	172	3	0	4	5
		19	0	0	81
		20	0	5	67
		17	0	1	62
		21	0	8	9
		23	0	1	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
दंगा—(जारी)	172	27	0	3	24
		26	0	4	45
		28	0	1	21
		38	0	5	26
		30	0	3	24
		40	0	7	69
		37	0	10	52
		66	0	26	31
		67	0	13	36
		63	0	16	19
बेलन	171	82	0	9	71
		105	0	3	24
		106	0	0	81
		104	0	4	86
		107	0	3	24
		102	0	2	2
		108	0	2	43
		101	0	1	62
		122	0	11	74
		123	0	1	62
		121	0	4	45
		119	0	1	21
		120	0	0	40
		136	0	10	93
		135	0	0	40
		137	0	1	62
		146	0	2	83
		148	0	10	12
		147	0	1	21
		164	0	6	88
		168	0	6	7
		169	0	2	2
		166	0	0	81
		167	0	0	40
		170	0	7	28
		181	0	3	24
		182	0	2	2
कौशियाबांक	222	59	0	1	62
		67	0	10	93
		66	0	0	40
		68	3	3	24
		69	0	0	40
		85	0	0	40
		86	0	2	2
		82	0	4	5
		87	0	0	40
		84	0	4	5
बाधमारी	223	29	0	0	81
		30	0	6	88
		31	0	0	40
		32	0	3	64
		40	0	4	86
		33	0	3	64
		34	0	5	26

1	2	3	4	5	6
बाणमारी—(जारी)	223	39	0	0	81
		37	0	2	83
		38	0	6	88
		131	0	11	33
		134	0	8	90
		128	0	0	40
		127	0	0	40
		146	0	1	62
		145	0	1	62
		142	0	4	5
		144	0	4	86
		143	0	0	81
		141	0	0	40
		147	0	12	14
		157	0	1	62
		152	0	9	31
		151	0	0	40
जोगियातिकर	243	9	0	4	45
		347	0	4	5
		346	0	9	71
		345	0	8	50
		344	0	8	9
		343	0	8	9
		22	0	7	28
		348	0	6	7
		349	0	6	7
		350	0	4	5
		101	0	0	81
		102	0	1	21
		103	0	0	81
		211	0	4	5
		212	0	5	67
		213	0	4	86
		214	0	4	86
		224	0	4	5
		229	0	5	67
		230	0	3	24
सिरसा	228	11	0	3	64
		12	0	0	40
		15	0	10	12
		16	0	6	7
		17	0	4	5
		18	0	0	81
		19	0	2	2
		52	0	3	64
		53	0	9	31
		55	0	9	71
		77	0	2	83
		120	0	3	64
		121	0	2	2
		122	0	0	40
		140	0	0	81
		139	0	7	28
		141	0	3	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
सिरसा—(जारी)	228	132	0	6	48
		131	0	13	36
		129	0	8	50
		127	0	10	12
		128	0	0	40
		125	0	1	21
		54	0	0	40
बंदाजोरी	241	2	0	3	24
		301/950	0	0	40
		301	0	0	40
		302	0	0	40
		303	0	6	48
		287	0	0	40
		290	0	3	64
		291	0	2	83
		292	0	0	40
		289	0	2	83
		288	0	8	90
		285	0	4	5
		283	0	13	76
		286	0	3	24
		284	0	0	81
		154	0	7	69
		155	0	6	7
		156	0	2	43
		158	0	12	14
		164	0	7	69
		168	0	1	62
		79	0	2	43
		78	0	2	83
		77	0	2	83
		76	0	2	2
		75	0	3	24
		71	0	2	43
		70	0	1	21
		174	0	8	50
		177	0	1	62
		178	0	0	40
		179	0	3	64
		180	0	4	5
		182	0	4	5
		183	0	2	83
		190	0	9	71
		189	0	3	24
		184	0	1	21
		188	0	4	5
		185	0	2	83
		186	0	4	5
		187	0	0	81
		616	0	10	52
		617	0	2	83
		614	0	0	40
		613	0	2	43
		761	0	13	36

1	2	3	4	5	6
बंदाजोरी—(जारी)	241	762	0	0	40
		844	0	2	2
		612/944	0	1	62
		795/943	0	0	81
		794	0	2	2
		793	0	2	83
		790	0	1	62
		789	0	8	9
		802	0	2	2
		803	0	2	43
		804	0	2	43
		805	0	1	21
		811	0	13	76
		818/973	0	3	24
		818	0	0	40
		831/968	0	10	12
		831	0	3	24
		830	0	0	40
		832	0	4	5
		834	0	15	38
		931/955	0	0	40
		931	0	0	81
		931/954	0	4	5
		933	0	11	33
कोराडीह	240	273	0	5	67
		98	0	0	81

अंचल — सराथ		जिला — देवघर		राज्य बिहार	
गाँव	धाना संख्या	प्लॉट संख्या	हेक्टेयर	क्षेत्र आरे	सेन्टी आरे
1	2	3	4	5	6
कोराडीह	243	2	1	21	41
		3	0	60	71
		5	0	40	47
		1	0	80	94
सवाईजोर		6	0	57	47
		56	0	3	24
		81	0	2	43
		80	0	9	71
		79	0	15	38
		78	0	16	19
		66	0	38	85
		65	0	0	81
		62	0	12	14
		61	0	4	5
		59	0	8	90
		116	0	18	62
		115	0	3	24
		114	0	0	81
		112	0	1	21
		110	0	3	24
		124	0	0	81
		123	0	8	90

1	2	3	4	5	6
सवाईजोर—(जारी)	7	122	0	0	40
		144	0	2	2
		145	0	4	5
		139	0	2	83
		138	0	3	64
		137	0	4	5
		153	0	12	95
		152	0	0	40
		97	0	0	81
		154	0	7	69
		160	0	4	5
		164	0	10	12
		163	0	2	2
		155	0	6	7
		159	0	0	81
		158	0	4	45
			0	0	0
		156	0	0	40
जोगियातिकर	239	35	0	8	50
		34	0	0	40
		37	0	8	9
		42	0	2	43
		39	0	6	48
		40	0	0	40
		49	0	8	90
		52	0	0	40
		50	0	6	48
देवली	238	51	0	14	57
		49	0	14	57
		50	0	1	62
		634	0	1	21
		47	0	7	28
		46	0	8	90
		29	0	2	2
		30	0	0	81
		31	0	18	62
		499	0	7	28
		500	0	1	21
		508	0	2	43
		507	0	4	86
		509	0	8	9
		521	0	4	5
		526	0	8	90
		527	0	8	50
		568	0	4	45
		569	0	14	57
		573	0	4	5
		574	0	6	48
		575	0	5	26
		577	0	0	40
		578	0	0	40
		576	0	4	86
		580	0	7	28
		581	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
देवली— (जारी)	238	585	0	12	14
कुमारबन्धी	237	245	0	0	40
		250	0	2	43
		251	0	3	24
		258	0	10	12
		244	0	19	43
		243	0	3	64
		262	0	0	40
		257	0	0	40
		260	0	0	81
		261	0	1	21
		268	0	0	40
		259	0	4	5
		287	0	5	67
महेशलिली	233	18	0	4	86
		19	0	1	62
		20	0	5	26
		21	0	1	62
		22	0	0	40
		24	0	0	40
		121	0	1	62
		163	0	3	24
		162	0	1	21
		161	0	0	81
		160	0	0	40
		157	0	2	2
		156	0	1	21
		155	0	0	81
		154	0	0	40
		152	0	2	43
		153	0	1	21
		149	0	3	24
		146	0	4	86
		145	0	0	81
		147	0	8	9
		173	0	2	83
		248	0	2	83
		247	0	3	64
		249	0	0	40
		167	0	0	81
		246	0	4	5
		245	0	4	45
		273	0	5	67
छारोहीया	232	1	0	4	86
		3	0	10	12
		17	0	13	76
		18	0	0	81
		14	0	12	55
		13	0	14	57
		11	0	12	14
		26	0	14	97
		10	0	2	83
		7	0	6	7
		8	0	4	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
डारोहीया—(जारी)	232	9	0	0	40
बारामसीया	228	128	0	0	81
		129	0	4	5
		136	0	3	64
		135	0	1	62
		134	0	0	40
		133	0	2	2
		132	0	0	40
		137	0	2	83
		244	0	5	67
		243	0	0	81
		240	0	2	43
		241	0	0	40
		239	0	3	24
		235	0	0	40
		237	0	3	24
		236	0	2	43
		205	0	1	62
		214	0	0	40
		206	0	4	86
		204	0	2	43
		201	0	7	69
		202	0	5	67
		200	0	0	81
		194	0	1	62
		196	0	3	64
		197	0	0	40
		199	0	10	52
		388	0	6	48
		387	0	0	81
गोपीबांध	229	212	0	5	67
		145	0	4	45
		146	0	19	43
		177	0	12	14
		360	0	10	12
			0	0	0
		179	0	3	24
		180	0	0	40
		181	0	5	67
		182	0	0	40
		185	0	5	26
		184	0	0	81
		194	0	3	24
		195	0	4	86
		197	0	3	24
		193	0	0	40
		165	0	29	95
		236	0	45	73
धोरोधिमार	225	4	0	1	62
		5	0	2	83
		6	0	0	40
		7	0	12	55
		13	0	0	40
		14	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
धोरोधिमार—(जारी)	225	15	0	4	45
		16	0	2	43
		17	0	3	24
		29	0	3	40
		28	0	0	81
		27	0	4	5
		86	0	2	2
		87	0	3	24
		88	0	1	21
		75	0	7	28
		82	0	1	21
		76	0	4	45
		74	0	0	40
		77	0	2	2
		78	0	0	40
		71	0	1	21
		72	0	1	62
		184	0	0	40
		643	0	25	50
		642	0	9	31
		413	0	1	62
		189	0	3	24
		190	0	2	83
		191	0	2	43
		192	0	0	40
		193	0	4	5
		194	0	6	48
		207	0	2	43
		208	0	2	43
		209	0	2	83
		210	0	0	40
		211	0	3	24
		213	0	3	24
		214	0	1	21
		216	0	0	81
		217	0	0	40
		218	0	0	40
		272	0	7	28
		268	0	2	83
		267	0	3	24
		266	0	17	40
		265	0	4	5
		263	0	1	21
		262	0	0	81
		261	0	0	81
			0	0	0
		259	0	0	81
		299	0	5	67
		298	0	0	40
		300	0	2	2
		310	0	0	40
		305	0	3	24
		304	0	0	81
		303	0	6	88

1	2	3	4	5	6
धोरोधिमार—(जारी)	225	334	0	12	95
		335	0	0	40
		337	0	17	81
कछुआबांक	224	1526	0	0	81
		1525	0	0	40
		1352	0	14	57
			0	0	0
		1353	0	2	43
		1354	0	0	40
		1355	0	3	24
		1410	0	0	40
		1356	0	9	31
		1358	0	0	40
		1357	0	15	38
		1361	0	16	19
		1337	0	8	50
		1336	0	15	38
		1396	0	14	57
		1392	0	4	86
		1393	0	7	69
		1417	0	0	40
		1416	0	6	48
		1414	0	4	5
		1415	0	4	5
		1413	0	0	81
		1412	0	7	28
		1411	0	0	81
		1447	0	2	43
		1453	0	7	28
		1450	0	0	40
		1455	0	0	40
		1452	0	3	24
		1451	0	4	5
		1461	0	4	45
		1462	0	0	81
		1492	0	4	5
		1464	0	4	5
गोपलारायडीह	447	1	0	4	86
		30	0	4	45
		31	0	3	64
		47	0	2	2
		48	0	0	40
		46	0	4	86
		45	0	1	62
		42	0	1	21
		32	0	12	95
		41	0	0	40
		38	0	0	40
			0	0	0
		33	0	9	71
		168	0	25	50
		169	0	10	93
		216	0	3	64
		215	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
गोपलारायडीह—(जारी)	447	214	0	5	26
		213	0	1	62
		223	0	6	7
		224	0	8	50
		228	0	0	81
		226	0	3	64
		230	0	0	40
		231	0	12	14
			0	0	0
		292	0	0	40
			0	0	0
		235	0	7	28
		284	0	5	26
		283	0	6	7
		281	0	4	86
		276	0	9	71
		277	0	0	40
		275	0	5	26
		253	0	0	81
		259	0	0	81
		260	0	0	81
		269	0	9	31
		270	0	4	86
		267	0	5	26
		390	0	0	81
		389	0	0	40
		361	0	2	43
		388	0	0	40
		385	0	0	40
		384	0	3	64
		381	0	4	86
		362	0	1	62
		383	0	1	62
		382	0	2	2
		405	0	0	40
		380	0	4	5
		417	0	3	24
		414	0	0	81
		413	0	0	81
		412	0	0	81
		411	0	3	64
		422	0	2	83
		425	0	6	88
		430	0	3	64
		436	0	2	83
		433	0	3	64
		439	0	2	43
		442	0	3	64
		441	0	1	62
		447	0	4	5
		450	0	0	81
		448	0	7	69
		779	0	1	62
		795	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
गोपालरायजीह—(जारी)	447	796	0	11	33
		797	0	6	7
		798	0	7	28
		800	0	7	28
		801	0	8	50
		804	0	7	28
		851	0	0	40
		837	0	6	7
		840	0	3	24
		839	0	2	2
		838	0	12	95
		835	0	0	40
		895	0	13	36
		892	0	21	45
		926	0	0	40
		891	0	6	48
		890	0	0	40
पारबांक	235	208	0	5	26
		204	0	4	5
		167	0	0	40
		168	0	0	81
		203	0	1	62
		202	0	1	62
		186	0	0	81
		185	0	4	45
		184	0	1	21
		169	0	1	62
		182	0	0	40
		181	0	7	69
		180	0	0	40
		123	0	2	43
		90	0	0	40
		89	0	3	24
		88	0	4	5
		87	0	1	62
		82	0	0	40
		77	0	2	2
		78	0	0	40
		79	0	1	62
		80	0	2	2
		38	0	12	95
		36	0	0	40
		39	0	6	7
		1	0	6	7
जोगियातिका	239	27	0	1	21
		38	0	0	81
पारबांक	235	183	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
बारामसीहा	228	386	0	4	5
<hr/>					
अंचल — पालाजोरी	जिला — देवधर		राज्य बिहार		
गांव	धाना संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	हेक्टेयर	क्षेत्र आरे	सेन्टी आरे
1	2	3	4	5	6
फारम	454	115	0	5	67
		122	0	5	26
		120	0	0	81
		119	0	0	40
		117	0	6	88
		118	0	0	81
		124	0	0	81
		116	0	2	2
		147	0	1	62
		148	0	5	26
		155	0	2	83
		149	0	24	28
		150	0	4	45
		153	0	3	24
		152	0	2	2
		1251	0	2	43
		1256	0	2	43
कोरियाहीह	453	963	0	1	62
		964	0	4	5
		962	0	1	62
		966	0	2	2
		967	0	3	24
		968	0	1	62
		971	0	2	83
		972	0	2	43
		978	0	0	40
		979	0	2	43
		980	0	2	2
		981	0	10	93
		982	0	1	21
		1003	0	0	40
		1002	0	10	93
		1029	0	0	40
		1030	0	3	24
		1033	0	9	71
		1032	0	9	71
		1031	0	8	90
		1052	0	1	21
		1051	0	1	62
		1256	0	7	28
		1257	0	8	90
		1255	0	0	40
		1249	0	3	24
		1248	0	0	40
		1292	0	5	26
		1293	0	4	45
		1289	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
कोरियाडीह—(जारी)	453	1288	0	0	40
		1297	0	10	52
		1294	0	4	5
		1298	0	0	81
		1299	0	4	5
		1300	0	2	43
		1302	0	0	40
		1303	0	1	21
भारदाहा	456	01	0	2	43
		04	0	20	24
		37	0	9	71
		53	0	25	50
		48	0	2	43
		47	0	2	83
		45	0	0	40
		46	0	1	21
		67	0	5	67
		66	0	0	40
		68	0	5	67
		72	0	8	90
		100	0	2	2
		101	0	0	40
		62	0	1	21
		124	0	23	7
		123	0	0	81
		122	0	2	43
		135	0	3	24
		121	0	0	40
		136	0	14	57
		141	0	0	81
		142	0	3	64
		591/1166	0	0	40
		591	0	6	7
		143	0	3	64
		590	0	22	66
		571	0	3	24
		570	0	0	40
		588	0	25	9
		574	0	0	81
		794	0	1	62
		795	0	18	21
		793	0	2	43
		913	0	2	2
		915	0	0	81
		916	0	3	64
		917	0	3	24
		918	0	4	45
		919	0	0	40
		908	0	8	9
		904	0	6	7
		907	0	0	81
		905	0	6	48
		895	0	2	2
		1030	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
बारदाहा—(जारी)	456	1031	0	18	62
		1077	0	0	40
		1076	0	4	86
		1079	0	11	33
		1080	0	0	40
		1093	0	5	67
		1092	0	1	21
		1095	0	2	2
		1091	0	4	86
		1090	0	2	2
		1087	0	9	71
सितलडीह चांदपुर	457	404	0	8	50
		412	0	0	81
		398	0	4	45
		395	0	1	21
		396	0	6	48
		397	0	10	12
		399	0	6	7
		417	0	3	24
		394	0	3	64
		418	0	1	62
		425	0	3	24
		419	0	2	43
		424	0	1	21
		423	0	2	2
		422	0	2	2
		421	0	0	81
		426	0	4	45
		427	0	2	43
		428	0	0	40
		420	0	6	7
		790	0	2	2
बृजपुर	440	1994	0	55	4
		2105	0	12	95
		2106	0	7	28
		2107	0	2	43
		2110	0	4	45
		2111	0	10	52
सितलकुण्डी	458	14	0	0	81
		23	0	16	**
		17	0	7	28
		19	0	2	83
		18	0	4	86
		448	0	4	5
		25	0	10	12
		46	0	2	2
		34	1	15	34
		40	0	21	4
		37	0	0	81
		35	0	18	62
		426	0	2	2
		425	0	18	21
		422	0	31	57
		428	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
सितलकुण्डी—(जारी)	458	429	0	1	21
सोभातार	459	781	0	47	35
		791	0	1	21
		798	0	4	45
		796	0	3	24
		799	0	22	66
		800	0	6	7
		802	0	4	86
		802/1041	0	3	24
		803	0	5	67
		894	0	6	88
		895	0	6	48
		899	0	0	81
		901	0	0	81
		900	0	4	5
		904	0	2	43
		905	0	16	59
		906	0	3	24
		700	0	1	21
		930	0	0	81
रघुआडीह	460	168	0	1	21
		171	0	7	69
		176	0	4	86
		175	0	0	81
		177	0	4	5
		178	0	1	21
		179	0	4	5
		180	0	3	64
		181	0	4	5
		182	0	0	81
		206	0	0	81
		186	0	0	81
		187	0	1	62
		197	0	9	71
		198	0	4	45
खागा	470	246/1384	0	0	40
		245	0	6	48
		246	0	8	50
		249	0	7	28
		250	0	8	90
		260	0	0	40
		258	0	5	67
		257	0	4	45
		256	0	0	81
		1382	0	8	90
		271	0	4	45
		272	0	1	21
		278	0	2	43
		281	0	10	93
		287	0	2	43
		297	0	11	33
		296	0	8	9
		293	0	24	28

1	2	3	4	5	6
खागा—(जारी)	470	1375	0	11	74
		617	0	0	40
		618	0	2	43
		698	0	3	64
		620	0	1	62
		695	0	12	14
		694	0	21	4
		729	0	3	24
		730	0	7	28
		790	0	1	62
		728	0	0	40
		733	0	0	40
		732	0	0	40
		731	0	0	81
		788	0	5	67
		787	0	6	7
		785	0	1	21
		786	0	1	62
		915	0	0	40
		916	0	2	2
		918	0	3	64
		947	0	13	36
		949	0	8	90
		948	0	0	40
		950	0	2	43
		951	0	4	45
		952	0	2	83
		953	0	6	48
		954	0	5	26
		955	0	0	81
		956	0	1	62
		958	0	1	21
		960	0	0	40
		961	0	0	40
		962	0	0	81
		942	0	0	40
		941	0	0	81
		940	0	1	62
		939	0	1	62
		938	0	0	40
		937	0	0	81
		1285	0	2	83
		1350	0	0	40
		1353	0	0	81
		1354	0	2	2
		1355	0	1	62
		1356	0	2	43
		1357	0	0	40
		1358	0	14	16
		1364	0	0	40
		1363	0	3	64
		1366	0	8	9
रामजीवनपुर	468	43	0	2	43
		44	0	4	45

1	2	3	4	5	6
रामजीबिनपुर—(जारी)	468	45	0	4	45
		94	0	7	28
		47	0	1	21
		46	0	4	86
		79	0	2	2
		80	0	7	28
		81	0	7	69
		82	0	0	81
		85	0	10	12
भंगाहीर	469	106	0	8	9
		127	0	1	62
		108	0	4	5
		111	0	6	88
		109	0	0	81
		110	0	7	69
सारसा	463	803	0	5	67
		804	0	0	81
		806	0	0	81
		807	0	0	81
		810	0	24	28
		812	0	19	2
		813	0	4	86
		814	0	1	62
		1388	0	0	40
		1389	0	4	5
		1390	0	1	62
		1385	0	5	67
		1394	0	5	26
		1391	0	1	21
		1382	0	0	81
		1381	0	0	81
सालदाहा	465	63	0	4	5
		49	0	12	95
		56	0	4	86
		61	0	11	33
		60	0	6	88
		947	0	4	5
		64	0	6	7
		343	0	6	7
		391	0	1	62
		392	0	4	45
		390	0	2	2
		398	0	0	40
		387	0	6	7
		399	0	0	40
		400	0	7	28
		401	0	6	7
		442	0	3	24
		443	0	7	28
		446	0	19	83
		448	0	0	40
		581	0	2	43
		458	0	14	16
		460	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
सालदाहा—(जारी)	465	457	0	30	76
		455	0	3	24
		467	0	1	62
		486	0	2	2
पत्थराबहल	464	53	0	2	83
		52	0	2	83
		55	0	0	40
		56	0	12	95
		57	0	0	81
		72	0	0	40
		58	0	0	40
		59	0	0	81
		60	0	1	62
		71	0	0	40
		70	0	6	7
		61	0	0	81
		69	0	2	83
		68	0	0	40
		66	0	3	64
		102	0	0	40
		183	0	2	83
		103	0	0	40
		116	0	2	2
		65	0	0	40
		117	0	4	86
		118	0	1	21
		132	0	1	21
		134	0	0	40
		119	0	8	90
		131	0	2	83
		168	0	18	21
		127	0	3	24
		125	0	1	21
		174	0	7	28
		168/182	0	1	21
बिश्नुपुर	620	90	0	1	62
		93	0	8	9
		94	0	4	86
		95	0	6	88
		96	0	0	40
		102	0	11	33
		111	0	13	76
जगदीशपुर	622	20	0	30	76
		22	0	0	40
		26	0	0	81
		27	0	3	24
		112	0	38	4
		119	0	1	62
		111	0	3	24
		110	0	3	64
		109	0	11	74
पहाडिया पाडा	623	04	0	10	52
		108	0	9	31

1	2	3	4	5	6
पहाडिया पाछा—(जारी)	623	10	0	1	62
		149	0	6	48
		150	0	7	28
		158	0	3	64
		153	0	10	93
		157	0	16	19
शिमला	625	1273	0	4	86
		1293	0	9	31
		1292	0	5	26
		1294	0	2	2
		1290	0	4	86
		1291	0	1	21
		1296	0	17	81
		1303	0	4	5
		1304	0	10	12
		1306	0	2	43
		1305	0	2	43
		1327	0	3	64
		1328	0	0	40
		1323	0	6	48
		1321	0	5	26
		1336	0	2	43
		1337	0	2	2
		1346	0	4	45
		1459	0	2	43
		1419	0	29	14
		1418	0	5	67
		1417	0	5	67
		1453	0	13	76
		1409	0	2	83
		1403	0	2	43
		1402	0	8	90
		1401	0	4	86
		1469	0	7	28
		1462	0	0	40
		1461	0	5	26
		1463	0	3	64
		1465	0	2	2
		1464	0	1	21
		1466	0	1	62
		1493	0	2	2
		1494	0	3	64
		1495	0	2	2
		1496	0	2	83
		1499	0	4	86
		1498	0	0	81
		1500	0	3	24
		1510	0	1	62
		1501	0	3	64
		1502	0	2	43
		1503	0	8	9
		1504	0	1	62
		1509	0	2	83
		1506	0	1	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
शिमला—(जारी)	625	1507	0	4	5
		1505	0	0	81
		1546	0	8	9
		1545	0	1	21
		1556	0	8	50
		1559	0	7	28
		1557	0	0	40
		1558	0	10	93
		1560	0	0	81
		1563	0	14	57
		1568	0	4	5
		1566	0	4	86
		1569	0	0	40
		1570	0	11	33
		1574	0	4	86
		1573	0	0	40
आमगाछी	658	71	0	4	5
		72	0	2	2
		65	0	8	90
		75	0	2	2
		74	0	1	21
		79	0	3	24
		91	0	17	81
		82	0	4	5
		153	0	4	5
		152	0	8	90
		149/536	0	0	40
		149	0	0	40
		157	0	8	90
		151	0	2	83
		150	0	0	40
		158	0	1	21
		160	0	0	40
		159	0	7	69
		162	0	7	28
		163	0	0	40
		164	0	0	40
		140	0	3	64
		188	0	1	21
		165	0	0	40
		183	0	6	48
		196	0	0	81
		195	0	6	88
		181/535	0	19	2
		182	0	0	81
		181	0	3	64
		180	0	8	9
		299	0	2	43
		295	0	4	5
		291	0	1	62
		292	0	1	21
		293	0	1	21
		294	0	0	81
		295	0	1	62

2508	THE GAZETTE OF INDIA : JULY 6, 1996/ASADHA 15, 1918				[PART II—SEC. 3(ii)]
1	2	3	4	5	6
आमगाछी—(जारी)	658	296	0	2	2
		272	0	2	43
		261	0	6	7
		260	0	14	57
		258	0	6	7
सिरामपुर	660	941	0	17	40
		927	0	6	88
		924	0	8	50
		928	0	0	81
		936	0	0	81
		921	0	5	67
		934	0	12	55
		931	0	2	2
		935	0	0	40
		898	0	36	83
		948	0	6	7
		981	0	4	45
		1214	0	13	76
		1217	0	1	21
		1215	0	1	62
		1216	0	0	81
		1210	0	0	40
		1211	0	11	33
		1169	0	20	64
		1170	0	4	45
		1171	0	3	24
		1178	0	4	86
भोरभारा	662	7	0	4	45
		71	0	6	88
		72	0	0	81
		69	0	3	64
		68	0	3	24
		67	0	3	24
		64	0	11	33
		108	0	2	2
		55	0	0	81
		63	0	2	2
		56	0	0	81
		62	0	1	21
		61	0	0	40
		57	0	2	2
		58	0	2	43
		59	0	0	81
		49	0	2	83
		48	0	3	24
		46	0	2	83
		45	0	3	64
		43	0	4	86
		42	0	4	5
		41	0	3	64
		40	0	3	24
		39	0	3	64
		38	0	3	64
		37	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
घोरमारा—(जारी)	662	334	0	1	21
		414	0	0	81
		413	0	4	86
		412	0	6	88
		411	0	4	45
		410	0	3	24
		409	0	3	64
		464	0	6	48
		467	0	8	9
		470	0	11	33
		463	0	0	81
		471	0	3	24
		472	0	1	21
		473	0	4	45
		461	0	29	14
		453	0	7	28
		452	0	4	5
		450	0	4	5
		451	0	0	40
		446	0	1	62
हीरापुर	663	508	0	3	24
		479	0	1	21
		478	0	0	40
		480	0	7	28
		482	0	1	21
		483	0	35	61
		484	0	4	5
		485	0	0	40
		487	0	7	69
		488	0	5	67
		531/569	0	0	81
बरवामरनी	665	03	0	0	81
		488	0	6	88
		487	0	2	83
		489	0	11	74
		490	0	2	43
		529	0	10	12
		527	0	1	21
		530	0	1	21
		531	0	2	2
		539	0	6	7
		542	0	0	81
		538	0	4	86
		537	0	2	2
		536	0	2	83
		534	0	3	64
		580	0	11	33
		581	0	1	62
		584	0	22	66
		582	0	2	43
सतकी	664	231	0	10	93
		435	0	6	88
		392	0	8	9
		393	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
सतकी—(जारी)	664	394	0	0	81
		396	0	14	57
		397	0	0	40
		406	0	0	40
		958	0	6	7
		402	0	8	90
		914	0	62	32
बनसनाली	684	139	0	5	67
		140	0	88	63
		787	0	1	21
		495	0	30	35
		795	0	9	31
रामपुर	685	09	0	8	90
		1154	0	33	19
		761	0	8	50
		762	0	9	31
		768	0	0	81
		763	0	17	40
		764	0	25	50
		765	0	1	21
		721	0	10	93
		766	0	1	21
		767	0	7	28
		720	0	1	21
		768	0	2	2
		786	0	0	40
		787	0	9	71
		788	0	8	50
		789	0	0	81
		791	0	0	81
		714	0	7	28
		318	0	1	62
		719	0	1	21
		320	0	0	81
		321	0	0	81
		322/1349	0	2	43
		324	0	2	2
		323	0	3	64
		328	0	1	21
		329	0	0	81
		327	0	0	81
		322	0	0	81
		333	0	1	21
		334	0	0	81
		332	0	3	64
		335	0	2	2
		336	0	4	45
		337	0	0	81
		345	0	1	62
		609	0	3	24
		608	0	3	64
		607	0	0	81
		614	0	0	40
		605	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
रामपुर—(जारी)	685	615	0	8	9
		616	0	7	69
		617	0	3	24
		619	0	0	81
		664	0	31	57
		665	0	2	83

[सं. आर.-31015/3/96-ओ.आर.-1]

के. सी. कटोच, अपर सचिव

New Delhi, the 5th July, 1996

5.O. 1982.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum (crude) from Haldia in the State of West Bengal to Barauni in the State of Bihar, pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipelines it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may within 21 days from the date on which the copies of this notification, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the Pipeline under the land to Shri V. N. Akhauri, Senior Liaison Officer (on deputation), C/o Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Haldia-Barauni Crude Pipeline Project, Bampus Town, Jhansagori, Deoghar-814114, Bihar.

SCHEDULE

Anchal : Deoghar			Distt. : Deoghar		State : Bihar
Village	Thana No.	Plot No.	Area		
			Hectares	Ares	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5	6
Kenankathi Garjora	6	17	0	12	95
		51	0	21	4
		54	0	22	26
		66	0	56	66
		55	0	1	21
		1150	0	1	62
		28	0	14	57
		1177	0	2	43
		1114	0	4	45
		1115	0	1	21
		1116	0	0	81
		1117	0	0	81
Bandhakendua	216	68	0	12	95
		618	0	0	81
		66	0	8	90
		64	0	3	64
		58	0	0	81
		65	0	7	28
		59	0	3	64
		60	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bandhakendua—Contd.	216	51	0	2	83
		50	0	0	40
		49	0	8	9
		48	0	1	21
		26	0	2	83
		27	0	3	64
		28	0	11	74
		17	0	0	40
		19	0	5	26
		18	0	2	83
		15	0	2	83
		16	0	2	83
		13	0	2	2
		11	0	0	40
		12	0	8	90
		305	0	20	24
		294	0	2	2
		300	0	7	28
		295	0	0	40
		301	0	7	28
		304	0	4	5
		327	0	8	90
		399	0	0	40
		348	0	0	81
		250	0	0	81
		349	0	4	86
		251	0	3	24
		350	0	0	81
		347	0	1	62
		365	0	10	12
		395	0	13	76
		391	0	0	40
		393	0	20	24
		514	0	16	19
		516	0	0	40
		513	0	1	21
		604	0	19	43
		511	0	0	81
		577	0	10	93
		576	0	5	26
		575	0	2	2
		579	0	4	45
		573	0	5	26
		583	0	0	40
		571	0	3	24
		570	0	4	86
		579	0	3	24
		578	0	3	24
		559	0	1	62
		560	0	0	81
		562	0	9	31
		563	0	3	64
		561	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bandhakendua—Contd.	216	567	0	0	81
		540	0	12	14
		539	0	0	81
		593	0	2	43
		599	0	8	9
		595	0	7	69
		596	0	4	5
Kusmaha	222	750	0	16	19
		748	0	5	67
		759	0	0	40
		751	0	2	83
		756	0	1	21
		755	0	7	69
		754	0	4	45
		865	0	0	81
		778	0	6	48
		779	0	4	45
		780	0	3	24
		931	0	5	67
		800	0	4	86
		796	0	1	62
		797	0	0	40
		798	0	5	26
		822	0	1	62
		721	0	1	62
		983	0	2	2
		799	0	1	62
		823	0	7	28
		824	0	1	62
		834	0	0	40
		833	0	0	40
		860	0	3	24
		861	0	2	43
		764	0	0	40
		858	0	0	81
		859	0	9	71
		757	0	0	40
		892	0	13	76
		722	0	3	24
		890	0	7	28
Sarsa	226	944	0	0	40
		1008	0	4	86
		1007	0	3	24
		1018	0	2	2
		1012	0	0	40
		1018/1407	0	0	81
		1019/1408	0	0	81
		1020/1409	0	1	62
		1019	0	0	81
		1020	0	2	83
		1017	0	0	40
		1021	0	2	43
		1022	0	2	43
		1023	0	2	43
		1033	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sarsa—Contd.	226	1055	0	0	81
		1054	0	0	81
		1048	0	0	40
		1046	0	7	28
		1047	0	0	40
		1045	0	2	43
		1044	0	2	83
		1043	0	1	62
		1042	0	3	64
		952	0	1	62
		951	0	1	21
		950	0	4	45
		953	0	0	40
		949	0	2	83
		948	0	0	40
		937	0	1	62
		939	0	0	40
		940	0	0	40
		943	0	1	62
		941	0	0	81
		942	0	0	81
		918	0	12	14
		1175	0	0	40
		1176	0	0	40
		917	0	4	5
		1208	0	5	67
		827	0	0	40
		826	0	1	21
		825	0	3	24
		824	0	2	83
		823	0	0	40
		822	0	3	24
		821	0	0	81
		818	0	2	2
		819	0	0	40
		817	0	2	83
		816	0	2	83
		820	0	0	40
		815	0	4	86
		791	0	3	24
		792	0	0	40
		794	0	0	81
		795	0	0	40
		793	0	5	67
		796	0	0	40
		781	0	9	31
		660	0	0	40
		661	0	13	36
		671	0	6	7
		672	0	0	81
		670	0	0	40
		679	0	4	5
		683	0	3	64
		684	0	0	81

	2	3	4	5	6
Sarsa—Contd.	226	682	0	3	64
		687	0	0	81
		688	0	2	2
		689	0	3	24
		690	0	3	24
		691	0	4	45
		692	0	2	43
Deopur	227	248	0	6	88
		386	0	0	40
		389	0	0	81
		387	0	0	81
		390	0	0	40
		388	0	2	2
		404	0	6	7
		403	0	4	86
		402	0	0	40
		394	0	0	40
		397/685	0	3	24
		395	0	3	64
		361	0	5	26
		362	0	0	40
		359	0	1	21
		358	0	4	86
		357	0	3	24
		340	0	0	40
		344	0	2	83
		345	0	1	21
		343	0	0	40
		346	0	3	24
		347	0	3	24
		348	0	1	62
Basmandih	228	18	0	6	48
		24	0	2	83
		25	0	2	2
		26	0	0	81
		27	0	4	86
		22	0	0	81
		41	0	12	14
		39	0	0	40
		40	0	1	21
		46	0	3	64
		47	0	2	43
		45	0	3	64
		51	0	3	64
		9	0	1	62
		8	0	12	95
		6	0	8	50
Sankari	229	87	0	23	47
		81	0	34	40
		439	0	0	40
		438	0	0	40
		437	0	0	40
		440	0	3	24
		441	0	2	83

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sankari—Contd.	229	436	0	2	2
		435	0	2	2
		432	0	3	64
		433	0	0	40
		1350	0	3	64
		1349	0	1	62
		1351	0	6	88
		1352	0	3	24
		1354	0	3	24
		1314	0	2	2
		1312	0	1	62
		1309	0	3	64
		1308	0	2	43
		1302	0	4	86
		1301	0	1	62
		1300	0	0	40
Garibkhill	243	76	0	4	5
		74	0	2	83
		73	0	1	21
		72	0	1	21
		58	0	3	24
		50	0	0	81
		59	0	1	21
		51	0	2	2
		60	0	4	5
		49	0	0	81
		44	0	0	81
		111	0	8	50
		75	0	0	40
		134	0	3	64
		131	0	2	2
		129	0	2	83
		132	0	9	31
		140	0	3	64
		141	0	2	43
		142	0	4	45
		143	0	0	40
		165	0	6	7
		150	0	2	2
		159	0	2	43
		151	0	0	40
		152	0	0	40
		153	0	3	64
		154	0	4	5
		156	0	0	40
		160	0	2	2
Gidhni	244	14	0	19	43
		13	0	3	24
		7	0	37	23
		216	0	2	2
		215	0	18	62
		206	0	29	14
		205	0	0	81
		243	0	5	26

1	2	3	4	5	6
Gidhni—Contd.	244	242	0	13	78
		241	0	6	7
		252	0	8	9
		250	0	2	43
		255/1611	0	5	67
		256	0	6	7
		363	0	18	62
		367	0	31	57
		380	0	7	69
		385	0	12	95
		386	0	12	95
		391	0	5	26
		390	0	5	26
		389	0	11	33
		395	0	10	93
		400	0	10	12
		399	0	5	26
		404	0	10	52

Anchal : Mohanpur

Distt. : Deoghar

State : Bihar

Village	Thana No.	Plot No.	Area		
			Hectares	Ares	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5	6
Khejuriya	420	34	0	13	36
		38	0	4	86
		36	0	4	86
		40	0	16	99
Telianawadih	562	4	0	0	40
		41	0	2	83
		42	0	2	2
		43	0	0	81
		47	0	0	40
		48	0	0	40
		49	0	1	21
		50	0	0	81
Gourigunge	555	30	2	2	43
		31	0	0	81
		95	0	16	19
		96	0	12	14
Hetchhotbandha	561	1	0	1	62
		2	0	0	81
Chaknawadih	556	7	0	6	48
		6	0	4	45
Upar Rangatahr	560	117	0	2	2
		120	0	0	81
		121	0	4	45
		122	0	4	86
Kusumbandh	568	24	0	0	40
		23	0	4	5
		22	0	3	64
		21	0	2	2
		18	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
Kusumbandh—Contd.	568	08	0	7	28
		104	0	4	86
		105	0	1	62
		103	0	0	81
		106	0	7	69
		102	0	0	40
Amgaria	578	128	0	8	9
		124	0	0	40
		133	0	1	62
		134	0	1	62
		127	0	0	81
		135	0	11	74
		138	0	6	48
		155	0	3	64
		156	0	9	71
		168	0	7	69
		167	0	5	26
		195	0	6	88
		199	0	0	81
		197	0	4	86
		311	0	6	88
		312	0	1	21
		313	0	9	71
		354	0	2	83
		353	0	6	88
		303	0	0	40
		302	0	0	81
		301	0	7	69
		299	0	0	40
		300	0	13	36
		374	0	0	40
Punsia	579	35	0	2	2
		36	0	25	9
Balsora	569	48	0	0	40
		42	0	6	88
		45	0	8	9
		46	0	1	21
Punsia	579	380	0	4	45
		381	0	0	40
		383	0	3	24
		388	0	3	24
		707	0	2	2
		706	0	0	81
		702	0	7	28
		704	0	0	81
		705	0	2	43
		392	0	9	71
		681	0	2	83
		680	0	2	43
		679	0	16	59
		674	0	14	97
		673	0	0	40
		675	0	10	93
		669	0	7	69

1	2	3	4	5	6
Punsia—Contd.	579	668	0	7	28
		99	0	0	81
		96	0	7	69
		97	0	1	21
		98	0	10	93
		112	0	2	43
		113	0	6	48
		114	0	4	5
		111	0	2	2
		868	0	0	40
		302	0	9	31
		303	0	6	7
		288	0	0	40
		312	0	0	81
		313	0	1	62
		315	0	2	43
		308	0	0	40
		319	0	0	81
		318	0	2	43
		317	0	1	62
		316	0	1	62
		328	0	1	62
		327	0	4	86
		326	0	1	21
		343	0	0	40
		344	0	1	21
		345	0	2	2
		376	0	0	40
		357	0	2	43
		356	0	2	83
		358	0	0	81
		355	0	1	21
		353	0	0	40
		379	0	4	5
Korabandh	558	19	0	6	88
		18	0	8	9
		20	0	0	40
		21	0	9	71
		24	0	1	21
		22	0	1	62
		27	0	0	81
		28	0	4	86
		29	0	6	7
		31	0	1	21
		30	0	4	86
Athmuriya	691	292	0	4	86
		293	0	0	40
Baghmari	687	4	0	14	97
		5	5	0	40
		21	0	15	38
		22	0	6	7
		32	0	7	28
Thari	688	165	0	10	12
		167	0	6	88
		203	0	10	12

1	2	3	4	5	6
Thari—Contd.	688	206	0	0	81
		202	0	5	26
		201	0	8	50
		239	0	1	21
		302	0	4	45
		301	0	3	24
		300	0	6	88
		304	0	0	40
		305	0	8	50
		355	0	9	71
		356	0	0	40
		359	0	2	43
		360	0	0	81
		366	0	2	2
		367	0	13	76
		419	0	4	86
		444	0	0	81
		445	0	2	43
		443	0	9	71
		441	0	0	81
		470	0	2	83
		471	0	1	21
		472	0	1	62
		474	0	10	12
		483	0	4	5
		487	0	1	62
		485	0	3	24
		484	0	1	62
		492	0	0	81
		493	0	6	48
		515	0	12	55
		499	0	3	64
		501	0	1	62
		500	0	0	81
		676	0	4	45
		677	0	0	40
Patharchati	703	25	0	12	55
Singardih	702	369	0	1	62
		370	0	1	62
		371	0	6	7
		372	0	4	86
		373	0	15	78
		376	0	3	24
		377	0	10	12
		368	0	3	64
		378	0	43	30
Rangamodichak	705	16	0	4	45
		14	0	5	67
		14/101	0	12	14
		12	0	8	90
		11	0	8	90
		52	0	0	81
Medinidih	681	399	0	1	62
		401	0	2	83

I	2	3	4	5	6
Medinidih—Contd.	681	402	0	8	90
		404	0	10	52
		417	0	8	90
		416	0	3	24
		413	0	4	5
		441	0	1	21
		442	0	2	43
		443	0	6	7
		445	0	3	24
		440	0	18	62
		439	0	0	40
		456	0	6	88
		455	0	0	40
		356	0	2	83
		515	0	6	88
		514	0	9	71
		524	0	13	76
		525	0	2	43
		526	0	8	9
		511	0	8	50
		495	0	8	9
		493	0	4	45
		494	0	3	24
Harkata	678	3	0	2	83
		4	0	8	9
		5	0	5	67
		8	0	4	5
		6	0	10	12
		7	0	0	40
		67	0	9	31
		68	0	16	19
		194	0	2	2
		189	0	2	43
		188	0	9	71
		186	0	14	57
		183	0	0	40
		184	0	2	2
		185	0	4	5
		206	0	10	52
		210	0	0	81
		202	0	14	16
		203	0	0	40
Goursindha	671	10	0	20	64
Sirsa	672	41	0	7	28
		40	0	0	40
		38	0	5	26
		33	0	8	50
		39	0	0	81
		36	0	0	81
		35	0	3	64
Pakoriya	674	318	0	0	81
		319	0	1	21
		358	0	3	24
		321	0	21	85
		357	0	30	35

1	2	3	4	5	6
Pakoriya—Contd.	674	325	0	0	40
		326	0	0	81
		328	0	7	28
		329	0	4	5
		330	0	0	40
		356	0	8	9
		355	0	1	62
		353	0	1	21
		351	0	0	40
		354	0	5	26
		350	0	3	64
		349	0	1	21
		348	0	0	40
Kisumidih	662	374	0	37	23
		483	0	2	43
		482	0	4	86
		481	0	5	67
		477	0	0	81
		496	0	2	43
		495	0	0	81
		497	0	10	12
		512	0	3	24
		514	0	6	48
		457	0	9	31
		456	0	0	81
		458	0	7	28
		459	0	3	24
		460	0	1	62
		451	0	18	62
		447	0	7	28
		442	0	0	40
		441	0	2	43
		440	0	4	86
		439	0	2	83
		532	0	1	62
		435	0	0	40
		433	0	14	97
		431	0	3	24
		430	0	0	40
		418	0	5	67
		417	0	0	40
		416	0	0	40
		420	0	1	21
		421	0	2	2
		419	0	3	24
		422	0	0	81
		423	0	0	40
		583	0	13	76
		586	0	7	69
		587	0	0	81
		798	0	1	21
		801	0	5	67
		806	0	16	19
		808	0	3	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
Narahi	663	313	0	23	7
		315	0	3	64
		317	0	20	24
		316	0	0	81
Gadibaliya Chhit	653	10	0	12	95
		11	0	0	40
Tiwarikanari	654	2	0	5	26
		9	0	11	74
		6	0	11	74
		7	0	6	48
		13	0	9	71
		13	0	9	31
		15	0	5	26
		16	0	1	21
Gadibaliya	655	110	0	0	40
		109	0	4	86
		108	0	6	7
		114	0	0	40
		117	0	4	5
		116	0	1	21
Banpokharia	656	2	0	0	81
		13	0	0	40
		38	0	19	43
		39	0	7	69
		44	0	13	36
		55	0	25	50
		57	0	0	40
		132	0	13	76
		168	0	0	81
		131	0	5	67
		126	0	8	90
		127	0	1	21
		128	0	0	81
		129	0	2	43
		133	0	1	62
		124	0	19	83
		138	0	5	67
		137	0	2	43
		139	0	0	40
		140	0	0	81
		141	0	4	5
		143	0	0	81
		144	0	3	64
		145	0	0	81
		147	0	10	52
		146	0	3	64
		174	0	0	40
		159	0	10	93
		161	0	7	28
		160	0	4	86
		164	0	8	9
Jharkhandi	646	978	0	27	52
		980	0	6	48
		982	0	3	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
Jharkhandi—Contd.	646	985	0	4	5
		984	0	4	86
		986	0	0	40
		988	0	2	43
Khairkhunti	657	49	0	7	28
		50	0	6	48
		51	0	0	81
		52	0	2	43
		55	0	4	5
		428	0	6	48
		696	0	3	24
		424	0	4	86
		426	0	1	62
		425	0	2	2
		437	0	11	33
		418	0	38	85
		438	0	0	81
		417	0	1	21
		441	0	12	95
		441/688	0	2	43
		490	0	5	26
		491	0	6	7
		492	0	6	48
		493	0	0	40
		494	0	8	90
		637	0	8	9
		636	0	3	24
		635	0	13	76
		632	0	11	33
		630	0	1	21
		631	0	12	14
		646	0	5	26
		645	0	3	24
		665	0	6	48
		677	0	0	40
		673	0	6	88
		672	0	1	62
		666	0	0	81
		669	0	0	40

Anchal : Sarawan

Distt. : Deoghar

State : Bihar

Village	Thana No.	Plot No.	Area		
			Hectares	Ares	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5	6
Duliadih	21	542	0	1	62
		543	0	1	21
		544	0	7	28
		545	0	2	2
		546	0	0	81
		547	0	1	62
		548	0	0	40
		549	0	4	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
Duliadih—Contd.	21	550	0	2	43
		551	0	2	43
		553	0	0	40
		575	0	0	40
		576	0	3	24
		577	0	0	81
		578	0	3	64
		579	0	2	43
Harladih	22	13	0	4	5
		14	0	7	28
		15	0	4	86
		16	0	4	5
		17	0	0	40
		18	0	6	7
		19	0	4	86
		22	0	0	81
		23	0	3	24
		24	0	1	62
		88	0	2	43
		86	0	4	86
		87	0	14	57
		89	0	0	40
		109	0	1	21
		110	0	0	40
		108	0	0	40
		111	0	6	88
		112	0	0	81
		113	0	4	45
		115	0	6	48
		116	0	1	62
		117	0	6	48
		120	0	3	64
		121	0	0	40
		131/378	0	5	67
		131	0	1	21
		129	0	3	24
		128	0	0	40
		133	0	0	40
		127	0	7	69
		126	0	0	40
		134	0	10	12
		135	0	0	40
		152	0	8	50
		147	0	7	69
		146	0	14	16
		150	0	0	40
		151	0	7	69
Baidnathpur	82	216	0	23	88
		217	0	3	24
		212	0	0	40
		218	0	11	74
		219	0	4	45
		222	0	8	9
		223	0	0	40
		221	0	13	76

1	2	3	4	5	6
Baidnathpur—Contd.	82	224	0	0	40
Bisanpur	98	104	0	4	86
		103	0	0	40
		146	0	17	81
		142	0	3	24
		141	0	0	81
		140	0	3	24
		136	0	6	88
		133	0	5	67
		134	0	5	26
		128	0	17	81
		129	0	0	40
		126	0	3	24
		125	0	3	24
		124	0	1	21
		123	0	3	64
		122	0	1	62
		121	0	4	45
		120	0	1	21
		119	0	3	24
		118	0	14	16
		156	0	1	62
Paharpur	97	28	0	3	24
		31	0	19	83
		33	0	6	88
		32	0	0	40
		37	0	0	81
		34	0	12	14
		36	0	4	45
		35	0	2	2
		47	0	3	64
		77	0	13	76
		75	0	2	43
		99	0	4	45
		74	0	5	67
		73	0	0	81
		100	0	14	16
		103	0	1	62
		104	0	4	5
		105	0	0	40
		106	0	4	5
		107	0	1	62
		108	0	0	40
		96	0	8	9
		95	0	14	16
		151	0	0	81
Sarawan	104	1001/2413	0	3	64
		990	0	8	9
		991	0	2	43
		922	0	4	5
		993	0	10	52
		997	0	0	40
		994	0	1	21
		996	0	7	28

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sarawan—Contd.	104	1043	0	4	5
		1044	0	3	24
		1034	0	1	62
		1045	0	8	9
		1032	0	0	40
		1046	0	2	43
		1049	0	1	21
		1048	0	4	86
		1047	0	0	81
		1033	0	0	40
Kusmaha	96	311	0	0	81
		312	0	12	14
		313	0	0	81
		314	0	3	24
		345	0	8	9
		346	0	6	88
		348	0	1	21
		359	0	0	40
		360	0	1	62
		361	0	3	24
		1478	0	5	67
		1479	0	5	26
		1477	0	3	64
		1480	0	0	40
		1497/1629	0	0	40
		1498	0	4	86
		1497	0	5	26
		1496	0	6	7
		1495	0	8	9
		1492	0	1	62
		1501	0	1	21
		1522	0	0	81
		1523	0	5	67
		1525	0	3	24
		1526	0	3	24
		1527	0	9	31
		1524	0	1	21
		1528	0	2	43
		1529	0	2	43
		1534	0	0	81
		1533	0	0	40
		1531	0	0	40
		1532	0	9	31
		1521	0	5	26
Bandhih	134	94	0	2	83
		95	0	1	62
		93	0	3	64
		92	0	4	5
		88	0	0	40
		89	0	3	24
		87	0	1	62
		85	0	1	21
		84	0	0	81
		83	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bandhih—Contd.	134	72	0	1	21
		73	0	0	40
		74	0	3	64
		75	0	0	81
		76	0	1	62
		78	0	1	62
		79	0	2	2
		160	0	0	81
		48	0	0	40
Laskardih	133	80	0	6	48
		79	0	2	2
		82	0	0	40
		318	0	0	40
		67	0	1	62
		68	0	4	45
		69	0	2	43
		236	0	6	48
		237	0	1	21
		235	0	0	40
		238	0	2	43
		239	0	8	90
		249	0	1	62
		367	0	4	45
		368	0	2	83
		369	0	0	81
		341	0	0	40
		342	0	0	81
		343	0	1	21
		344	0	1	62
		346	0	0	40
		345	0	2	2
		348	0	0	40
		339	0	0	40
		351	0	1	21
		352	0	1	21
		350	0	0	40
		353	0	1	62
		319	0	0	81
		320	0	3	24
		321	0	1	62
		322	0	1	62
		323	0	6	7
		316	0	2	2
		314	0	4	5
		315	0	3	64
		324	0	0	81
		325	0	3	24
		326	0	0	40
		312	0	16	19
		656	0	1	21
		305	0	0	40
		306	0	0	40
		307	0	0	40
		308	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
Laskardih—Contd.	133	309	0	0	81
		311	0	4	5
		310	0	1	21
		662	0	12	55
		663	0	4	86
		661	0	0	81
		734	0	0	40
		735	0	7	28
		736	0	5	26
		737	0	0	40
		738	0	6	7
		693	0	0	40
		694	0	3	24
		74	0	2	43
		75	0	5	67
		76	0	3	64
		77	0	0	40
Talayadhi	138	3	0	0	81
		4	0	15	38
Sobhasadih	139	2	0	4	45
		33	0	5	67
		34	0	16	19
		30	0	0	40
		42	0	0	40
		43	0	2	83
		44	0	0	81
		45	0	3	64
		23	0	0	40
		46	0	3	24
		47	0	1	62
		48	0	2	43
		49	0	1	21
		50	0	7	28
		51	0	1	21
Naukhalachhit	140	1158	0	1	62
		1176	0	3	24
		1175	0	0	81
		1177	0	4	5
		1178	0	4	86
		1172	0	8	9
		1171	0	1	21
		1169	0	1	62
		1182	0	3	24
Bagicha	141	155	0	0	81
		156	0	7	28
		164	0	6	7
		177	0	0	81
		157	0	0	40
		166	0	1	62
		165	0	0	40
		180	0	0	40
		179	0	4	45
		178	0	4	5
		175	0	12	14
		181	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bagicha—Contd.	141	182	0	0	40
Naukhila	144	63	0	9	31
		62	0	5	67
		60	0	0	40
		61	0	1	62
		77	0	5	67
		76	0	2	83
		69	0	5	67
		70	0	0	40
		71	0	4	45
		72	0	1	62
		117	0	8	9
		116	0	3	64
		115	0	0	40
		120	0	2	43
		138/1161	0	2	43
		141	0	0	81
		138	0	9	71
		137	0	1	21
		142	0	4	45
		143	0	5	26
		136	0	0	81
		212	0	4	86
		211	0	2	2
		209	0	12	14
		322	0	7	28
		323	0	10	52
		324	0	4	5
		321	0	3	64
		352	0	1	62
		351	0	3	64
		354	0	5	67
		355	0	2	2
		353	0	1	21
		364	0	1	62
		365	0	2	43
		366	0	2	43
		367	0	5	67
		368	0	2	43
		370	0	3	24
		378	0	0	40
		371	0	3	24
		372	0	2	43
		373	0	5	67
		374	0	6	48
		375	0	0	40
		414	0	1	21
		417	0	3	24
		1131	0	8	9
Pichchi	175	62	0	15	38
		63	0	5	26
		64	0	2	2
		65	0	6	7

1	2	3	4	5	6
Pichchi—Contd.	175	66	0	3	24
		84	0	3	24
		99	0	1	62
		86	0	1	62
		98	0	2	83
		90	0	0	81
		91	0	2	2
		92	0	2	43
		93	0	1	21
		95	0	0	40
		94	0	3	64
		96	0	2	83
		252	0	2	43
		253	0	2	43
		254	0	0	81
		255	0	1	21
		256	0	5	67
		258	0	0	81
		259	0	6	7
		260	0	6	7
		261	0	1	21
		262	0	2	43
		263	0	4	5
		264	0	0	40
		265	0	5	67
		266	0	10	12
		832	0	1	62
		833	0	1	62
		834	0	3	64
		835	0	3	24
		836	0	3	64
		837	0	4	5
		838	0	3	24
		839	0	0	81
Uparbandhi	176	1	0	3	64
		3	0	0	81
		4	0	7	28
		5	0	7	28
		8	0	0	81
		9	0	0	81
		10	0	0	40
		11	0	2	83
		12	0	2	83
		13	0	2	83
		15	0	0	40
		20	0	6	48
		21	0	0	40
		22	0	7	28
		23	0	1	62
		24	0	0	81
		25	0	0	40
Tharhi	219	7	0	4	45
		6	0	0	40
		9	0	1	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
Tharhi—Contd.	219	10	0	4	5
		12	0	6	88
		13	0	4	86
		15	0	14	97
		144	0	12	14
		134	0	11	33
		136	0	0	40
		133	0	2	2
		235	0	8	90
		237	0	4	45
		240	0	14	57
		245	0	12	95
		246	0	5	26
		270	0	3	24
		264	0	1	62
		265	0	2	43
		266	0	4	86
		267	0	1	62
		268	0	1	62
		277	0	4	5
		279	0	0	81
		280	0	0	40
		327	0	4	45
		319	0	2	83
		320	0	2	83
		322	0	1	21
		323	0	2	83
		324	0	9	71
		325	0	2	43
		318	0	1	62
		317	0	7	28
		315	0	2	83
		316	0	0	81
		314	0	5	67
		362	0	3	24
		363	0	11	74
		364	0	3	64
		367	0	3	64
		366	0	7	69
		365	0	2	2
Sursura	220	10	0	0	40
		92	0	9	31
		93	0	0	40
		97	0	3	24
		96	0	4	45
		100	0	0	81
		101	0	2	2
		102	0	4	86
		103	0	0	40
		104	0	2	43
		470	0	3	64
		118	0	1	21
		120	0	1	21
		121	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sursura—Contd.	220	122	0	2	43
		123	0	0	81
Danga	172	3	0	4	5
		19	0	0	81
		20	0	5	67
		17	0	1	62
		21	0	8	9
		23	0	1	21
		27	0	3	24
		26	0	4	45
		28	0	1	21
		38	0	5	26
		30	0	3	24
		40	0	7	69
		37	0	10	52
		66	0	26	31
		67	0	13	36
		63	0	16	19
Belan	171	82	0	9	71
		105	0	3	24
		106	0	0	81
		104	0	4	86
		107	0	3	24
		102	0	2	2
		108	0	2	43
		101	0	1	62
		122	0	11	74
		123	0	1	62
		121	0	4	45
		119	0	1	21
		120	0	0	40
		136	0	10	93
		135	0	0	40
		137	0	1	62
		146	0	2	83
		148	0	10	12
		147	0	1	21
		164	0	6	88
		168	0	6	7
		169	0	2	2
		166	0	0	81
		167	0	0	40
		170	0	7	28
		181	0	3	24
		182	0	2	2
Kusiabank	222	59	0	1	62
		67	0	10	93
		66	0	0	40
		68	3	3	24
		69	0	0	40
		85	0	0	40
		86	0	2	2
		82	0	4	5
		87	0	0	40
		84	0	4	5

I	2	3	4	5	6
Baghmari	223	29	0	0	81
		30	0	6	88
		31	0	0	40
		32	0	3	64
		40	0	4	86
		33	0	3	64
		34	0	5	26
		39	0	0	81
		37	0	2	83
		38	0	6	88
		131	0	11	33
		134	0	8	90
		128	0	0	40
		127	0	0	40
		146	0	1	62
		145	0	1	62
		142	0	4	5
		144	0	4	86
		143	0	0	81
		141	0	0	40
		147	0	12	14
		157	0	1	62
		152	0	9	31
		151	0	0	40
Jogiatikar	243	9	0	4	45
		347	0	4	5
		346	0	9	71
		345	0	8	50
		344	0	8	9
		343	0	8	9
		22	0	7	28
		348	0	6	7
		349	0	6	7
		350	0	4	5
		101	0	0	81
		102	0	1	21
		103	0	0	81
		211	0	4	5
		212	0	5	67
		213	0	4	86
		214	0	4	86
		224	0	4	5
		229	0	5	67
		230	0	3	24
Sirsa	228	11	0	3	64
		12	0	0	40
		15	0	10	12
		16	0	6	7
		17	0	4	5
		18	0	0	81
		19	0	2	2
		52	0	3	64
		53	0	9	31
		55	0	9	71
		77	0	2	83

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sirsa—Contd.	228	120	0	3	64
		121	0	2	2
		122	0	0	40
		140	0	0	81
		139	0	7	28
		141	0	3	24
		132	0	6	48
		131	0	13	36
		129	0	8	50
		127	0	10	12
		128	0	0	40
		125	0	1	21
		54	0	0	40
Bandajori	241	2	0	3	24
		301/950	0	0	40
		301	0	0	40
		302	0	0	40
		303	0	6	48
		287	0	0	40
		290	0	3	64
		291	0	2	83
		292	0	0	40
		289	0	2	83
		288	0	8	90
		285	0	4	5
		283	0	13	76
		286	0	3	24
		284	0	0	81
		154	0	7	69
		155	0	6	7
		156	0	2	43
		158	0	12	14
		164	0	7	69
		168	0	1	62
		79	0	2	43
		78	0	2	83
		77	0	2	83
		76	0	2	2
		75	0	3	24
		71	0	2	43
		70	0	1	21
		174	0	8	50
		177	0	1	62
		178	0	0	40
		179	0	3	64
		180	0	4	5
		182	0	4	5
		183	0	2	83
		190	0	9	71
		189	0	3	24
		184	0	1	21
		188	0	4	5
		185	0	2	83
		186	0	4	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bandajori—Contd.	241	187	0	0	81
		616	0	10	52
		617	0	2	83
		614	0	0	40
		613	0	2	43
		761	0	13	36
		762	0	0	40
		844	0	2	2
		612/944	0	1	62
		795/943	0	0	81
		794	0	2	2
		793	0	2	83
		790	0	1	62
		789	0	8	9
		802	0	2	2
		803	0	2	43
		804	0	2	43
		805	0	1	21
		811	0	13	76
		818/973	0	3	24
		818	0	0	40
		831/968	0	10	12
		831	0	3	24
		830	0	0	40
		832	0	4	5
		834	0	15	38
		931/955	0	0	40
		931	0	0	81
		931/954	0	4	5
		933	0	11	33
Koradih	240	273	0	5	67
		98	0	0	81

Anchal : Sarath

Distt. : Deoghar

State : Bihar
Area

Village	Thana No.	Plot No.	Hectares	Area	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5	6
Koradih	243	2	1	21	41
		3	0	60	71
		5	0	40	47
		1	0	80	94
Sawajjor	240	6	0	57	47
		56	0	3	24
		81	0	2	43
		80	0	9	71
		79	0	15	38
		78	0	16	19
		66	0	38	85
		65	0	0	81
		62	0	12	14
		61	0	4	5
		59	0	8	90

I	2	3	4	5	6
Sawalfor—Contd.	240	116	0	18	62
		115	0	3	24
		114	0	0	81
		112	0	1	21
		110	0	3	24
		124	0	0	81
		123	0	8	90
		122	0	0	40
		144	0	2	2
		145	0	4	5
		139	0	2	83
		138	0	3	64
		137	0	4	5
		153	0	12	95
		152	0	0	40
		97	0	0	81
		154	0	7	69
		160	0	4	5
		164	0	10	12
		163	0	2	2
		155	0	6	7
		159	0	0	81
		158	0	4	45
			0	0	0
		156	0	0	40
Jogiatikar	239	35	0	8	50
		34	0	0	40
		37	0	8	9
		42	0	2	43
		39	0	6	48
		40	0	0	40
		49	0	8	90
		52	0	0	40
		50	0	6	48
Deoli	238	51	0	14	57
		49	0	14	57
		50	0	1	62
		634	0	1	21
		47	0	7	28
		46	0	8	90
		29	0	2	2
		30	0	0	81
		31	0	18	62
		499	0	7	28
		500	0	1	21
		508	0	2	43
		507	0	4	86
		509	0	8	9
		521	0	4	5
		526	0	8	90
		527	0	8	50
		568	0	4	45
		569	0	14	57
		573	0	4	5
		574	0	6	48

1	2	3	4	5	6
Deoli—Contd.	238	575	0	5	26
		577	0	0	40
		578	0	0	40
		576	0	4	86
		580	0	7	28
		581	0	5	67
		585	0	12	14
Kumarbandhi	237	245	0	0	40
		250	0	2	43
		251	0	3	24
		258	0	10	12
		244	0	19	43
		243	0	3	64
		262	0	0	40
		257	0	0	40
		260	0	0	81
		261	0	1	21
		268	0	0	40
		259	0	4	5
		287	0	5	67
Maheshliti	233	18	0	4	86
		19	0	1	62
		20	0	5	26
		21	0	1	62
		22	0	0	40
		24	0	0	40
		121	0	1	62
		163	0	3	24
		162	0	1	21
		161	0	0	81
		160	0	0	40
		157	0	2	2
		156	0	1	21
		155	0	0	81
		154	0	0	40
		152	0	2	43
		153	0	1	21
		149	0	3	24
		146	0	4	86
		145	0	0	81
		147	0	8	9
		173	0	2	83
		248	0	2	83
		247	0	3	64
		249	0	0	40
		167	0	0	81
		246	0	4	5
		245	0	4	45
		273	0	5	67
Darohiya	232	1	0	4	86
		3	0	10	12
		17	0	13	76
		18	0	0	81
		14	0	12	55

1	2	3	4	5	6
Darohiya—Contd.	232	13	0	14	57
		11	0	12	14
		26	0	14	97
		10	0	2	83
		7	0	6	7
		8	0	4	5
		9	0	0	40
Baramasia	228	128	0	0	81
		129	0	4	5
		136	0	3	64
		135	0	1	62
		134	0	0	40
		133	0	2	2
		132	0	0	40
		137	0	2	83
		244	0	5	67
		243	0	0	81
		240	0	2	43
		241	0	0	40
		239	0	3	24
		235	0	0	40
		237	0	3	24
		236	0	2	43
		205	0	1	62
		214	0	0	40
		206	0	4	86
		204	0	2	43
		201	0	7	69
		202	0	5	67
		200	0	0	81
		194	0	1	62
		196	0	3	64
		197	0	0	40
		199	0	10	52
		388	0	6	48
		387	0	0	81
Gopibandh	229	212	0	5	67
		145	0	4	45
		146	0	19	43
		177	0	12	14
		360	0	10	12
		179	0	3	24
		180	0	0	40
		181	0	5	67
		182	0	0	40
		185	0	5	26
		184	0	0	81
		194	0	3	24
		195	0	4	86
		197	0	3	24
		193	0	0	40
		165	0	29	95
		236	0	45	73

1	2	3	4	5	6
Dhorodumar	225	4	0	1	62
		5	0	2	83
		6	0	0	6
		7	0	12	55
		13	0	0	40
		14	0	3	64
		15	0	4	45
		16	0	2	43
		17	0	3	24
		29	0	0	40
		28	0	0	81
		27	0	4	5
		86	0	2	2
		87	0	3	24
		88	0	1	21
		75	0	7	28
		82	0	1	21
		76	0	4	45
		74	0	0	40
		77	0	2	2
		78	0	0	40
		71	0	1	21
		72	0	1	62
		184	0	0	40
		643	0	25	50
		642	0	9	31
		413	0	1	62
		189	0	3	24
		190	0	2	83
		191	0	2	43
		192	0	0	40
		193	0	4	5
		194	0	6	48
		207	0	2	43
		208	0	2	43
		209	0	2	83
		210	0	0	40
		211	0	3	24
		213	0	3	24
		214	0	1	21
		216	0	0	81
		217	0	0	40
		218	0	0	40
		272	0	7	28
		268	0	2	83
		267	0	3	24
		266	0	17	40
		265	0	4	5
		263	0	1	21
		262	0	0	81
		261	0	0	81
			0	0	0
		259	0	0	81
		299	0	5	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
Dhrodumar—Contd.	225	298	0	0	40
		300	0	2	2
		310	0	0	40
		305	0	3	24
		304	0	0	81
		303	0	6	88
		334	0	12	95
		335	0	0	40
		337	0	17	81
Kachuabank	224	1526	0	0	81
		1525	0	0	40
		1352	0	14	57
			0	0	0
		1353	0	2	43
		1354	0	0	40
		1355	0	3	24
		1410	0	0	40
		1356	0	9	31
		1358	0	0	40
		1357	0	15	38
		1361	0	16	19
		1337	0	8	50
		1336	0	15	38
		1396	0	14	57
		1392	0	4	86
		1393	0	7	69
		1417	0	0	40
		1416	0	6	48
		1414	0	4	5
		1415	0	4	5
		1413	0	0	81
		1412	0	7	28
		1411	0	0	81
		1447	0	2	43
		1453	0	7	28
		1450	0	0	40
		1455	0	0	40
		1452	0	3	24
		1451	0	4	5
		1461	0	4	45
		1462	0	0	81
		1492	0	4	5
		1464	0	4	5
Goplaraydih	447	1	0	4	86
		30	0	4	45
		31	0	3	64
		47	0	2	2
		48	0	0	40
		46	0	4	86
		45	0	1	62
		42	0	1	21
		32	0	12	95
		41	0	0	40
		38	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Goplaraydih—Contd.	447		0	0	0
		33	0	9	71
		168	0	25	50
		169	0	10	93
		216	0	3	64
		215	0	5	67
		214	0	5	26
		213	0	1	62
		223	0	6	7
		224	0	8	50
		228	0	0	81
		226	0	3	64
		230	0	0	40
		231	0	12	14
			0	0	0
		292	9	0	40
			0	0	0
		235	0	7	28
		284	0	5	26
		283	0	6	7
		281	0	4	86
		276	0	9	71
		277	0	0	40
		275	0	5	26
		253	0	0	81
		259	0	0	81
		260	0	0	81
		269	0	9	31
		270	0	4	86
		267	0	5	26
		390	0	0	81
		389	0	0	40
		361	0	2	43
		388	0	0	40
		385	0	0	40
		384	0	3	64
		381	0	4	86
		362	0	1	62
		383	0	1	62
		382	0	2	2
		405	0	0	40
		380	0	4	5
		417	0	3	24
		414	0	0	81
		413	0	0	81
		412	0	0	81
		411	0	3	64
		422	0	2	83
		425	0	6	88
		430	0	3	64
		436	0	2	83
		433	0	3	64
		439	0	2	43
		442	0	3	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
Goplaraydih—Contd.	447	441	0	1	62
		447	0	4	5
		450	0	0	81
		448	0	7	69
		779	0	1	62
		795	0	1	62
		796	0	11	33
		797	0	6	7
		798	0	7	28
		800	0	7	28
		801	0	8	50
		804	0	7	28
		851	0	0	40
		837	0	6	7
		840	0	3	24
		839	0	2	2
		838	0	12	95
		835	0	0	40
		895	0	13	36
		892	0	21	45
		926	0	0	40
		891	0	6	48
		890	0	0	40
Parbank	235	208	0	5	26
		204	0	4	5
		167	0	0	40
		168	0	0	81
		203	0	1	62
		202	0	1	62
		186	0	0	81
		185	0	4	45
		184	0	1	21
		169	0	1	62
		182	0	0	40
		181	0	7	69
		180	0	0	40
		123	0	2	43
		90	0	0	40
		89	0	3	24
		88	0	4	5
		87	0	1	62
		82	0	0	40
		77	0	2	2
		78	0	0	40
		79	0	1	62
		80	0	2	2
		38	0	12	95
		36	0	0	40
		39	0	6	7
		1	0	6	7
Jogiatikar	239	27	0	1	21
		38	0	0	81
Parbank	235	183	0	0	81
Baramasia	228	38	0	4	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
Anchal : Palajori		Distt. : Deoghar		State : Bihar	
Village	Thana No.	Plot No.	Area		
			Hectares	Ares	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5	6
Farram	454	115	0	5	67
		122	0	5	26
		120	0	0	81
		119	0	0	40
		117	0	6	88
		118	0	0	81
		124	0	0	81
		116	0	2	2
		147	0	1	62
		148	0	5	26
		155	0	2	83
		149	0	24	28
		150	0	4	45
		153	0	3	24
		152	0	2	2
		1251	0	2	43
		1256	0	2	43
Koriadih	453	963	0	1	62
		964	0	4	5
		962	0	1	62
		966	0	2	2
		967	0	3	24
		968	0	1	62
		971	0	2	83
		972	0	2	43
		978	0	0	40
		979	0	2	43
		980	0	2	2
		981	0	10	93
		982	0	1	21
		1003	0	0	40
		1002	0	10	93
		1029	0	0	40
		1030	0	3	24
		1033	0	9	71
		1032	0	9	71
		1031	0	8	90
		1052	0	1	21
		1051	0	1	62
		1256	0	7	28
		1257	0	8	90
		1255	0	0	40
		1249	0	3	24
		1248	0	0	40
		1292	0	5	26
		1293	0	4	45
		1289	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
Koriadih—Contd.	453	1288	0	0	40
		1297	0	10	52
		1294	0	4	5
		1298	0	0	81
		1299	0	4	5
		1300	0	2	43
		1302	0	0	40
		1303	0	1	21
Baradaha	456	01	0	2	43
		04	0	20	24
		37	0	9	71
		53	0	25	50
		48	0	2	43
		47	0	2	83
		45	0	0	40
		46	0	1	21
		67	0	5	67
		66	0	0	40
		68	0	5	67
		72	0	8	90
		100	0	2	2
		101	0	0	40
		62	0	1	21
		124	0	23	7
		123	0	0	81
		122	0	2	43
		135	0	3	24
		121	0	0	40
		136	0	14	57
		141	0	0	81
		142	0	3	64
		591/1166	0	0	40
		591	0	6	7
		143	0	3	64
		590	0	22	66
		571	0	3	24
		570	0	0	40
		588	0	25	9
		574	0	0	81
		794	0	1	62
		795	0	18	21
		793	0	2	43
		913	0	2	2
		915	0	0	81
		916	0	3	64
		917	0	3	24
		918	0	4	45
		919	0	0	40
		908	0	8	9
		904	0	6	7
		907	0	0	81
		905	0	6	48
		895	0	2	2
		1030	0	0	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
Baradaha—Contd.	456	1031	0	18	62
		1077	0	0	40
		1076	0	4	86
		1079	0	11	33
		1080	0	0	40
		1093	0	5	67
		1092	0	1	21
		1095	0	2	2
		1091	0	4	86
		1090	0	2	2
		1087	0	9	71
Sitaldih Chandpur	457	404	0	8	50
		412	0	0	81
		398	0	4	45
		395	0	1	21
		396	0	6	48
		397	0	10	12
		399	0	6	7
		417	0	3	24
		394	0	3	64
		418	0	1	62
		425	0	3	24
		419	0	2	43
		424	0	1	21
		423	0	2	2
		422	0	2	2
		421	0	0	81
		426	0	4	45
		427	0	2	43
		428	0	0	40
		420	0	6	7
		790	0	2	2
Birajpur	440	1994	0	55	4
		2105	0	12	95
		2106	0	7	28
		2107	0	2	43
		2110	0	4	45
		2111	0	10	52
Sitalkundi	458	14	0	0	81
		23	0	16	
		17	0	7	28
		19	0	2	83
		18	0	4	86
		448	0	4	5
		25	0	10	12
		46	0	2	2
		34	1	15	34
		40	0	21	4
		37	0	0	81
		35	0	18	62
		426	0	2	2
		425	0	18	21
		422	0	31	57
		428	0	2	2

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sitalkundi	458	429	0	1	21
Sonatar	459	781	0	47	35
		791	0	1	21
		798	0	4	45
		796	0	3	24
		799	0	22	66
		800	0	6	7
		802	0	4	86
		802/1041	0	3	24
		803	0	5	677
		894	0	6	88
		895	0	6	48
		899	0	0	81
		901	0	0	81
		900	0	4	5
		904	0	2	43
		905	0	16	59
		906	0	3	24
		700	0	1	21
		930	0	0	81
Raghuadih	460	168	0	1	21
		171	0	7	69
		176	0	4	86
		175	0	0	81
		177	0	4	5
		178	0	1	21
		179	0	4	5
		180	0	3	64
		181	0	4	5
		182	0	0	81
		206	0	0	81
		186	0	0	81
		187	0	1	62
		197	0	9	71
		198	0	4	45
Khaga	470	246/1384	0	0	40
		245	0	6	48
		246	0	8	50
		249	0	7	28
		250	0	8	90
		260	0	0	40
		258	0	5	67
		257	0	4	45
		256	0	0	81
		1382	0	8	90
		271	0	4	45
		272	0	1	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
Khaga	470	278	0	2	43
		281	0	10	93
		287	0	2	43
		297	0	11	33
		296	0	8	9
		293	0	24	28
		1375	0	11	74
		617	0	0	40
		618	0	2	43
		698	0	3	64
		620	0	1	62
		695	0	12	14
		694	0	21	4
		729	0	3	24
		730	0	7	28
		790	0	1	62
		728	0	0	40
		733	0	0	40
		732	0	0	40
		731	0	0	81
		788	0	5	67
		787	0	6	7
		785	0	1	21
		786	0	1	62
		915	0	0	40
		916	0	2	2
		918	0	3	64
		947	0	13	36
		949	0	8	90
		948	0	0	40
		950	0	2	43
		951	0	4	45
		952	0	2	83
		953	0	6	48
		954	0	5	26
		955	0	0	81
		956	0	1	62
		958	0	1	21
		960	0	0	40
		961	0	0	40
		962	0	0	81
		942	0	0	40
		941	0	0	81
		940	0	1	62
		939	0	1	62
		938	0	0	40
		937	0	0	81
		1285	0	2	83

1	2	3	4	5	6
Khaga—Contd.	470	1350	0	0	40
		1353	0	0	81
		1354	0	2	2
		1355	0	1	62
		1356	0	2	43
		1357	0	0	40
		1358	0	14	16
		1364	0	0	40
		1363	0	3	64
		1366	0	8	9
Ramjiwanpur	468	43	0	2	43
		44	0	4	45
		45	0	4	45
		94	0	7	28
		47	0	1	21
		46	0	4	86
		79	0	2	2
		80	0	7	28
		81	0	7	69
		82	0	0	81
		85	0	10	12
Bhangahir	469	106	0	8	9
		127	0	1	62
		108	0	4	5
		111	0	6	88
		109	0	0	81
		110	0	7	69
Sarsa	463	803	0	5	67
		804	0	0	81
		806	0	0	81
		807	0	0	81
		810	0	24	28
		812	0	19	2
		813	0	4	86
		814	0	1	62
		1388	0	0	40
		1389	0	4	5
		1390	0	1	62
		1385	0	5	67
		1394	0	5	26
		1391	0	1	21
		1382	0	0	81
		1381	0	0	81
Saldaha	465	63	0	4	5
		49	0	12	95
		56	0	4	86
		61	0	11	33
		60	0	6	88

1	2	3	4	5	6
Saldaha—Contd.	465	947	0	4	5
		64	0	6	7
		343	0	6	7
		391	0	1	62
		392	0	4	45
		390	0	2	2
		398	0	0	40
		387	0	6	7
		399	0	0	40
		400	0	7	28
		401	0	6	7
		442	0	3	24
		443	0	7	28
		446	0	19	83
		448	0	0	40
		581	0	2	43
		458	0	14	16
		460	0	0	40
		457	0	30	76
		455	0	3	24
		467	0	1	62
		486	0	2	2
Patharabahal	464	53	0	2	83
		52	0	2	83
		55	0	0	40
		56	0	12	95
		57	0	0	81
		72	0	0	40
		58	0	0	40
		59	0	0	81
		60	0	1	62
		71	0	0	40
		70	0	6	7
		61	0	0	81
		69	0	2	83
		68	0	0	40
		66	0	3	64
		102	0	0	40
		183	0	2	83
		103	0	0	40
		116	0	2	2
		65	0	0	40
		117	0	4	86
		118	0	1	21
		132	0	1	21
		134	0	0	40
		119	0	8	90
		131	0	2	83
		168	0	18	21
		127	0	3	24
		125	0	1	21
		174	0	7	28
		168/182	0	1	21
Bisunpur	620	90	0	1	62
		93	0	8	9

1	2	3	4	5	6
Bisunpur—Contd.	620	94	0	4	86
		95	0	6	88
		96	0	0	40
		102	0	11	33
		111	0	13	76
Jagdispur	622	20	0	30	76
		22	0	0	40
		26	0	0	81
		27	0	3	24
		112	0	38	4
		119	0	1	62
		111	0	3	24
		110	0	3	64
		109	0	11	74
Pahariya Para	623	04	0	10	52
		108	0	9	31
		10	0	1	62
		149	0	6	48
		150	0	7	28
		158	0	3	64
		153	0	10	93
		157	0	16	19
Simla	625	1273	0	4	86
		1293	0	9	31
		1292	0	5	26
		1294	0	2	2
		1290	0	4	86
		1291	0	1	21
		1296	0	17	81
		1303	0	4	5
		1304	0	10	12
		1306	0	2	43
		1305	0	2	43
		1327	0	3	64
		1328	0	0	40
		1323	0	6	48
		1321	0	5	26
		1336	0	2	43
		1337	0	2	2
		1346	0	4	45
		1459	0	2	43
		1419	0	29	14
		1418	0	5	67
		1417	0	5	67
		1453	0	13	76
		1409	0	2	83
		1403	0	2	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
Simla—Contd.	625	1402	0	8	90
		1401	0	4	86
		1469	0	7	28
		1462	0	0	40
		1461	0	5	26
		1463	0	3	64
		1465	0	2	2
		1464	0	1	21
		1466	0	1	62
		1493	0	2	2
		1494	0	3	64
		1495	0	2	2
		1496	0	2	83
		1499	0	4	86
		1498	0	0	81
		1500	0	3	24
		1510	0	1	62
		1501	0	3	64
		1502	0	2	43
		1503	0	8	9
		1504	0	1	62
		1509	0	2	83
		1506	0	1	21
		1507	0	4	5
		1505	0	0	81
		1546	0	8	9
		1545	0	1	21
		1556	0	8	50
		1559	0	7	28
		1557	0	0	40
		1558	0	10	93
		1560	0	0	81
		1563	0	14	57
		1568	0	4	5
		1566	0	4	86
		1569	0	0	40
		1570	0	11	33
		1574	0	4	86
		1573	0	0	40
Amgachhi	658	71	0	4	5
		72	0	2	2
		65	0	8	90
		75	0	2	2
		74	0	1	21
		79	0	3	24
		91	0	17	81
		82	0	4	5
		153	0	4	5
		152	0	8	90
		149/536	0	0	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
Amgachhi—Contd.	658	149	0	0	40
		157	0	8	90
		151	0	2	83
		150	0	0	40
		158	0	1	21
		160	0	0	40
		159	0	7	69
		162	0	7	28
		163	0	0	40
		164	0	0	40
		140	0	3	64
		188	0	1	21
		165	0	0	40
		183	0	6	48
		196	0	0	81
		195	0	6	88
		181/535	0	19	2
		182	0	0	81
		181	0	3	64
		180	0	8	9
		299	0	2	43
		295	0	4	5
		291	0	1	62
		292	0	1	21
		293	0	1	21
		294	0	0	81
		295	0	1	62
		296	0	2	2
		272	0	2	43
		261	0	6	7
		260	0	14	57
		258	0	6	7
Sirampur	660	941	0	17	40
		927	0	6	88
		924	0	8	50
		928	0	0	81
		936	0	0	81
		921	0	5	67
		934	0	12	55
		931	0	2	2
		935	0	0	40
		898	0	36	83
		948	0	6	7
		981	0	4	45
		1214	0	13	76
		1217	0	1	21
		1215	0	1	62
		1216	0	0	81
		1210	0	0	40
		1211	0	11	33
		1169	0	20	64
		1170	0	4	45
		1171	0	3	24
		1178	0	4	86

I	2	3	4	5	6
Ghormara	662	7	0	4	45
		71	0	6	88
		72	0	0	81
		69	0	3	64
		68	0	3	24
		67	0	3	24
		64	0	11	33
		108	0	2	2
		55	0	0	81
		63	0	2	2
		56	0	0	81
		62	0	1	21
		61	0	0	40
		57	0	2	2
		58	0	2	43
		59	0	0	81
		49	0	2	83
		48	0	3	24
		46	0	2	83
		45	0	3	64
		43	0	4	86
		42	0	4	5
		41	0	3	64
		40	0	3	24
		39	0	3	64
		38	0	3	64
		37	0	3	64
		334	0	1	21
		414	0	0	81
		413	0	4	86
		412	0	6	88
		411	0	4	45
		410	0	3	24
		409	0	3	64
		464	0	6	48
		467	0	8	9
		470	0	11	33
		463	0	0	81
		471	0	3	24
		472	0	1	21
		473	0	4	45
		461	0	29	14
		453	0	7	28
		452	0	4	5
		450	0	4	5
		451	0	0	40
		446	0	1	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
Hirapur	663	508	0	3	24
		479	0	1	21
		478	0	0	40
		480	0	7	28
		482	0	1	21
		483	0	35	61
		484	0	4	5
		485	0	0	40
		487	0	7	69
		488	0	5	67
		531/569	0	0	81
Barwamarni	665	03	0	0	81
		488	0	6	88
		487	0	2	83
		489	0	11	74
		490	0	2	43
		529	0	10	12
		527	0	1	21
		530	0	1	21
		531	0	2	2
		539	0	6	7
		542	0	0	81
		538	0	4	86
		537	0	2	2
		536	0	2	83
		534	0	3	64
		580	0	11	33
		581	0	1	62
		584	0	22	66
		582	0	2	43
Satki	664	231	0	10	93
		435	0	6	88
		392	0	8	9
		393	0	0	81
		394	0	0	81
		396	0	14	57
		397	0	0	40
		406	0	0	40
		958	0	6	7
		402	0	8	90
		914	0	62	32
Bansnali	684	139	0	5	67
		140	0	88	63
		787	0	1	21
		495	0	30	35
		795	0	9	31
Rampur	685	09	0	8	90

1	2	3	4	5	6
Rampur—Contd.	685	1154	0	33	19
		761	0	8	50
		762	0	9	31
		768	0	0	81
		763	0	17	40
		764	0	25	50
		765	0	1	21
		721	0	10	93
		766	0	1	21
		767	0	7	28
		720	0	1	21
		768	0	2	2
		786	0	0	40
		787	0	9	71
		788	0	8	50
		789	0	0	81
		791	0	0	81
		714	0	7	28
		318	0	1	62
		719	0	1	21
		320	0	0	81
		321	0	0	81
		322/1349	0	2	43
		324	0	2	2
		323	0	3	64
		328	0	1	21
		329	0	0	81
		327	0	0	81
		322	0	0	81
		333	0	1	21
		334	0	0	81
		332	0	3	64
		335	0	2	2
		336	0	4	45
		337	0	0	81
		345	0	1	62
		609	0	3	24
		608	0	3	64
		607	0	0	81
		614	0	0	40
		605	0	0	81
		615	0	8	9
		616	0	7	69
		617	0	3	24
		619	0	0	81
		664	0	31	57
		665	0	2	83

[No. R-31015/3/96-OR-I]

K. C. KATOCH, Under Secy.

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1983.—भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में, डा. वेद प्रकाश मिश्रा, सदस्य व्यवस्थापन परिषद् नागपुर विश्वविद्यालय, 17 पोस्टल ऑडिट कॉलोनी, राणा प्रताप नगर, नागपुर को नागपुर विश्वविद्यालय की सीनेट द्वारा 26 मार्च, 1996 को भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का, 26 मार्च, 1996 से सदस्य निर्वाचित किया गया है,

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के तत्कालीन स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.आ. सं. 138, तारीख, 9 जनवरी, 1960 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में, “धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) खंड (ख) के अधीन निर्वाचित” शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 16 और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविष्टियाँ रखी जायेंगी, अर्थात्:—

“16. डा. वेद प्रकाश मिश्रा नागपुर विश्वविद्यालय”
सदस्य
व्यवस्थापन परिषद्
नागपुर विश्वविद्यालय,
नागपुर,
राणा प्रताप नगर
नागपुर-440022

[सं. वी-11013/5/96-एम ई (यूजी)]
आर. राममूर्ति, डैस्क अधिकारी

टिप्पण:—मूल अधिसूचना भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 138, दिनांक 9-1-1960 द्वारा प्रकाशित की गयी थी।

MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 18th June, 1996

S.O. 1983.—Whereas in pursuance of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra, Member, Management Council, Nagpur University, 17, Postal Audit Colony, Rana Pratap Nagar, Nagpur has been elected on 26th March, 1996 by the Senate of Nagpur University to be a member of the Medical Council of India from 26th March, 1996;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the then Ministry of Health number S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading “Elected under 1522/GI/96- 27

clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3” for serial number 16 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial number and entries shall be substituted, namely:—

“16. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra Nagpur University”
Member,

Management Council,
Nagpur University,
Nagpur,
Rana Pratap Nagar,
Nagpur-440022.

[No. V. 11013/5/96-ME (UG)]
R. RAMAMURTHY, Desk Officer

NOTE: The principal notification was published in the Gazette of India vide notification number S.O. 138, dated 9-1-1960.

कृषि मंत्रालय

(कृषि एवं सहकारिता विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1984.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, बहु-राज्य सहकारी समिति अधिनियम, 1984 (1984 का 51) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. एल-11012/1/85 दिनांक 16 अगस्त, 1995 का अधिक्रमण करते हुए एतद्वारा कृषि मंत्रालय, कृषि एवं सहकारिता विभाग, में संयुक्त सचिव श्रीमती वीना उपाध्याय को आगामी आदेशों तक केन्द्रीय पंजीयक सहकारी समिति के पद पर नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. एल-11012/1/85—एल एंड एम]

एन. एस. राणा, अवसर सचिव

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agriculture & Cooperation)

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1996

S.O. 1984.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Multi-State Cooperative Societies Act, 1984 (51 of 1984) and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India No. L-11012/1/85-L&M dated the 16th August, 1995, the Central Government hereby appoints Smt. Veena Upadhyaya, Joint Secretary in the Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation as the Central Registrar of Co-operative Societies, until further orders.

[No. L-11012/1/85-L&M]

N. S. RANA, Under Secy.

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 9 जनवरी, 1996

सा.का. 1985.—चलचित्रिकी (प्रमाणन) नियमों 1983 के नियम 9 के साथ पठित चलचित्रिकी अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार

श्रीमती संजीवनी कुट्टी, भारतीय प्रशासनिक सेवा (महाराष्ट्र 83) को 01-01-96 से चार वर्षों की अवधि हेतु अथवा अगले आदेशों तक, इनमें से जो भी पहले हो, के निम्ने प्रतिनियुक्ति आधार पर क्षेत्रीय अधिकारी, केन्द्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड, बम्बई (3700-5000 रुपये) के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा.सं. 801/4/95-एफ(सी)]

आर.सी. शहादपुरी, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 9th January, 1996

S.O. 1985.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952), read with Rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules 1983, the Central Government is pleased to appoint Smt. Sanjeevane Kutty, IAS (MH: 83) as Regional Officer, Central Board of Film Certification, Bombay (Rs. 3700—5000) on deputation basis for a period of four years with effect from 1-1-1996 or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 801/4/95-F(C)]

R. C. SHAHDADPURI, Desk Officer

विद्युत् मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 1996

का.आ. 1986.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, सरकारी स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधिभोगियों की बेदखली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार की विद्युत् मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं.का.आ. 2796, तारीख 15 जून, 1992 को अधिकांत करते हुए, नीचे दी गई सारणी के स्तंभ (1) में उल्लिखित अधिकारियों को जो केन्द्रीय सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारी की पंक्ति के समस्त अधिकारी हैं, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिये 30 जून, 1997 तक की अवधि के लिये संपदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है, जो उक्त सारणी के स्तंभ (2) की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टियों में विनिर्दिष्ट सरकारी स्थानों की बाबत अधिकारिता की स्थानीय सीमाओं के भीतर, उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या उसके अधीन संपदा अधिकारियों को प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग और अधिरोपित कर्तव्यों का पालन करेंगे।

सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	सरकारी स्थान के प्रवर्ग और अधिकारिता की स्थानीय सीमाएं
(1)	(2)
श्री ए. एस. नेगी, ज्येष्ठ प्रबन्धक (पुनर्वास), टिहरी हाइड्रो-डेवलपमेंट कार्पोरेशन, भागीरथ भवन (टाप टेरेश) भागीरथपुरम, टिहरी (गढ़वाल)	न्यू टिहरी नगर, ऋषिकेश, हरिद्वार, देहरादून या किसी अन्य स्थान, जहां कंपनी की संपत्ति विद्यमान है, में टिहरी हाइड्रो-डेवलपमेंट कार्पोरेशन के या उसके द्वारा या उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर या अधिग्रहण पर लिये गये स्थान।
श्री ए. के. श्रीवास्तव, ज्येष्ठ कार्मिक अधिकारी, (विधि), टिहरी हाइड्रो डेवलपमेंट कार्पोरेशन, भागीरथ भवन, (टाप टेरेश) भागीरथपुरम, टिहरी (गढ़वाल)	पुराना टिहरी नगर, कोटि, भागीरथपुरम और टिहरी में अन्य स्थानों में जिसके अन्तर्गत नया टिहरी नगर नहीं है, टिहरी हाइड्रो-डेवलपमेंट कार्पोरेशन के या उसके द्वारा या उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर या अधिग्रहण लिये गये स्थान।

[फा.सं. 19/13/96-हाईड्रल-II]

एम. एल. शर्मा, अव्वर सचिव

MINISTRY OF POWER

New Delhi, the 10th June, 1996

S.O. 1986.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971) and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Power, No. S.O. 2796 dated the 15th July, 1992, the Central Government hereby appoints for a period upto 30th June, 1997, the officers mentioned in column 1 of the Table below, being officers equivalent in rank to a Gazetted Officer of Central Government to be estate officers for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and duties imposed on estate officers by or under the said Act within the local limits of jurisdiction in respect of the public premises specified in the corresponding entries in column 2 the said Table.

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Categories of the Public Premises and local limits of jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
Shri A.S. Negi, Senior Manager (Rehabilitation) Tehri Hydro Development Corporation, Bhagirath Bhawan (Top Terrace), Bhagirathpuram Tehri (Garhwal).	Premises belonging to or taken on lease or requisition by or on behalf of the Tehri Hydro Development Corporation at New Tehri Town, Rishikesh, Hardwar, Dehradun or any other place where Company's properties exist.
Shri A.K. Srivastava, Senior Personnel Officer (Legal), Tehri Hydro Development Corporation, Bhagirath Bhawan (Top Terrace), Bhagirathpuram, Tehri (Garhwal).	Premises belonging to or taken on lease or requisition by or on behalf of the Tehri Hydro Development Corporation at Old Tehri Town, Koti, Bhagirathpuram and other places in Tehri, excluding New Tehri Town.

[F. No. 19/13/96-Hydel. II]

M. L. SHARMA, Under Secy.

संचार मंत्रालय

(डाक विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 1996

का. भा. 1987.—राजभाषा नियम (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) 1976 के नियम 10 के उप नियम (4) के अनुसरण में केन्द्र सरकार डाक विभाग के निम्नलिखित अधीनस्थ कार्यालयों को, जिनके 80 प्रतिशत कर्मचारियों ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है :—

1. दमण उप डाकघर,
दमण— 396001
गुजरात सकिल ।

2. अमरेली विभागीय कार्यालय,
अमरेली —365601
गुजरात सकिल ।

3. अमरेली उप विभागीय मण्डल,
अमरेली —365601
गुजरात सकिल ।

4. उप विभागीय निरीक्षक लाठी का कार्यालय,
लाठी —365430
गुजरात सकिल ।

5. उप विभागीय निरीक्षक का कार्यालय,
धारी —365640
गुजरात सकिल ।

6. उप विभागीय निरीक्षक राजुला का कार्यालय,
राजुला —365560
गुजरात सकिल ।

7. अमरली प्रधान डाकघर,
अमरली —365601
गुजरात सकिल ।

8. अमरेली बहारपरा उप डाकघर,
अमरेली — 365060
गुजरात सकिल ।

9. अमरेली जेसिंगपरा उप डाकघर,
अमरली — 365601
गुजरात सकिल ।

10. अमरेली माणेकपरा उप डाकघर,
अमरेली — 365601
गुजरात सकिल ।

11. अमरेली स्टेशन रोड उप डाकघर,
अमरेली — 365601
गुजरात सकिल ।

12. अमरेली हावर रोड उप डाकघर,
अमरेली — 365601
गुजरात सकिल ।

13. बाबापुर उप डाकघर,
बाबापुर — 365610
गुजरात सकिल ।

14. बाबरा उप डाकघर,
बाबरा — 365421
गुजरात सकिल ।

15. बगसरा उप डाकघर,
बगसरा — 365440
गुजरात सकिल ।

16. बगसरा स्टेशन रोड उप डाकघर,
बगसरा — 365440
गुजरात सकिल ।

17. भाडेर उप डाकघर,
भाडेर —365645
गुजरात सकिल ।

18. चलाला उप डाकघर,
चलाला — 365630
गुजरात सकिल ।

19. चमारडी उप डाकघर,
चमारडी — 365410
गुजरात सकिल ।

- | | |
|--|--|
| 20. चावंड उप डाकघर,
चावंड - 365435
गुजरात सर्किल । | 35. लीलीया उप डाकघर,
लीलीया - 365535
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 21. चितल उप डाकघर,
चितल - 365620
गुजरात सर्किल । | 36. लुनीधार उप डाकघर,
लुनीधार - 365460
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 22. दामनगर उप डाकघर,
दामनगर - 365620
गुजरात सर्किल । | 37. मोटा आंकडिया उप डाकघर,
मोटा आंकडिया - 365455
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 23. डेडाण उप डाकघर,
डेडाण - 365550
गुजरात सर्किल । | 38. मोटा समढीयाला उप डाकघर,
मोटा समढीयाला - 365635
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 24. धारी उप डाकघर,
धारी - 365640
गुजरात सर्किल । | 39. नागेश्वरी उप डाकघर,
नागेश्वरी - 365545
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 25. धारी स्टेशन रोड उप डाकघर,
धारी 365640
गुजरात सर्किल । | 40. राजुला उप डाकघर,
राजुला - 365560
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 26. डूंगर उप डाकघर,
डूंगर - 365555
गुजरात सर्किल । | 41. सरसीया उप डाकघर,
सरसीया - 365660
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 27. घांटवय उप डाकघर,
घांटवड - 362710
गुजरात सर्किल । | 42. वडीया उप डाकघर,
वडीया 365480
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 28. जाफराबाद उप डाकघर,
जाफराबाद - 365540
गुजरात सर्किल । | 43. वादाणीया उप डाकघर,
वादाणीया - 365456
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 29. खंभा उप डाकघर,
खंभा - 365650
गुजरात सर्किल । | 44. अंबुजा नगर उप डाकघर,
अंबुजा नगर - 362715
गुजरात सर्किल । |
| 30. कोडीनार उप डाकघर,
कोडीनार - 362720
गुजरात सर्किल । | |
| 31. कोडीनार खांड उद्योग उप डाकघर,
कोडीनार - 362725
गुजरात सर्किल । | |
| 32. क्रांकच उप डाकघर,
क्रांकच - 365565
गुजरात सर्किल । | |
| 33. कुंकावाव उप डाकघर,
कुंकावाव - 365450
गुजरात सर्किल । | |
| 34. लाठी उप डाकघर,
लाठी - 365430
गुजरात सर्किल । | |

[सं. ई - 11025-1/91 रा. भा.]

डा. गिरिवरधारी सिंह, निदेशक (राजभाषा)

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

(Department of Post)

New Delhi, the 13th June, 1996

S.O. 1987.—In pursuance of sub Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (use for official purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notify the following subordinate offices of the Department of Post where 80 per cent staff has acquired the working knowledge of Hindi :

1. Daman sub Post Office,
Daman-396001,
Gujarat Circle.
2. Amreli Departmental Office,
Amreli-365601,
Gujarat Circle.
3. Amreli sub Departmental Division,
Amreli-365601,
Gujarat Circle.

- | | |
|---|--|
| 4. Office of the sub-Departmental Inspector, Lathi-365430, Gujarat Circle. | 27. Ghantvad sub Post Office, Ghantvad-362710, Gujarat Circle. |
| 5. Office of the sub Departmental Inspector, Dhari-365640, Gujarat Circle. | 28. Jafrabad sub Post Office, Jafrabad-365710, Gujarat Circle. |
| 6. Office of the sub Departmental Inspector, Rajula-365560, Gujarat Circle. | 29. Khambha sub Post Office, Khambha-365650, Gujarat Circle. |
| 7. Amreli Head Post Office, Amreli-365601, Gujarat Circle. | 30. Kodinar sub Post Office, Kodinar-362720, Gujarat Circle. |
| 8. Amreli Bharpara sub Post Office, Amreli-365601, Gujarat Circle. | 31. Kodinar Khand Udhyou sub Post Office, Kodinar-362725, Gujarat Circle. |
| 9. Amreli Jesingpara sub Post Office, Amreli-365601, Gujarat Circle. | 32. Krankach sub Post Office, Krankach-365565, Gujarat Circle. |
| 10. Amreli Manekpara sub Post Office, Amreli-365601, Gujarat Circle. | 33. Kunkavav sub Post Office, Kunkavav-365450, Gujarat Circle. |
| 11. Amreli Station Road sub Post Office, Amreli-365601, Gujarat Circle. | 34. Lathi sub Post Office, Lathi-365430, Gujarat Circle. |
| 12. Amreli Hawar Road sub Post Office, Amreli-365601, Gujarat Circle. | 35. Liliya sub Post Office, Liliya-365535, Gujarat Circle. |
| 13. Babapur sub Post Office, Babapur-365601, Gujarat Circle. | 36. Lunidhar sub Post Office, Lunidhar-365460, Gujarat Circle. |
| 14. Babra sub Post Office, Babra-365421, Gujarat Circle. | 37. Mota Ankadiya sub Post Office, Mota Ankadiya-365455, Gujarat Circle. |
| 15. Bagasra sub Post Office, Bagasra-365440, Gujarat Circle. | 38. Mota Samadhiala sub Post Office, Mota Samadhiala-365635, Gujarat Circle. |
| 16. Bagasra Station Road sub Post Office, Bagasra-365440, Gujarat Circle. | 39. Nageshri sub Post Office, Nageshri-365545, Gujarat Circle. |
| 17. Bhader sub Post Office, Bhader-365645, Gujarat Circle. | 40. Rajula sub Post Office, Rajula-365560, Gujarat Circle. |
| 18. Chalala sub Post Office, Chalala-365630, Gujarat Circle. | 41. Sarsia sub Post Office, Sarsia-365660, Gujarat Circle. |
| 19. Chamardi sub Post Office, Chamardi-365410, Gujarat Circle. | 42. Vadia sub Post Office, Vadia-365480, Gujarat Circle. |
| 20. Chavand sub Post Office, Chavand-365435, Gujarat Circle. | 43. Vadania sub Post Office, Vadania-365456, Gujarat Circle. |
| 21. Chital sub Post Office, Chital-365620, Gujarat Circle. | 44. Ambujanagar sub Post Office, Ambuja Nagar-362715, Gujarat Circle. |
| 22. Damnagar sub Post Office, Damnagar-365620, Gujarat Circle. | |
| 23. Dedan sub Post Office, Dedan-365550, Gujarat Circle. | |
| 24. Dhari sub Post Office, Dhari-365640, Gujarat Circle. | |
| 25. Dhari Station Road sub Post Office, Dhari-365640, Gujarat Circle. | |
| 26. Dungar sub Post Office, Dungar-365555, Gujarat Circle. | |

[No. E.11025-1/91-OL]

DR. G. D. SINGH, Director (OL)

श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1988 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार रेलवे सहकारी श्रम संविदा समिति लि. के प्रबन्धन के संरक्षित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय

सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल—41012/209/95—आई आर बी आई]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 10th June, 1996

S.O. 1988.—In pursuance of Section II of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Rly. Sahkari Shram Samiti and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 5-6-96.

[No. L-41012/209/95-IRBI]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA, PRESIDING OFFICER
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 17 of 1996

In the matter of dispute between :
Shri Pancham Lal Yadav,
Village—Nihalpur,
Post—Sayara Meethepur,
Distt. Allahabad.

AND

Sachiv, Railway Sahkari Shram Samvidha Samiti Ltd.,
Main Road, Tundla, U.P.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi. vide its notification No. L-41012/209/95-I.R. (B.I.) dt. 29-1-96, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :—

Whether the Central Govt. is the appropriate Govt. for workman in dispute who had been engaged by the Rly. Sahkari Shram Samvidha Samiti Ltd., Tundla, a licensee contractor, under CL (R&A) Act.

If so, whether the action of the management of Rly. Sahkari Shram Samvidha Samiti Ltd. Tundla in terminating the services of their employee at Alld. Rly. station Sh. Panchamlal Yadav w.e.f. 8-3-1993 is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

2. In spite of repeated opportunities, having been given to the concerned workman, he neither filed any claim statement nor put in appearance in the Tribunal. It appears that he is not interested in the case.

3. Hence my answer to the reference is in the affirmative and against the concerned workman for want of proof. He is not entitled to any relief.

4. Reference is answered accordingly.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1989 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मध्य रेलवे के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल—41012/18/93—आई आर बी आई]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th June, 1996

S.O. 1989.—In pursuance of Section II of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Railway and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-1996.

[No. L-41012/18/93-IRBI]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 67 of 1994

In the matter of dispute between :

President,
Rashtriya Chaturth Shreni Rail Mazdoor Congress
INTUC 2/236, Namnair, Agra.

AND

Divisional Railway Manager,
Central Railway,
Jhansi.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its notification No. L-41012/18/93-I.R. (D.U.), dated 11-8-94, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

Whether the claim of the Rashtriya Chaturth Shreni Railway Mazdoor Congress for granting compensation and compassionate appointment to Smt. Afsana w/o Late Sri Nawab Khan is legal and justified? If so, to what relief Smt. Afsana is entitled to?

2. One Nawab Khan was an employee of the opposite party, Central Railway, Jhansi in the capacity of M.R.C.L. His services were brought to an end by the opposite party. His case was referred to Tribunal for adjudication regarding his retrenchment vide notification No. L-41012/103/89-D.2(B) dated 31-5-90. My learned predecessor vide award dated 5-2-92 had held that retrenchment of Nawab Khan was bad in law. Hence he was ordered to be taken in service and it was further ordered that it will be deemed that he continued in service. It is alleged that as Nawab Khan has completed more than 120 days he had acquired temporary status as such it will be deemed that he died in harness on 14-8-92. The claimant Smt. Afsana, has claimed service on compassionate ground in place of her deceased husband Nawab Khan which was denied by the opposite party.

3. The opposite party has failed to put in appearance in spite of sufficient service. In support of her case Smt. Afsana has filed her affidavit by which she has proved her case. Apart from this there is copy of award dated 31-5-92 which lend support to her claim statement. From this evidence it becomes clear that deceased Nawab Khan had acquired tem-

porary status. Further when he died it will be deemed that he was in service. As such because of circular No. A-12012/1/63, Coordn. d. 31-3-84 one of his heirs was entitled for employment on compassionate ground according to suitability of the heir.

4. In view of this circular and from the above evidence, it is fully established that the applicant was entitled for a suitable job on compassionate ground after the death of her husband.

5. In this way my award is that the claim of Smt. Afsana for suitable job in place of her husband Nawab Khan is justified. The Central Railway is directed to give her a suitable job within two months from the date of publication of the award.

6. Reference is answered accordingly.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1990 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूरसंचार के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 31-5-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/58/94-आई आर (टी यू)]

पी०जे० माईकल, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th June, 1996

S.O. 1990.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Telecom and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 31-5-96.

[No. L-40012/58/94-IR(DU)]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA PRESIDING OFFICER
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT PANDU NAGAR KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 48 of 1995

In the matter of dispute :

BETWEEN

Gulam Mustafa
S/o Sri Munna Khan
Makan No. 70 Jhar Kedia Jhansi.

AND

Management of Telecom Divisional Engineer, Jhansi.
Experte AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-40012/58/94-IR (DU) dated 5-5-96 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

Whether the action of the management of Telecom Divisional Engineer Jhansi in terminating the services of Sri Gulam Mustafa is legal and justified ? If not to what relief the workman is entitled to ?

2. The concerned workman Gulam Mustafa in his claim statement has alleged that he was appointed as a casual labour in October 1989 in Class IV service with the opposite party Telecom Divisional Engineer, Jhansi. Later on he was

kept on muster roll and was also recommended for regularisation. He worked continuously till May 92. All of sudden his services were terminated on 1-6-92. As the applicant had worked for more than 240 days in a calendar year he could not be retrenched without compliance of provisions of Section 25-F I. D. Act. Further juniors to the applicant like Sanjay Sharma and Sanjai Pandey were retained in service, hence there has been breach of Section 25-F of I. D. Act.

3. The opposite party failed to put in appearance in spite of sufficient service.

4. In support of his case the concerned workman has given his statement besides there are Ext. W-1 to Ext. W-8 which go to corroborate his case. In this way in my opinion, the claim of the concerned workman is duly proved.

5. Hence my award is that the termination of the concerned workman Gulam Mustafa is illegal and not justified and as such he is entitled for reinstatement. He shall also get Rs. 100 as costs of the case.

Dated : 6-5-1996

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1991 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बरेली कारपोरेशन बैंक लि. के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/59/90-आईआरबीआई]

पी. जे. माईकल, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th June, 1996

S.O. 1991.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bareilly Corporation Bank Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-1996.

[No. L-12012/59/90-IR (B-I)]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA, PRESIDING OFFICER
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT PANDU NAGAR KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 118 of 1990

In the matter of dispute :

BETWEEN

Sri Ram Pal son of Laxaman Prasad
C/o V. N. Sekhari 26/104 Dirhana Road
Kanpur.

AND

General Manager
Bareilly Corporation Bank Limited
Central Office 129-D Civil Lines
Bareilly.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, vide its Notification No. L-12012/59/90-IR. (B-III) dated

20-4-90, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

Kya Bareilly Corporation Bank Limited ke prabandh tantra Sri Ram Pal putra Sri Laxman Prasad, Chowkidar ko dinank 9-2-89 se sowa se nishkashit karna nyayochit hai ? Yadi nahi to sambandhit karamkar kis anutosh ka adhikari hai ?

2. The concerned workman Ram Pal in his claim statement has alleged that he is an ex-service men. The Central Office of the opposite party Bareilly Corporation Bank was opened in new premises in 1994 where he was employed as Watchman for 90 days. However, he was allowed to work upto 94 days thereafter his services were dispensed with by adopting unfair labour practice. At the time of retrenchment juniors to him were retained in service and further new hands were appointed without affording any opportunities. Hence, his retrenchment is bad because of non-compliance not Sections 25-G and 25-H of Industrial Disputes Act.

3. The opposite party has filed reply in which it has been alleged that there is no industrial dispute. It is a reference under Section 2-A of I. D. Act and in this Tribunal only a case of termination and dismissal can be referred and not a case of discharge by efflux of time. Further the appointment of the concerned workman was for specific period from 7-11-88 to 8-2-89. It was done on adhoc basis to meet the exigencies of time. It is also alleged that the concerned workman did not report for duty w.e.f. 9-2-89. Lastly, it was alleged that he was gainfully employed elsewhere.

4. In the rejoinder nothing fresh has been said.

5. In support of his case the concerned workman Ram Pal has adduced his evidence where as Personnel Manager S. K. Shankhdhar has been examined on behalf of opposite party. Besides Ext. M-1 to M-5 vouchers have been filed through which payment was made to the concerned workman at daily rate basis.

6. The authorised representative of the management has failed to advance any argument with regard to question about the instant case being industrial dispute or not. Any way I am of the opinion that once the concerned workman has alleged that his termination is bad in law and that same is denied there does arise industrial dispute. Hence, this objection is overruled. I also do not find any substance in the objection that it is not a reference under Section 2-A of I. D. Act. I am of the view that even cessation of service due to efflux of time also amounts to termination, hence it would be covered by provisions of Section 2-A of I. D. Act. There is no evidence worth the name on the side of the management to show that the concerned workman failed to turn up on 9-2-89. On the other hand there has been consistent evidence of concerned workman that his services were terminated after rendering service on 8-2-89. Hence, I do not accept the case of the management that the concerned workman himself did not turn up on 9-2-89. In other words the case of abandonment has not been proved.

7. It is admitted fact that the concerned workman has worked between 7-11-88 to 8-2-89. Admittedly there was no appointment letter. Even if it is held that appointment of the concerned workman was for a fixed period still it will not be covered by Section 2(bb)(aa) of I. D. Act as it has been held in the case of Management of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur versus Their workmen Civil Appeal No. 7029 of 1994 decided on 8-2-96. In this case the appointment was for a fixed period of a peon. The matter before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and it was held that peon and watchman whose services came to an end by efflux of time of 90 days, provisions of Section 25-G would be attracted. There is no evidence to show that juniors to the concerned workman were retained in service, hence there is no breach of Section 25-G of I. D. Act.

8. As regards non compliance of provision of Section 25-H of I. D. Act the concerned workman in support of his pleadings has alleged that after his termination Gopal Prakash Pardev Jalta Prasad have been engaged but no opportunity was given to him. This fact has not been

denied by S. K. Shankhdhar. Instead he has pleaded ignorance about it. In this way the evidence of the concerned workman is un rebutted. Hence, I have no hesitation in accepting it. On the basis of this evidence it is held that the management has not given opportunity to the concerned workman when new hands were employed. In this way there has been breach of Section 25-H of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Hence he is entitled for reinstatement in service with back wages.

9. Accordingly my award is that the termination of the service of the concerned workman is bad in law and he is entitled for reinstatement with back wages at the rate at which he was being paid wages at the time of his termination. He shall also get Rs. 100 as costs of the case.

10. Reference is answered accordingly.

Dated : 2-5-1996

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1992 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मध्य रेलवे के प्रबन्धन के संवद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निश्चित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रेषित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[नं. एल-41012/70/91-प्रार्थि प्रार की आई]

पी. जे. माईकल, ईस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1992.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Railway and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-1996.

[No. L-41012/70/91-IR (B-I)]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA PRESIDING OFFICER
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-1 LABOUR COURT DEOKI PALACE ROAD PANDU

NAGAR KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 123/1992

In the matter of dispute :

BETWEEN

Sri Surender Singh,
President Rashtriya Chaturth Shreni
Rail Mazdoor Congress
4 Hirapura Nagra Jhansi.

AND

Executive Engineer (C)
Central Railway
Jhansi.

Shri Surender Singh Au. Rep.—for the applicant.

None—for the Management.

AWARD

1. The Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-41012/70-91-IR (DU) dated 30-9-92 has

referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

"Whether the action of the management of Central Railway, Jhansi in terminating the services of Shri Kamal Kumar w.e.f. 4-4-86 is justified ? If not, what relief he is entitled to and from what date ?"

2. The concerned workman Kamal Kumar in his claim statement has alleged that he was working as M.R.C.L. from 1-7-85 to 3-4-86 continuously. He was also declared medically fit on 13-1-86 when he made claim for equal pay for equal work. Opposite party Executive Engineer (Central Railway), Jhansi terminated his services w.e.f. 4-4-86, without holding any enquiry and paying notice pay and retrenchment compensation as required by Section 25-F I. D. Act.

3. The opposite party in his reply has alleged that the applicant had obtained job by forging service card. When a show cause notice was given on 15-3-86 the concerned workman stopped coming to office. The claim is barred by principle of resjudicata.

4. The applicant has filed his affidavit but he has not been cross examined. The management has not filed any oral or documentary evidence. Thus the claim of concerned workman is fully proved from his un rebutted affidavit. There is no evidence worth the name to show that service record of the concerned workman was forged and the claim is barred by principle of resjudicata.

5. In view of my above finding it is held that the termination of service of concerned workman from 4-4-86 is not justified. Consequently he will be entitled for reinstatement with back wages from the date of reference. The management will pay Rs. 100 as cost to the workman.

Dated : 24-5-1996

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1993 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसूचन में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/121/86-आईआरबीआई]

पी. जे. माईकल, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1993.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SBI and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-1996.

[No. L-12012/121/86-IR BI]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer.

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-
TRIBUNAL, CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (MP)
Case Ref. No. CGIT/LC(R)(22)/1987

BETWEEN

Shri N. R. Atre, Cashier, represented through the General Secretary, State Bank of India Employees Union C/o State Bank of India, Godha Colony, Indore (MP).

AND

The Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Regional Office, Hamidia Road, Bhopal (MP).

PRESIDED IN :

By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman—Shri T. G. Billore, Advocate.

For Management—Shri R. Mainididutta, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Banking

DISTRICT : Bhopal (MP)

AWARD

Dated, the 11th March, 1996

This is a reference made by the Central Government, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, vide its Notification No. L-12012/121/86-D.II (A) dated 27-2-1987, for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India, Regional Office, Region I, Bhopal in dismissing from service Shri N. R. Atre, Cashier, Sultania Branch w.e.f. 13-10-84 is justified ? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled and from what date ?"

2. Admitted facts of the case are that the workman, Shri N. R. Atre, was employed as a Clerk-cum-Cashier in the Sultania Branch of the State Bank of India, Bhopal; that the chargesheet dated 15-3-1982 (Annexure 2) was served on the workman, Shri N. R. Atre, and it runs as follows :—

- That you were aware that Shri Shriram Soni had encashed Government Bills for huge amount on that day. You had seen him on two-three occasions on that day including at the time of his taking payment of the government bills and had every reason to doubt the bona fides of the encashment as you knew him well. It was, therefore, necessary and required of you that you informed the concerned authorities suitably in order to prevent the fraud and protect the interest of all concerned. With the full knowledge of above facts, you deliberately harboured the knowledge and suppressed the vital information which contributed to the perpetration of the fraud.
- With the ulterior motive of acquiescing in the said fraudulent act of Shri Soni and with a view to part taking in the booty, you accepted on that day from Shri Soni a sum of Rs. 10,000 knowing it to be from out of the amount as withdrawn by him from the Bank.
- Further, with the mala fide intention of concealing the above position from the Bank authorities, you wilfully arranged for deposit of Rs. 9,000 out of the amount so received by you in term deposit in a Branch of another Bank.
- As an employee of the Bank, which is a financial institution utmost honesty and devotion to duty is expected of you. By your acts as stated above, which are highly prejudicial to the interests of the Bank, you have forfeited the trust reposed in you as an employee of the Bank.

That the Disciplinary Authority served a show cause notice dated 16-6-84 on the workman and the workman was dismissed from service vide order dated 13-10-1984.

3. The case of the workman is that during the month of February and March, 1967, one Sri Shriram Soni withdrew fraudulently heavy sum from the various branches of the State Bank of India; that the false allegations were levelled against the workman; that on 18-3-67 when the workman was working as a Cashier Rs. 56725.45 was fraudulently withdrawn from the Bank with the connivance of the workman, Shri Atre; that the workman has enumerated various grounds in his statement of claim to show that the departmental enquiry has vitiated on account of the breach of principles of natural justice by the Enquiry Officer. The workman

has alleged that the finding of the Enquiry Officer is also perverse and it is not supported by the evidence on record. The workman has prayed that he be reinstated with full back wages and consequential benefits.

4. The case of the management is that the workman, Shri N. R. Atre, knew that on 18-3-67 one Sri Shriram Soni has fraudulently withdrawn Rs. 56725.45 (through Government Bills; that the workman, Shri Atre, received Rs. 10,000 as reward for allowing Sri Shriram Soni to take the Bank amount fraudulently; Shri Atre deposited Rs. 9,000 in Bank of Baroda, Bhopal Branch; that the police during the investigation seized the entire amount of Rs. 10,000 from Shri Atre. Shri Atre admitted the guilt and he was made approver against Shriram Soni and others. The management has further alleged that Shri Atre knew Sri Shriram Soni and he allowed Shri Soni to withdraw the amount of Rs. 56725.45 fraudulently in the name of Shri S. R. Saran; that the workman, Shri Atre, suppressed the vital information from the Bank that Shriram Soni has withdrawn the amount by impersonating Shri S. R. Saran; that Shri Atre accepted the bill of Sri Shriram Soni from one counter to another for concealing the identity of Sri Soni. Management has further alleged that the Session Judge in his judgment of criminal case against Sri Shriram Soni has observed in para 304 of his judgment that there was clear evidence against Shri Atre, PW-17, of his involvement in the case of fraud and cheating of the Bank amount, that the departmental enquiry was conducted against the workman and the Enquiry Officer has provided all the reasonable opportunity to defend his case; that the finding of the Enquiry Officer is based on the evidence on record and the dismissal of the workman is in accordance with the proved misconduct.

5. Following are the issues framed in the case :—

ISSUES

1. Whether the enquiry is proper and legal ?
2. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before this Tribunal ?
3. Whether the punishment awarded is proper and legal ?
4. Whether the termination/action taken against the workman is justified on facts of the case ?
5. Relief and costs ?

6. Issues No. 1 and 2—My learned predecessor vide Order dated 10-4-1991 has held that the delinquent workman has availed the required opportunity to defend his case and further held that the enquiry was just and fair and issues 1 and 2 were answered in favour of the management.

7. Issues No. 3, 4 and 5—The workman, Shri N. R. Atre, has admitted the receipt of Rs. 10,000 from the accused Sri Shriram Soni. The workman, Shri Atre, has accepted Rs. 10,000 from the accused Sri Soni after the withdrawal of the amount of Rs. 56725.45 by Sri Soni from the Bank. The said amount of Rs. 56725.45 was withdrawn by Sri Shriram Soni on the basis of forged bills and by impersonating himself as S. R. Saran. The workman, Shri Atre, has deposited Rs. 9,000 in Term Deposit in the Bank of Baroda. No explanation is given by the workman that why he had opened his account with the Bank of Baroda which was forbidden under the Banking Rules, there is no reason of depositing this amount immediately in the Bank of Baroda. On the basis of the statement made by Shri Atre this amount of Rs. 10,000 was recovered by the police after his statement wherein Shri Atre admitted that the amount was illegally received by him from Sri Shriram Soni. It is not in dispute that the workman, Shri Atre, knew Sri Shriram Soni. The crucial circumstantial evidence against the workman is that on 18-3-67 when Sri Shriram Soni submitted the bill of Rs. 56725.45 in the name of S. R. Saran, then the workman, Shri Atre, came to the counter of Shri Makhijani and took out this particular forged bill from the bunch of Government bills and placed it before Shri Makhijani for its early payment. Shri Atre had no business to take out the bill of Sri Shriram Soni (S. R. Saran) from the bundle kept by Shri Makhijani on the payment counter. This bill was not in the name of Sri Soni, but was in the name of S. R. Saran.

8. Shri Atre was working as Cashier in the Bank and on 24-2-67 he went to the payment counter of the Bank and helped Sri Soni in obtaining the payment of Rs. 57487. From the statement of Makhijani, it is clear that the workman, Shri Atre, who knew Sri Shriram Soni from before the met him before extending the help to Sri Soni to encash the forged bill.

9. In case of Shivanarayan Laxminarayan Joshi and others Vs. State of Maharashtra and others (AIR 1980 SC p. 439) it is held that "conspiracy is always hatched in secrecy and it is impossible to adduce direct evidence of the same. The offence can be only proved largely from the inferences drawn from acts or illegal omission committed by the conspirators in pursuance of a common design".

10. From the chronology of the aforesaid evidence, it is clearly proved that the workman, Shri Atre, was involved in conspiracy with the accused Sri Soni in defrauding the Bank and the Government Exchequer. The learned Session Judge has made clear observation in para 304 of his judgment that the manner Shri Atre has received Rs. 10,000 from Sri Shriram Soni it is crystal clear that his conduct was suspicious and Shri Atre was a party with Sri Soni in the alleged fraud. This is not in dispute that Shri Atre Cashier of the Bank took Rs. 10,000 from the accused Sri Soni on the day of the fraudulent withdrawal of the amount from the Bank and that he immediately deposited the sum in the Bank of Baroda. Shri Atre was well acquainted with Sri Shriram Soni and he had not only helped Sri Soni in the encashment of fraudulent sum, but Shri Atre helped in taking out the amount of the bill, which was in the fake name of S. R. Saran.

11. The learned Enquiry Officer has elaborately dealt with the circumstances and the evidence of the management and the defence of the workman and he has assigned the valid reason in reaching to the conclusion that the charge levelled against the workman was fully proved. In view of the aforesaid observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the attending circumstances of the case, the finding of the learned Enquiry Officer is held fully in accordance with the circumstances and evidence on record.

12. The workman was rightly dismissed from the service in view of the proved misconduct. The action of the management of State Bank of India, Regional Office, Region I, Bhopal in dismissing from service Shri N. R. Atre, Cashier, Sultanian Branch with effect from 13-10-1984 is held justified. Workman is not entitled for any relief. Parties are directed to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1994 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार अमेरिकन एक्सप्रेस बैंक के प्रबन्धन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निश्चित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अतिक्रमण, बम्बई नं.-1 के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/250/8 1-आई आर बी आई]

पी. जे. मर्डकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1994.—In pursuance of Section II of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay No. 1 as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to

the management of American Express Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-1996.

[No. L-12012/250/81-IR BJ]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri Justice R. S. Verma, Presiding Officer.
Reference No. CGIT-64 of 1991

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of American Express International Banking Corporation

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Management—Shri P. K. Rele, Advocate.

For the Workmen—Shri Dharap, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Banking STATE : Maharashtra
Mumbai, the 26th day of April, 1996

AWARD

Shri Anthony Fernandez with Shri S. M. Dharap Advocate.
Shri P. K. Rele—for management.

The parties have today filed a settlement in writing which has been verified by me today. The matter is settled in terms of the said settlement. Shri Fernandez has been paid three cheques, receipt of which he hereby acknowledges. An award is made in terms of the settlement filed today which shall be a part of the award. The award be submitted to appropriate Government forthwith as required by law.

R. S. VERMA, Presiding Officer

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 AT BOMBAY

Reference No. CGIT-1/64 of 1994

BETWEEN

Management of American Express
International Banking Corporation
Presently
American Express Bank Ltd.
Travel Related Services, 31-32, Community Centre
Basant Lok, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi-110057.

AND

Mr. Anthony Fernandez
R/o 302, Rajkamal, 2nd Hasnabad Lane, Santacruz
(N) Bombay-400054 an ex-workman of American
Express Bank Ltd. Travel Related Services.

May it Please this Hon'ble Tribunal :

The parties to the above reference have arrived at the following settlement and pray that the reference be disposed of in terms of the settlement :—

Terms of Settlement

1. Mr. Anthony Fernandez, the ex-employee, gives up his demand for reinstatement/re-employment with American Express Bank Ltd. Travel Related Services (hereinafter referred to as 'the Bank') and his claim for back wages and other benefits against the Bank.
2. In consideration of the above, the Bank agrees to pay to Mr. Anthony Fernandez a composite and all inclusive amount of Rs. 975,000 (Rupees Nine Hundred and Seventy Five Thousand only)

less applicable income-tax, which is inclusive of his legal expenses of Rs. 45,000 (Rupees Forty five Thousand only). The amount of Rs. 930,000 (Rupees Nine Hundred and Thirty Thousand only) being paid towards gratuity and agreed arrears of wages covering the period from the years 1979-80 to 1995-96 as per Annexure 'A' hereto, at his request, to enable him to claim relief under Section 89(1) read with rule 21-AA of the Income-tax Act, 1961 and the Rules framed thereunder,

3. Mr. Anthony Fernandez will submit to the Bank Form 10-E prescribed under the Income-tax Rules to enable the Bank to apply the necessary relief for the purpose of deduction of income-tax at source and file the same with the competent authority. In the event, tax authorities disputing the relief and claiming any tax on assessment, the same shall be the sole liability of Mr. Anthony Fernandez who hereby indemnifies the Bank in respect thereof.
4. It is agreed that on receipt of Form 10-E duly filled in by Mr. Anthony Fernandez the Bank will within four weeks of the receipt thereof make out three cheques, a cheque for Rs. 500,000 (Rupees Five hundred thousand only) drawn in favour of Mr. Anthony Fernandez, a cheque for the balance amount of Rs. 3,51,999 (Rupees three hundred fifty one thousand one hundred ninety nine only) after applicable Income-tax deductions, drawn in favour of Mr. Anthony Fernandez and a further cheque for Rs. 45,000 (Rupees Forty Five Thousand only) drawn in favour of Mr. Anthony Fernandez.
5. Mr. Anthony Fernandez agrees to accept the aforesaid amount of Rs. 975,000 (Rupees Nine Hundred and Seventy Five Thousand only), less applicable income tax, in full and final settlement of all his claims against the Bank and confirms that he has no claims of whatsoever nature against the Bank in connection with his employment with the Bank whether monetary or otherwise including any claim for reinstatement/re-employment.
6. This settlement is executed by Mr. Anthony Fernandez at his own free will without any force, coercion or undue influence whatsoever on Mr. Anthony Fernandez in agreeing to this settlement.
7. After this settlement is taken on record and a consent award is passed by the Hon'ble Tribunal and Form 10-E is received by the Bank from Mr. Anthony Fernandez, the aforementioned cheques will be handed over to Mr. Anthony Fernandez, in the presence of his Advocate Mr. S. M. Dharap before the Presiding Officer of the Tribunal on the day fixed for this purpose by the Tribunal. The same shall be duly acknowledged by Mr. Anthony Fernandez towards full and final settlements of all his claims.

8. There will be no order as to costs.

Dated this 17th day of April, 1996.

Sd/-
C. V. Raghu
For and on behalf of
American Express Bank Ltd.

Sd/-
Anthony Fernandez
Address

Sd/-
P. K. Rele
Advocate

Sd/-
S. M. Dharap
Advocate

Prescribed by same Sri P. K. Rele and S. M. Dharap Advocates alongwith Anthony Fernandez. Both the Advocates verify the execution of the settlement alongwith its contents. Shri Anthony Fernandez also verifies the same. Mr. Anthony Fernandez and

Shri Dharap acknowledge receipts of three cheques viz 029739 datd 22-4-96 for Rs. 5 Lacs; No. 029741 dated 22-4-96 for Rs. 3,51,199 and 029740 dated 22-4-96 for Rs. 45,000. Settlement verified and placed on record on 26-4-96.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Period	Amount
1979-80	1,279
1980-81	11,326
1981-82	13,308
1982-83	15,007
1983-84	17,556
1984-85	20,871
1985-86	32,799
1987-88	37,043
1989-90	44,173
1990-91	47,571
1991-92	50,968
1992-93	59,528
1993-94	56,065
1994-95	1,41,390
1995-96	1,41,370
Total	7,67,056
ADD : Gratuity payable	1,63,143
	9,30,199
ADD : Legal expenses	45,000
	9,75,199
LESS : Income-Tax	79,000
NET PAYABLE	8,96,199

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1995:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार टेलीकॉम के प्रबन्धन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं. 1, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[नं. एन-19011/22/92-आईएल (जी यू)]

पी. जे. माइकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1995.— In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Telecom and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-96.

[No. L-40011/22/92-IR(DU)]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri Justice R. S. Verma, Presiding Officer.
Reference No. CGIT-1/1 of 1994

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of
Telecom, Jalgaon

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Management : Shri S.S. Karkera, Advocate
for

Shri P.M. Pradhan, Advocate

For the Workman : Shri M.S. Chaudhary

INDUSTRY : Telecommunication

STATE : Maharashtra

Mumbai, dated the 22nd day of May, 1996

AWARD

Shri M. S. Chaudhary for union.

Shri S. S. Karkera, Advocate for Shri P. M. Pradhan Advocate for management. Heard.

The appropriate Government has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal.

“Whether the action of the management of Telecom Distt. Manager, Jalgaon in not following the waiting list circulated on 21-11-89 for re-engagement is proper, legal and justified ? If not, then what relief the entitled workmen are entitled to ?”

By virtue of the apex court judgement rendered in Sub Divisional Inspector of Post Vaikam and others etc. Mr. Thayyan Joseph etc. 1996 (i) SC Service Law Judgements 293, the dispute referred to the Tribunal is not an industrial dispute; telecom department of the Government of India does not qualify as industry and is discharging sovereign functions of the welfare state. Hence, the reference is rejected as incompetent and improper. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

R. S. VERMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1996:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार टेलीकॉम के प्रबन्धन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं. 2,

बगई के पंचम को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/125/92-आदेश (डी यू)]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1996.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Telecom and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-96.

[No. L-40012/125/92-IR(DU)]

P. J. MICHEAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri S. B. Panse, Presiding Officer.
Reference No. CGIT-2/55 of 1994

BETWEEN :

Employers in relation to the Management of Telecom District Manager, Goa

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the workmen : No appearance.

For the Employer : Mr. S. N. Joshi, Advocate.

Mumbai, dated 16th May, 1996

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-110012/125/92-IR(DU) dated 10/11-11-93 had referred to the following Industrial Dispute for Adjudication.

"Whether the action of the D/O Telecom District Manager, Panji-Goa and Telecom District Manager, Kolhapur and the Divisional Engineer Telegraph, Kolhapur in stopping from services to Shri D. B. Buchade, R/o Apati, Tal. Panhala, District Kolhapur, w.e.f. 2-3-85 and then even not giving him an opportunity to be taken back on duty as per the scheme framed by Director General, Telecom New Delhi on 7-11-89 for re-employment of casual mazdoors is justified and proper? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. The desk officer informed the concerned parties to appear before this tribunal and file a statement within 15 days of the receipt of the said order. After receiving the order of reference the secretary of the Tribunal also send notices to the concerned parties. They received the same. The management appeared

through Advocate Joshi. So far as the management of Kolhapur, Telecom is concerned by the letter Exhibit-4 they have informed that their district had no concern with the reference and their name may be deleted from it. In other words they have informed the Tribunal that they had no concern with the dispute and as such they are not interested in the decision of the reference. So far as management of Telecom, Goa is concerned one Mr. Joshi the Learned Advocate appeared on their behalf. On his Vakalatnama an order was passed other side to sit. In other words his appearance was not allowed till otherside had given its say in the matter.

3. The workman even though duly served remained absent. He was repeatedly issued notices to attend before the Tribunal but he did not remain present. His conduct speaks that he is no more interested in contesting the matter. He is not in position to lead evidence before the Tribunal to show that action of the management is justified. In the result I pass the following order :

ORDER

The action of the D/O Telecom District Manager, Panji-Goa and Telecom District Manager, Kolhapur and the Divisional Engineer Telegraph, Kolhapur, in stopping from services to Shri D. B. Buchade, R/o Apati, Tal. Panhala, District Kolhapur w.e.f. 2-3-85 and then even not giving him an opportunity to be taken back on duty as per the scheme framed by Director General, Telecom, New Delhi on 7-11-89 for reemployment of casual mazdoors is justified and proper.

S. B. PANSE, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1997:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1917 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार टेलीकॉम के प्रबन्धन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं. 1 बम्बई के पंचम को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/152/92-आदेश (डी यू)]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1997.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1 Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Telecom and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-96.

[No. L-40012/152/92-IR(DU)]

P. J. MICHEAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, MUMBAI
(Present)

Shri Justice R. S. Verma,

Presiding Officer

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-1/6 OF 1994

Parties :—Employers in relation to the management of Telecom District Manager, Jalgaon

and

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :—

For the Management : Shri S. S. Karkera,
AdvocateFor the Workman : Shri M. S. Chaudhari
State : Maharashtra

Mumbai, dated the 22nd day of May, 1996.

AWARD

Shri M. S. Chaudhari for union.

Shri S. S. Karkera, Advocate for management.
Heard. Payment of Rs. 200/-cost has been made to Shri M. S. Chaudhari.

The appropriate Government has referred to following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

“Whether the action of the retrenchment of Shri Usman Khan Lukman Khan Pathan, workman w.e.f. 1-5-86 by the management of Telecom, Jalgaon is legal proper and justified ? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to ?”

By virtue of the apex court judgment rendered in Sub-Divisional Inspector of post, Vaikam and others Vs. Theyyan Joseph etc. decided in 1996(i) SCC Law Judgment 293, the dispute referred to this Tribunal is not an industrial dispute ; Telecom Department of the Government of India does not qualify as industry and is discharging sovereign functions of the welfare state. Hence, the reference is rejected as incompetent and improper. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

R. S. VERMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1998:—आयोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार टेलीकॉम के प्रवर्धन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार आयोगिक अधिकरण, नं. 1 बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशन करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12011/15/93-आई आर (डी य)]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1998.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Telecom and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-96.

[No. L-12011/15/93-IR(DU)]

P. J. MICHEAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri Justice R. S. Verma, Presiding Officer
Reference No. CGIT-1/47 of 1994

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Kalyan Telecom District

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES :

For the Management : Sh. D. A. Khandare.

For the Workmen : Shri M. B. Anchan, Advocate & Shri D. A. Pingle.

STATE : Maharashtra

Mumbai, dated the 21st day of May, 1996

AWARD

Shri M. B. Anchan Advocate for union alongwith Shri D. A. Pingle.

Shri D. A. Khandare for management.

The appropriate Government has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

“Whether the action of the management of Kalyan Telecom District in terminating the services of 163 Mazdoors is legal, proper and justified ? If not, what relief the concerned workmen are entitled to ?”

2. The union has filed its written statement of claim. The management has opposed the claim.

3. Today, the case was fixed for cross-examination of the witness of the union. But in my opinion, the matter may not be required to proceed for cross-examination because the apex court in Civil Appeal No. 3385, 86 of 1996 arising out of SLP(C) No. 587, 88 of 1992 with CA Nos. 3392, 3391, 3387, 3389, 3388 and 3390 of 1996 arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 6163/93, 2593/94, 10190, 1918, 17577, 1919/95 with Civil Appeal No. 2431 of 1994- Sub Divisional Inspector of Post, Vaikam and ors. etc. viz. Theyyan

Joseph etc. decided on 2-2-96 (1996(1)SSC) has taken the view as follows :

"Having regard to the contentions, the question arises whether the appellant is an industry. India as a sovereign, socialist, secular democratic republic has to establish an egalitarian social order and rule of law. The welfare measures partake the character of sovereign function and the traditional duty to maintain law and order is no longer the concept of the state. Directive principles of state policy enjoin in the state diverse duties under part IV of the constitution and the performance of the duties are constitutional functions. One of the duty of the state is to provide telecommunication service to the general public and an amenity and so is one essential part of the sovereign functions of the state as a welfare state. It is not, therefore, an industry."

4. Admittedly, the disputes pertains to erstwhile employees of the telecommunication department, which now in light of the dictum quoted above is not an industry.

5. When it is so, the present dispute is beyond the jurisdiction of this Tribunal, not being an industrial dispute and can not be adjudicated upon by this Tribunal. The reference by the appropriate Government is, thus, bad in law and is rejected as incompetent in law.

R. S. VERMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 जून, 1996

का. आ. 1999:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार न्यू बैंक ऑफ इंडिया (पंजाब नेशनल बैंक) के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में, केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/11/87 डी 4 ए/आई आर (बी II)]

ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th June, 1996

S.O. 1999.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of New Bank of India (NOW PNB) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 10-6-96.

[No. L-12012/11/87 D IV A/IR(B-II)]

BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI GANPATI SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 15/88

In the matter of dispute between : ..

Shri Dinesh Kumar S/o. Shri Ram Avtar Singhal aged about 31 years working as Clerk-cum-Cashier New Bank of India, Inder Puri, New Delhi.

Versus

The Deputy General Manager,
New Bank of India,
1 Tolstoy Marg,
New Delhi.

APPEARANCES :

None—for the parties.

AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No. L-12012/11/87-D.IV (A) dated 10-2-88 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of New Bank of India in imposing a penalty on Shri Dinesh Kumar that they will not be entitled for any benefit whatsoever but for the subsistence allowance permissible to them during the period they remained suspended, is justified in view of the enquiry conducted by the management ? If so, to what relief are the concerned workmen entitled ?"

2. The brief facts of the case are that Dinesh Kumar was worked as clerk-cum-Cashier with the management and was placed under suspension on 5-9-88. The allegation against him were that he has adduced abusive language against the then Senior Manager of the branch. The charge sheet dated 7-10-85 was served upon him which contains recital of allegations at page I and the recital of charges at page 2. It was alleged that the charges were vague.

3. The management failed to prove the allegations of mis-conduct. These allegations according to the statement of claim were made against others also like C. D. Sachdeva, Shri Ravi Shanker Sharma, Shri Bharat Bhushan etc. except for one allegation that Dinesh Kumar had allegedly abused M. L. Mahajan the then Senior Manager of the branch. The departmental enquiry was conducted and the enquiry officer categorically held that the allegations of using abusive language were not correct and the disciplinary authority concurred with the findings of the enquiry officer.

The Enquiry Officer's other findings were also accepted by the then disciplinary authority. Based upon the findings of the Enquiry Officer the minor penalty was imposed as punishment on Dinesh Kumar and a warning was issued to him. It was also made expressly clear that in case he repeated any such act in future then his previous conduct will also be taken into consideration. In view of this situation the suspension of Dinesh Kumar was not justified and valid and the monetary losses suffered by him on account of the suspension were also not justified. It was further alleged that the disciplinary authority was not properly constituted and the amount of Rs. 6930/73 p. alongwith the interest which Dinesh Kumar could not get due to his suspension be also ordered to be paid to him.

4. The Management in its Written Statement though has not disputed the facts of this case but has alleged in the written statement that the claim of the Union was beyond the scope of terms of reference. The Union was seeking to challenge the order of the Disciplinary Authority and the reference was misconceived and without application of mind.

5. The Management examined Hardev Singh Dalip as MW1 and the workman Dinesh Kumar appeared as his own witness as WW1.

6. I have heard representative for the parties and have gone through the record.

7. After having gone through the record and the arguments of both the representatives of the parties, I am of the view that this was a case where Departmental Enquiry held in the matter of charge sheet served upon the workman has not been challenged nor the findings of the Enquiry Officer. The grievances of the workman was that the period of his suspension should be treated as period spent on duty simply on the ground that the charge of using abusive language against his superior was not found proved in the enquiry. From the suspension order it was clear that there were other allegations also. It is settled principle of law that in case where punishment is awarded on the basis of charges found proved in the enquiry it was the sole discretion of the disciplinary authorities to decide payment for suspension period.

8. The reference in this case was regarding the action of the management in imposing penalty on Dinesh Kumar that he will not be entitled for any payment whatsoever but for the subsistence allowance permissible to him during the period he remained suspended. The justification of this order was the reference in this case. On this point the workman representative has not said anything as to on what ground this order of the

management/disciplinary authority was not justified. The management has also referred to Union of India Vs. Parma Nand reported in 1989 Supreme Court page 1215. In the said case the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India had held that the Tribunal has no power to constitute its own discretion for that of the authority who awarded punishment. The Tribunal also could not interfere with the penalty if the conclusion of the enquiry officer—Competent Authority was based on evidence even if some of it was found to be irrelevant or extraneous matter. The Tribunal has ordinarily no power to interfere with the punishment awarded by the competent authority on the ground of penalty being excessive or disproportionate to the misconduct approved. If the punishment is based in evidence and is not arbitrary, mala fide or perverse. In the recent judgment in the case of State Bank of India & others Vs. Shri Surender Kishore and another reported in 1994 Supreme Court cases page 687 it was held that the Tribunal cannot interfere if the punishment has been imposed after holding enquiry. If the punishment imposed was harsh according to the Tribunal the Tribunal could agree to remit the case back to the Authority or to the Disciplinary Authority. In the present case the punishment awarded is not harsh and there is no reason for interfering in the punishment awarded to the workman. I, therefore, hold that the action of the management was justified. There was no ground to interfere in the same. Parties are, however, left to bear their own costs.

13th May, 1996.

GANPATI SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1996

का. अ. 2000 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मध्य रेलवे के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[नं. एल-41012/106/91—आईआर बी आई]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

Nw Delhi, the 12th June, 1996

S.O. 2000.—In pursuance of Section II of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Railway and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 5-6-96

[No. L-41012/186/87-IRB-I]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

Before Sri B K Srivasava Presiding Officer
Central Government Industrial Tribunal cum Labour
Court Pandu Nagar, Kanpur.
Industrial Dispute No. 132 of 1992

In the matter of dispute between :
President

Rashtriya Chaturth Shreni Rai Mazdoor Congress
2/236 Namneir Agra

And

Divisional Railway Manager (P)
Central Railway Jhansi.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its notification no. L-41012/106/91-I.R. (D.U.) dated 6-11-92 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

Whether the action of the management of Rly. Administration of Mathura Junction in not regularising the services of Sri Dhanmender Singh al's Dharmendra Singh son of Sri Dangal Singh. Ex-MRCL, and also terminating his service w.e.f. 1-8-89 is justified? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

2. The concerned workman Dharmendra Singh in his claim statement has alleged that he was engaged on 19-4-78 by the opposite party Central Rly. Jhansi Div. From 28-4-87 to 30-4-89 he worked APM (MRCL) at Farha Rly. Station in District Mathura. His services were abruptly terminated without payment of retrenchment compensation notice pay or holding domestic enquiry. Hence, his termination is bad in law. Further he is entitled for regularisation.

3. The opposite party did not file any written statement inspite of availing of one opportunity.

4. In support of his version the concerned workman has filed his affidavit. There is no counter affidavit. He has further been not cross examined. Hence his evidence is un rebutted.

5. In my opinion from the un rebutted evidence of the concerned workman, the case of the workman is fully established. Hence my award is that the termination of the services of the concerned workman w.e.f. 1-8-89 is bad in law. As such he is entitled for reinstatement with back wages.

B K SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer.

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2001 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के में प्रबन्धतंत्र के सबसे नियोजकों और उनके कमकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आन्ध्र-
1522 GI/96--29

प्रदेश (हैदराबाद) के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल—12012/186/87—आईएमएबीआई]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1996

S.O. 2001.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SBI and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 5-6-96.

[No. L-12012/186/87-IRBI]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-I
AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Sri A. Hanumanthu, M.A., LL.B., Industrial Tribunal-I.

Dated, 3rd day of April, 1996

Industrial Dispute No. 20 of 1993

BETWEEN :

Mohammed Hayath Khan,
C/o Mukham Cycle Taxi Company,
16-4-188/414, Near Moti Masjid,
Opp. Sarvarjung Market, Chenchalguda,
Hyderabad-500 024. ... Petitioner.

AND

The Chief Regional Manager,
Region-I, State Bank of India,
Bank Street, Hyderabad-500 001.
... Respondent.

APPEARANCES :

Sri P. Venkateswarlu Rao, Representative
on behalf of the Petitioner--Workman.

Sri D. Divakar, Representative of the Respondent—Management

AWARD

This is a reference made by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, by its Order No. L-12012/186/87-D.II(A)/IR. B. I, dated 4-5-1993 under Sections 10(1)(d) & (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter

called the Act) for adjudication of the Industrial Dispute annexed in its schedule which reads as follows :—

“Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India in terminating the services of Sri Mohammed Hayath Khan w.e.f. 28-12-1971 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?

The said reference has been taken on file as Industrial Dispute No. 20 of 1993 and the parties are being defended by their counsel.

2. On behalf of the Petitioner, a claims statement has been filed to the following effect.—The Petitioner Mohammed Hayath Khan was appointed as Godown Watchman w.e.f. 10-9-1970 in the State Bank of India, Hyderabad Main Branch. He worked till 28-12-1971 with temporary breaks in service in between and, thus, he has been put in more than one year of continuous service. He was terminated without any notice w.e.f. 28-12-1971 and without any reasonable cause. Consequent upon the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case *Sunder Money v. State Bank of India*, the Bank reinstated into service several hundred of workmen who were also terminated like the Petitioner without notice and without complying with the provisions of the Act. They were reinstated with full back wages from 16-1-1976 i.e. the date on which the judgement in *Sunder Money* was pronounced by the Supreme Court. On knowing that information, the Petitioner made several oral representations to the Respondent seeking reinstatement into service on the ground that he had put in one year of continuous service and that he was terminated without notice and without reasonable cause between 1977 and 1985. The Bank while rejecting his request for reinstatement had put forth three different reasons. The Respondent Bank has stated in its letter dt. 21-9-1977 that the Petitioner's request could not be considered as the period between his initial appointment and that of last termination was less than 12 calendar months. The Respondent by its letter dt. 13-6-1978 informed the petitioner about its inability to reinstate the Petitioner on the ground that the service of the Petitioner-workman was terminated before 1-1-1975. The Respondent by its letter dt. 5-12-1975 informed the petitioner that his claim was rejected as it has become stale. The Petitioner gave full particulars of his employment in reply to the Respondent's letter dt. 21-9-1977. The Petitioner also submitted his replies to the other two letters of the Respondent. The action of the management in rejecting the claim of the Petitioner to reinstate him into service is not at all justified particularly when several other employees who were similarly terminated like the

petitioner, were reinstated. One Hayat Ali who also worked along with the Petitioner during the same period was terminated about the same time, but he was reinstated with full back wages in 1983. The Petitioner has been discriminated. Hence the Petitioner prays that he may be reinstated into service with full back wages and attendant benefits w.e.f. 16-1-1976 on par with other similarly placed employees who were reinstated.

3. On behalf of the Respondent-Management a counter has been filed to the following effect.—During 1970 the Banking Industry as a whole and the Respondent Bank in particular, used to engage persons on purely temporary basis for the purpose of watching the godowns of the Units to which loans were sanctioned. The Petitioner was also engaged for similar purpose on purely temporary basis intermittently and when his services were required during the period from 1-1-1971 to 23-12-1971 to watch godown from the Unit by name M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Moula Ali, Hyderabad. The petitioner's engagement was only in connection of the temporary requirements i.e. when the advances sanctioned to the units went bad and it was not in the course of regular appointments. After the units loan accounts were settled, the requirement of continuing the services of such persons were no longer necessary. Hence the allegation of the Petitioner that he was appointed in the Respondent Bank is denied. The petitioner's temporary engagement was discontinued as long back as 23-12-1971 after the Unit's loan accounts in respect of which he was engaged were closed. Hence the allegation that the service of the petitioner were terminated without any reasonable cause is devoid of merits. Consequent on the decision of *Sunder Money's* case a spate of industrial disputes were raised all over the country as against the Banking industry in general and this Respondent Bank in particular. There was no unprecedented industrial unrest in the entire Banking industry. To bring about industrial peace and harmony, the Respondent Bank entered into certain settlements with the Unions under the Act for considering the case of those persons who were engaged on temporary basis during certain periods. It is only those persons who got chance for being considered for permanent absorption in the Respondent Bank service by virtue of those Settlements. All those who have been given a chance for appointment fall within the parameters of the Settlements arrived at that time. The allegation that the petitioner made several representations and that he was informed on several occasions is denied. The Respondent Bank considered the cases of only such of those persons engaged on temporary basis in terms of the Settlements and the case of the petitioner which does not fall within the parameters of the Settlement could not be considered. The petitioner is not entitled to claim any relief in accordance with the Settlement.

For reasons best known to him, the petitioner kept quite for all these years. The claim of the petitioner has become stale. The action of the Respondent Bank in refusing to consider the case of the petitioner is valid, justified and in accordance with law. The relief prayed for is misconceived. The petitioner is not entitled for any relief under this reference.

4. On behalf of the petitioner, W.W1 and W.W2 are examined and Exs. W1 to W10 are marked. The petitioner got himself examined as W.W1 and he deposed to the averments in his claim statement. W.W2 S. Adinarayana Murthy is a retired employee of State Bank of India and Member of the Staff Union of State Bank of India. He deposed with respect to the copy of the minutes of the discussions held before the Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Central) Vijayawada. On 3-3-1981 and Ex. W10 is the copy of the said minutes. On behalf of the Respondent-Management, M.W1 is examined and Exs. M1 & M2 are marked. The Deputy Manager working in the Personnel Department of the State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Hyderabad is examined as M.W1 and he deposed to the averments in the counter. Ex. M1 is the copy of the Circular dated 15-9-76 issued by the General Manager, Operations, State Bank of India, Hyderabad relating to temporary employee's reinstatement. Ex. M2 is the Xerox copy of the extract of Chapter 23 Volume 2 of reference book of staff matters relating to eligibility criteria for recruitment of sub-staff in the State Bank of India. The details of the documents Exs. W1 to W10, M1 and M2 are appended to this Award.

5. The points that arise for consideration are as follows :

- (1) Whether the action of the Respondent-Management in terminating the services of Sri Md. Hayath Khan the petitioner herein w.e.f. 28-12-1971 is legal and justified ?
- (2) To what relief the petitioner workman is entitled in this reference ?

6. POINT (1).—The admitted facts as revealed from the evidence on record are as follows:—The Petitioner, Mohammed Mayat Khan was engaged temporarily to watch the godown of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Hyderabad which was financed by the Respondent-Bank. The Petitioner was engaged intermittently during the year 1971. Before he was engaged as Watchman, the Petitioner was doing business as proprietor of Sahana Furniture Works and he started that business in furniture works with the loan of Rs. 5,000.00 obtained from the Respondent-Bank. As the Petitioner failed to repay the said loan borrowed by him, the Respondent-Bank instituted a suit against him

for recovery of the amount due from him and that suit was decreed in 1976. It is also admitted that the Petitioner was not given any written appointment order when he was engaged as Watchman of godowns of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Hyderabad. The Petitioner was disengaged from service in December, 1971 and he made the first representation for reinstatement into service on 7-9-1977. The Respondent-Bank rejected the claim of the Petitioner. The Petitioner raised the industrial dispute in 1986. The Conciliation Officer submitted his failure report and thereafter the Government of India rejected the case of the Petitioner to refer the dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the Act. Being aggrieved by the same, the Petitioner preferred writ petition in W.P. No. 16849/87. The said writ petition was dismissed on 4-4-1990. But on the basis of the letter filed by the Respondent-Bank that there was no stake in the relief portion. The matter was posted once again before the Court and the Hon'ble High Court modified the order dt. 4-4-90 and directed the Govt. of India to refer the case for adjudication, and in pursuance of the said direction issued by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 16849/87 this reference has been made for adjudication by the Government of India.

7. The learned representative for the Petitioner-workman submits that the Petitioner worked continuously from 1-1-1971 till he was retrenched from service on 28-12-1971, that the Petitioner had put in more than 240 days of service continuously within a span of one year counted backward from the date of discharge i.e. 28-12-1971, that the petitioner has been retrenched from service without giving any notice or pay in lieu of notice or retrenchment compensation as required under Section 25-F of the Act. Therefore, the retrenchment of the petitioner is illegal and the petitioner is entitled for reinstatement into service with full back wages and continuity of service.

8. The learned counsel for the Respondent Bank on the other hand submits that his Petitioner was not a regular employee of the Respondent-Bank, that his services were utilised temporarily and he was engaged intermittently to watch the godowns of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Hyderabad which was financed by the Respondent-Bank. Thus the petitioner was engaged as Watchman due to exigencies of work, that the Petitioner was disengaged on 23-12-1971 (not on 28-12-1977 as claimed by the Petitioner) as the need to watch the godowns of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills ceased to exist and the Petitioner was disengaged as there was no work to be entrusted to him and as such the disengagement of the petitioner does not amount to retrenchment and there is no violation of provisions under Section 25-F of the Act.

9. It is not disputed that the Petitioner herein is a workman as defined under Section 2(s) of the Act. It is also not disputed that he was engaged temporarily by the Respondent-Bank to watch the godowns of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Hyderabad during the year 1971, and he was disengaged on 23-12-1971 according to the Respondent-Bank and on 28-12-1971 according to the petitioner. It is well settled that "retrenchment" is termination of services and termination of services may not be retrenchment. In order to be retrenchment termination of service has to fall within the ambit of retrenchment under Section 2(oo) of the Act. Further Section 25-F of the Act prescribes the requirements of notice and payment of compensation as conditions precedent to the retrenchment of workman. The termination of service of a workman as a measure of retrenchment without complying with the requirements under Section 25-F of the Act will be illegal. It is also settled that the burden of proof of establishing that the termination of service of a workman is retrenchment is on the person who have put forward that claim. In other words, where the employee claims that he has been retrenched, he must have to prove that he has been retrenched from service and it is not for the employer to prove that the discharge or termination of the employee was otherwise than by way of retrenchment. It is also well settled that discharge simpliciter does not amount to retrenchment. If the termination is actuated by vindictiveness or unfair labour practice, it amounts to retrenchment. Hence in the instant case it has to be seen whether the discharge-disengagement of the petitioner w.e.f. 23/28-12-1971 amounts to retrenchment as defined under Section 2(oo) of the Act.

10. The Petitioner-Workman got himself examined as W.W1 and he deposed that he worked as Godown Watchman in State Bank of India, Hyderabad from September, 1970 to December 1971, that he was removed from service w.e.f. December, 1971, that in spite of repeated requests, the Respondent Bank failed to reinstate him into service. In his cross examination the Petitioner stated that before joining service of the Respondent-Bank he was the proprietor of Sahana Furniture Works, that he started that business with the loan obtained from the Respondent Bank, that he was not aware that the M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Hyderabad was financed by the Respondent Bank. He also admits in his cross examination that in 1974 a suit was filed against him by the Respondent-Bank for recovery of dues under the loan account and that he was doing furniture business in the name and style 'Sahana Furniture' before he applied for employment as Watchman of the Respondent-Bank and that he also obtained a loan of Rs. 5,000.00 from the Respondent Bank for that purpose and the suit was decreed against him in 1976. W.W1 further stated that he made the

first representation on 7-9-1977 after he was removed from service in December, 1971, that after 1978 he did not give any representation in writing till 1984, that he raised the industrial dispute in 1986. W.W2 is a retired Head Clerk of the Respondent-Bank and he deposed with regard to the minutes in the discussion held before the Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Central), Vijayawada on 3-3-1981 and Ex. W10 is the copy of the said minutes. M.W1 the Deputy Manager of the Respondent-Bank deposed with regard to the circular, original of Ex.M1 dt. 20-9-1976 issued by the General Manager (Operations) relating to the reinstatement of temporary employees and under this circular the temporary employee who worked for 240 days in a calendar year, is eligible for reinstatement if he had been retrenched or terminated on or before 1-1-1975. M.W1 also deposed that for recruitment in the cadre of Sub-Staff the person must have passed 8th Class and he should not have completed 26 years of age. He also produced the xerox copy of the extract of Volume 2 of Chapter 23 of the reference book of staff matters (Ex.M2) relating to the eligibility criteria for recruitment of Sub-Staff. It is clear from the evidence of W.W1 and M.W1 that the engagement of the petitioner was oral and his termination was also oral, and he was engaged temporarily to watch the godown of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Hyderabad which was financed by the Respondent-Bank. It is also clear from this evidence that the petitioner was disengaged as watchman as the need to watch the godowns of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills ceased to exist. Thus the petitioner was not employed in the regular post as a regular employee by the Respondent Bank. He was engaged as Watchman temporarily to meet the exigency of work of the Respondent-Bank. The Respondent-Bank had granted loan to M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills and to safeguard the machinery and other stocks in the premises of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills to whom the loan was advanced the petitioner was engaged as watchman intermittently during 1971. The petitioner cannot be continued in service as soon as the necessity to safeguard the godown of M/s. Goutham Rolling Mills, Hyderabad had ceased to exist. There was no work to be entrusted to the petitioner beyond that period. Hence under these circumstances, the engagement or appointment of the petitioner as watchman cannot be equated to a regular appointment so as to entitle him to claim reinstatement. The petitioner was disengaged as there was no work to be entrusted to him. Therefore, the discharge of the petitioner is discharge simpliciter. It cannot be said that the said discharge of the petitioner has been motivated by vindictiveness or due to unfair labour practice on the part of the Respondent-Bank. The petitioner was disengaged for want of work. Therefore, the termination/discharge of the petitioner does not amount to retrenchment as defined

under Section 2(oo) of the Act. The observation of their Lordships in *Satyanarayana Sharma and Others v. National Mineral Development Corporation* (1990 (IT) LLJ, page 596) are relevant in this context. In that case daily rated workmen wanted to regularise their services in the National Mineral Development Corporation, their Lordships of the Supreme Court held in para 4 thus :

"We do not find any ground to interfere with the High Court decision in view of clear finding supported by evidence, that there are no vacancies of work available in the establishment for absorption of the the petitioners and that for quite some time they have been continued on rolls and paid inspite of their being no work for them. On these facts directing absorption and regularisation does not arise. The principle of regularisation of daily rated workmen and payment to him of the pay equal to that of a regular work arises only when the daily rated workmen is doing the same work as the regular workmen and there being vacancy available for him, he is not absorbed against it or not even paid equal pay for the period during which the same work is taken from him."

In the instant case, the Petitioner was not engaged in a regular post in the clear regular vacancy in the Respondent-Bank. On the other hand, it is in the evidence of the Petitioner himself that he was engaged as Godown Watchman of M/s. Goutham Rollings Mills, Hyderabad which had borrowed a loan from the Respondent-Bank. The need to keep watch over the said godown cases as soon as that loan was discharged. Therefore, there will not be work of keeping watch over the godowns to be entrusted to the petitioner after the loan was discharged. In the absence of a clear regular vacancy, the petitioner-workman could not have been continued in service as a regular employee. Therefore, the discharge of the petitioner is discharge simpliciter and it does not amount to retrenchment under Section 2(oo) of the Act. Therefore the Respondent-Bank need not comply with the provisions under Section 25F of the Act while disengaging the petitioner.

11. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Hayat Ali Khan, Yayat Ali, Mohd. Osman and M. M. Baig who were engaged along with the petitioner were also discharged from service but they were reinstated by the Respondent-Bank in 1984 and the Respondent-Bank made a discrimination in not reinstating the petitioner into service. The petitioner, in his cross-examination, admitted that the said persons were appointed as full time watchmen. Therefore their cases cannot be called in aid by the petitioner. As already observed, the petitioner's engagement

was not against a regular post, but for the purpose of watching the godowns of one of the borrowers of the Respondent-Bank and his engagement was restricted to the period till the loan was discharged.

12. The learned counsel for the Respondent-Bank submits that the claim of the petitioner is liable to be rejected on the ground of staleness also. The learned counsel contends though the petitioner was disengaged from service in December 1971 he kept quiet till September, 1977 i.e. for a period of almost six years. Further, even after the claim of the petitioner was rejected under Ex. W4 dated 13-6-1978 the Petitioner chose to remain silent upto March 1984 i.e. for another six years, before he chose to make another representation to the Respondent-Bank. The petitioner had never agitated his case at any point of time till September, 1986 when he raised the industrial dispute. No documents have been filed to show that the petitioner pursued his case between 1971 and 1977 and in subsequent period between 1978 and 1984. The petitioner examined as W.W1 stated in his cross examination thus : "I have not been working from 1971. I made the first Representation on 7-9-1977 after I was removed in December, 1971 After 1978 I have not made any representation in writing till March 1984 I have raised, industrial dispute in 1986". Our High Court and other High Courts and the Supreme Court have held in many cases that the delay of four years and above is highly belated and stale and no relief can be granted to the workman. In Writ Petition No. 101/83. *R. Ramalingeswara Rao v. The State Bank of India, Regional Office, Region III, Vijayawada* on the file of our High Court (un-reported decision) his Lordship Justice P. N. Choudary observed thus :

"Even assuming that the order of termination passed by the Bank in the year 1976 was contrary to Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act and that, therefore, that order was theoretically a nullity, it does not follow that a Court of law ignoring all the practicalities should order his reinstatement after the lapse of so many years. Should the petitioner be treated during their ten years as being in the service of the Bank, I think not. The petitioner had accepted Bank's Order of termination by not challenging the validity of that order during these nine or ten years. He had acquiesced in that order which for that reason be allowed to acquire finality. He should not, now, be permitted to contend to the contrary. The petitioner's contention that the order is null and void is merely theoretical and cannot be given effect to by any Court

that should have regard to the practical realities, I, therefore, reject this argument, I accordingly dismiss this writ petition”.

In *Sirhind Co-operative Marketing-cum-Processing Society Ltd. v. Presiding Officer, Labour Court & AIR [1991(II) SLR page 487]* their Lordships of Punjab and Haryana High Court observed in para 5 thus :

“The crucial question to my mind is as to whether when the workman himself slept over the matter for long years and takes up the matter without any kind of explanation whatsoever should he be reinstated. In the present case, the delay is mainly attributed to the workman and it is not a case of delay that occurred on account of fault of the Government in making the reference at a late stage. . . . In the present case, however, as has been fully narrated above, there is absolutely no dispute that for the first time the workman raised the dispute by serving a demand notice on the management after a period of 7 1/2 years. If the workman had felt that the order of termination of his services was illegal as no procedure at all was followed, he could have come up with a demand notice within some reasonable time. It is true that there is no limitation prescribed for raising dispute to Industrial Tribunal or Labour Court, but it would be only then reasonable that the dispute should be referred as soon as possible and after conciliation proceedings have failed. To reopen the matter after such a long time and that to without giving any explanation of delay would be too inequitable and had a great disadvantage of the management. It is settled law that even though the management has held no domestic enquiry or if the domestic enquiry is defective it shall be open to it to prove the guilt of the workman before the Court itself. In case management takes such a stand, which is permissible under the law, it would be practically impossible to collect evidence after so many years. The peculiar facts of this case, in my considered opinion, would not warrant any relief to the workman who slept over the matter for 7 years and confronted the management at such a belated stage when it may not have been possible for it to prove his guilt. Reinstatement of the workman at this stage would make total injustice to the petitioner-Society

which happens to be a Co-operative Society.”

In *Bhoop Singh v. Union of India and Ors. [1992 (4) SLR page 761]*. Their Lordships of Supreme Court observed in para 8 thus :

“There is another aspect of the matter inordinate and unexplained delay or laches is by itself a ground to defuse relief to the petitioner, irrespective of the merit of his claim. If a person entitled to a relief choose to remain silent for long, he thereby gives rise to a reasonable belief in the mind of others that he is not interested in claiming that relief. Others are then justified in acting on that belief. This is more so in service matters where vacancies are required to be filled promptly. A person cannot be permitted to challenge the termination of his service after a period of twenty two years, without any cogent explanation for the inordinate delay, merely because other similarly dismissed had been reinstated as a result of their earlier petitions being allowed.”

In the instant case, as stated earlier, there is abnormal delay on the part of one petitioner in agitating his claim for reinstatement. The petitioner slept over the matter from 1971 to 1984 and he has not explained the delay. Thus the claim of the petitioner has become stale. There is also no substance in the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that discrimination has been metted out to the petitioner. The petitioner failed to substantiate the same. The plea of discrimination is not proved by any concrete evidence.

13. In the light of my above discussion, I hold on Point (1) that the action of the Management of the Respondent-Bank in terminating the petitioner-workman does not amount to retrenchment and it is justified. The point is thus decided in favour of the Respondent and against the petitioner.

14. Point (2).—This point relates to the relief to be granted to the petitioner in this reference. In view of my finding on Point No. 1, the petitioner workman is not entitled for any relief in this reference.

15. In the result, Award is passed stating that the termination of the petitioner workman Mohammad Hayat Khan from service w.e.f. 28-12-1971 is legal and justified and that the petitioner-workman is not entitled for any relief in this reference. The reference is thus answered accordingly. The parties are directed to bear their costs.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected by me and given under my hand and the seal of this Tribunal, this the 3rd day of April, 1996.

**A. HANUMANTHU, Industrial Tribunal-I
APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE**

Witnesses Examined for Petitioner :	Witnesses Examined for Respondent :
W.W1 Mohd. Hayath Khan	M.W1T. Nagen- dra Prasad.
W.W2 S. Adinarayana Murthy	

**DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF
PETITIONER**

- Ex. W1 21-9-77 Letter of the Respondent informing that the Bank has regretted to reinstate the workman.
- Ex. W2 13-4-78 Letter of the Respondent informing that the Bank has regretted to reinstate the workman.
- Ex. W3 9-5-78 Letter of the Respondent informing that the Bank has regretted to reinstate the workman.
- Ex. W4 13-6-78 Letter of the Respondent informing the petitioner that the Bank has not reinstated the petitioner.
- Ex. W5 4-10-85 Copy of the letter of the petitioner.
- Ex. W6 10-3-84 Copy of the letter of the petitioner for payment of salary.
- Ex. W7 5-12-85 Copy of the letter of the Respondent Regional Office to the Branch Manager of the Bank.
- Ex. W8 11-6-94 Copy of the Respondent calling for negotiations.
- Ex. W9 9-7-94 Copy of the Representation of the petitioner demanding for reinstatement.
- Ex. W10 30-3-81 Copy of the Minutes of discussion held before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner along with its annexures.
- Ex. W11 Order copy of W. P. No. 16307/86.

**DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF
THE RESPONDENT**

- Ex. M1 15-9-76 Xerox copy of the Circular.

Ex. M2..... Xerox copy of the extract of Chapter 23 recruitment to Subordinate Cadre.

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1996

का. अ. 2002 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार न्यू इंडिया अश्युरेन्स कं. लि. के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-17012/38/93/—आई आर बी-2]
ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1996

S.O. 2002.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of New India Assurance Co., Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 10-6-1996.

[No. L-17012/38/93 IR (B-II)]
BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer.

ANNEXURE

**BEFORE SHRI GANPATI SHARMA : PRESID-
ING OFFICER : CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL : NEW DELHI**

I.D. No. 79/94

In the matter of dispute between:

Shri I. C. Sirohi through

Maha Sachiv, Gdaeral Insurance Employees' Federation, Northern Zone, C-30, Community Centre Naraiana, New Delhi-110028.

Versus

Maha Prabandhak,
New India Assurance Company Limited,
Gulab Bhawan, 6-Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg,
New Delhi-110002.

APPEARANCES : Shri O. P. Rajouria for the
workman.
Shri Rajensh Raijan for the
Management,

AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No: L-17012/38/93-I.R. B-2 dated

29-6-94 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

“Whether the action of the management of New India Assurance Company Ltd., New Delhi in not protecting the pay of Shri I. C. Sirohi (as he was drawing as Development Officer) on his appointment as Hindi Translator is justified? If not, what relief is the said workman entitled to?”

2. After recording of the evidence of the management the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman when the representative of the workman made statement that the workman has since been promoted as Assistant Administrative Officer. He was not now interested in proceeding further with the dispute and No Dispute award may be given in this case.

3. In view of the above statement of the representative for the workman there exist no dispute between the parties and no dispute award is given in this case leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

9th May, 1996.

GANPATI SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2003 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार न्यू इंडिया अश्यरेन्स कं. लि. के प्रबंध-तंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में, केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के पंचिपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एस—17011/01/95 आई आर (बी II)]

ब्राज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1996

S.O. 2003.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of New India Assurance Co. Ltd., and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 10-6-96.

[No. L-17011/01/95 IR(B-II)]
BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI GANPATI SHARMA : PRESID-
ING OFFICER : CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL : NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 23/96

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri Ashok Kumar
Shri Daya Chand
through Maha Sachiv,
General Insurance Employees Federation,
C-30, Community Centre, Naraina, New Delhi.

Versus

General Manager,
New India Assurance Company Limited,
6, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.

APPEARANCES : Shri O. P. Rajodia for the work-
men

Shri J. K. Bhola for the Management.

AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No. L-17011/01/95-I.R. (B-2) dated 29-2-96 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

“Whether the action of the management of New India Assurance Co. Ltd., New Delhi in not giving promotion to S/Shri Ashok Kumar, Daya Chand subordinate staff to the post of Record Clerks w.e.f. 1992 and 1991 respectively is justified? If not, what relief the workmen concerned are entitled to?”

2. The representative for the workmen made statement that the dispute has since been settled amicably between the parties and has stated that no dispute award may be given in this case. In view of this situation a No Dispute Award is given in this case leaving the parties to their own costs.

3rd June, 1996.

GANPATI SHARMA, Presiding Officer.

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2004 :—केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि लोकहित में यह अपेक्षित है कि औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की पहली अनुसूची में प्रविष्टि 17 के अन्तर्गत आने वाले तेल क्षेत्र में सेवा उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ लोकोपयोगी सेवा घोषित की जाए,

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (द) में उपखंड (6) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ उक्त उद्योग को तत्काल प्रभाव से छः मास की अवधि के लिए लोकोपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[संख्या एस-11017/5/85-डी-I (ए)]

हरी चन्द गुप्ता, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1996

S.O. 2004.—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the public interest requires that the service in any Oil field which is covered by entry 17 in the First Schedule to the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), should be declared to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares with immediate effect the said industry to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act for a period of six months.

[No. S-11017/5185-D.I(A)]

H. C. GUPTA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 17 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2005 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार टेलीकॉम (केबल्स) के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निश्चित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-40012/71/91-आई आर (डी यू)]

ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 17th June, 1996

S.O. 2005.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Telecom (Cables) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-1996.

[No. L-40012/71/91-IR(DU)]

BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 189 of 1991

In the matter of dispute between :

Rama Shanker Yadav,
C/o N. C. Pandey,
2-323 GTV Nagar Kareli,
Allahabad.

1522 GI/96—30

AND

Assistant Engineer,
Telecom (Cables),
Varanasi.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, vide its Notification No. L-40012/71/91-D-2(B) dated 19-11-1991, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

Whether the action of Assistant Engineer (Cables) Telecom, Varanasi in terminating the services of Sri Rama Shanker Yadav son of Sri Satyadev Yadav w.e.f. 1-4-89 is justified? If not, what relief he is entitled to and from what date?

2. The concerned workman Ramashanker Yadav has alleged that he was engaged as casual labour on 1-12-82 with the opposite party Telecom Department at Azamgarh. Thereafter he worked at Bhadohi. Lastly he worked at Varanasi where as his services were terminated on 31-3-89. As such he had completed more than 240 days in a calendar year preceding the date of termination. The same is bad in law because of non compliance of provisions of Section 25F Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

3. The opposite party has filed reply in which it is admitted that concerned workman was originally engaged at Azamgarh on 2-12-82. Later on when the work came to an end. He went to join at Bhadohi and subsequently at Varanasi in short gap arrangement. It is denied that he continuously worked during this period. When work at Varanasi came to an end he was asked to go back at Azamgarh. His services at no point have been terminated hence this reference, is bad.

4. The concerned workman has filed rejoinder in which nothing new has been said.

5. Now the question which calls for consideration is as to whether concerned workman had completed atleast for more than 240 days in a year preceding his termination. The date of termination is 1-4-89. The concerned workman has filed a certificate from Assistant Engineer (Cables), Varanasi dt. 9-4-89 which show that from March, 1988 to February 1989 he had worked for 306 days. This certificate is proved by the statement of concerned workman Ramashanker Yadav of which there is no rebuttal. Hence, it is fully established that the concerned workman had completed for more than 240 days preceding his termination.

5. Second contention which need consideration is whether the concerned workman was ceased. The interpretation of the opposite party is not tenable. In my opinion, the asking of Assistant Engineer Varanasi to go to Azamgarh would amount to

denial of work which in turn would certainly amount to retrenchment. Hence it is a case of retrenchment. Admittedly no notice pay or retrenchment compensation was given, hence there has been blanket breach of section 25F of I.D. Act.

6. Accordingly my answer is that termination of the concerned workman was not justified. Hence he is entitled for reinstatement with back wages at the rate at which he was being paid at the time of termination.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 17 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2006 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आर्कियोलॉजिकल सर्वे ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एन-41012/276/90-आई. आर (डी. यू.)]

ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 17th June, 1996

S.O. 2006.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Archaeological Survey of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-1996.

[No. L-41012/276/90-IR(DU)]

BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA PRESIDING OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 162 of 1991

Industrial Dispute Between :

Sri Omkar Singh,
C/o Surender Singh,
2/236 Namneir Agra.

AND

Superintending Archaeologist,
Archaeological Survey of India,
22 The Mall,

AWARD

Central Government Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-41012/276/90-IR(DU) dt. 25-9-91 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

Whether the Superintending Archaeologist Archaeological Survey of India, Agra, was justified in terminating the services of Sri Omkar Singh w.e.f. 20-6-89 ? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled ?

It is needless to give full facts of the case as on 13-5-95 Sri Surender Singh authorised representative of the concerned workman has submitted before the Tribunal that he had no instruction from the concerned workman. In view of this the reference is answered in affirmative holding that the concerned workman is not entitled for any relief for want of proof.

Reference is answered accordingly.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 17 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2007 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय डाक विभाग के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एन-40012/208/94-आई. आर. डी. यू.]

ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 17th June, 1996

S.O. 2007.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bhartiya Dak Vibhag and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-1996.

[No. L-40012/208/94-IR(DU)]

BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA, PRESIDING
OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT IN-
DUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT, PANDU NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 9 of 1996

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri Ramesh Singh,
C/o Sri M. Sakeel,
1, Abdul Aziz Road,
Lucknow-226020.

AND

Superintendent,
R.M.S.,
Bhartiya Dak Vibhag,
B. L. Division,
Bareilly-243003.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour,
New Delhi vide its Notification No. L-40012|208|
94-I.R.(D.U.) dt. 27-12-95, has referred the
following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

Whether the action of the Supdt. RMS, 'BL'
Division Bareilly in terminating the
services of Shri Ramesh Singh EDA
substitute w.e.f. 7-7-89 is legal and
justified? If not, what relief the work-
man is entitled to?

2. In spite of repeated opportunities, having been
given to the concerned workman, he neither filed
any claim statement nor put in appearance in the
Tribunal. It appears that he is not interested in
the case.

3. Hence my answer to the reference is in the
affirmative and against the concerned workman for
want of proof. He is not entitled to any relief.

4. Reference is answered accordingly.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2008:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम,
1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों
का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्र सरकार, भारत के राजपत्र
असाधारण भाग—II, खण्ड—3 (ii) में दिनांक 8 जून,
1995 को प्रकाशित भारत सरकार, श्रम मंत्रालय की
अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. 509 (अ.) दिनांक 8 जून,
1995 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है।

उक्त अधिसूचना में "इस प्रयोजनार्थ केन्द्रीय सरकार
द्वारा मान्यता प्राप्त नियोजक संगठनों के परामर्श से
धारा 4 के खण्ड (च) के अंतर्गत केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा
नियुक्त" शीर्षक के तहत क्रम संख्या—34 के सामने
निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जायेगी अर्थात्:—

कामरेड बेनी एम. शाह
निदेशक (कार्मिक)
गार्डन रीच शिप बिल्डर्स एण्ड
इंजीनियर्स लिमिटेड
43/46—गार्डन रीच रोड,
कलकत्ता—700024

[संख्या यू-16012/2/95-एस. एस.-I]

जय प्रकाश शुक्ला, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th June, 1996

S.O. 2008.—In exercise of the powers conferred
by Section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance
Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government
hereby makes the following amendments in the
notification of the Government of India in the
Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 509(E), dated the
8th June, 1995 published in the Gazette of India,
Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3(ii) dated the 8th
June, 1995 :

In the said notification under the heading
'Appointed by the Central Government under
clause (f) of Section 4 in consultation with or-
ganisations of employers Recognised by the Central
Government for the purpose' for the entries against
serial No. 34, the following entries shall be substi-
tuted namely:—

Comde Beni M. Shah,
Director (Persl.),
Garden Reach Shipbuilders & Engineers Ltd.,
43/46-Garden Reach Road,
Calcutta-700024.

[No. U-16012/2/95-SS. II]

J. P. SHUKLA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 1996

का. आ. 2009 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम,
1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में,
केन्द्रीय सरकार इण्डियन बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों
और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट
औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद के
पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार
को 12-6-96 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल—12012/287/94—आई आर. (बी. 2)]

ब्रज मोहन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 18th June, 1996

S.O. 2009.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 12-6-1996.

[No. L-12012/287/94-IR(B-II)]

BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-I AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Sri A. Hanumanthu, M.A., LL.B., Industrial Tribunal-I.

Dated : 16th day of April, 1996

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 32 OF 1995

BETWEEN :

Smt. M. Khasamma, W/o M. Balraj,
3-6-348/51, Chandranagar,
Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-29

.. PETITIONER

AND

The Chief Officer,
Indian Bank, Zonal Inspectorate,
1, Skylark Apartments,
Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-29

RESPONDENT.

APPEARANCES :

Sri Prakash Pande, Representative for the Petitioner.

Sri P. Satyanarayana, Representative for the Respondent.

AWARD

This is a reference made under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter called the Act) by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, by its Order No. L-12012/287/94-IR(B.II) dated 8-2-1995 for adjudication of the Industrial Dispute referred in its schedule which reads as follows :

"Whether the action of the management of Indian Bank, Zonal Inspectorate, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Smt. M. Khasamma part-time sweeper w.e.f. 29-8-93 is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled ?"

The reference has been taken on file as I.D. No. 32 of 1995. After receipt of the notices issued by the Tribunal, the parties have put in their appearance and they are being defended by their representatives.

2. On behalf of the Petitioner-workman a claim statement has been filed to the following effect :— Smt. Lakshmi, full time sweeper, working in the Respondent Bank, fell sick and in her place the petitioner M. Khasamma was engaged as temporary sweeper on 3-9-1992. The said Smt. Lakshmi expired on 7-9-1992 and the petitioner, therefore, was continuously engaged by the Respondent Bank to perform the duties of part-time sweeper. She worked continuously from 3-9-1992 to 28-8-1993 i.e. for 311 days. The vacancy caused due to the death of Lakshmi was of a permanent nature and the Respondent extracted work from the petitioner with the lure of offering permanent job. Though the Petitioner was entitled to 1/3rd of the scale-wages, she was paid a sum of Rs. 10.00 per day. With a fond hope of securing permanent employment, the poor petitioner meekly submitted to the exploitation by the Respondent. Under the Bank Circular dt. 23-3-1983, the temporary sweepers are entitled for pro-rata wages of permanent sweeper. The Respondent categorised the temporary employment of the petitioner as casual. The Petitioner was promised employment in the Respondent Bank in June 1993 itself but the Management falsely alleged that the Petitioner filed an application for employment on 15-2-1994. The Management has to follow the guidelines regarding absorption of temporary employees. Being a Nationalised Bank, the respondent falls within the meaning of State as defined under Article 12 of the Constitution of India and the protection provided under Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution are guaranteed to the employer covered by Article 12. The directive principles which are also guiding factors for framing State policies, are applicable in the matter of employment, and denial of job continuation to the Petitioner Khasamma is clearly a violation of the provisions under Sections 25-F, 25-G and 25-H of the Act. Hence the petitioner prays to declare that the termination of the service of the petitioner as illegal, to grant continuous service and regularise her services with attendant benefits and the incidental benefits may be awarded and compensation adequate for the services rendered by her i.e. the difference between the amount paid and the amount of prorata wages.

3. The Respondent-Management is resisting the claim of the petitioner and a counter has been filed to the following effect. The petitioner was neither appointed on temporary basis nor after following the proper selection procedure prescribed for the purpose of appointment to the post of Part-time permanent sweeper. The Petitioner has not completed the minimum period of 240 days in the post, nor she fulfilled the ingredients contemplated under Section 25-F, 25-G and 25-H of Act. The Petitioner was entrusted with the work of sweeping the office premises for a total period of 30 days in the year 1992 and 175 days in the year 1993. She used to stay in the office for a maximum of one hour only every day. Therefore, neither she was a sweeper appointed, nor discharged the duties assigned on part-time permanent basis. The Petitioner was engaged only due to administrative exigencies pending selection to fill up the post on permanent basis. As the permanent part-time Sweeper Smt. Lakshmi was

on sick leave, the Respondent called the Petitioner who was working as maid servant in the Residential apartments located in the office premises of the Respondent, for sweeping the premises. Likewise, the Respondent was taking the services of one Shiv Shanker and Narsing Rao for sweeping the office premises. They were not engaged with any other duty except to sweep the premises which work used to be completed in a period of half-an-hour to one hour, whereas the part-time permanent sweeper have to work minimum for a period of 20 hours in a week. The petitioner cannot equate her with the job work assigned to a permanent part time Sweeper. The allegation that the petitioner was continuously engaged to perform the duties of part time Sweeper and that she was continued in the leave vacancy and that she worked for a period of 311 days is absolutely incorrect and false. The Petitioner was asked to sweep the premises and she was being paid the emoluments under "Miscellaneous Head". She did not attend the office for 240 days or more. Therefore she is not entitled for any relief under any of the provisions of the Act. As per the policy/guidelines prescribed by the Head Office governing the post of Sweepers, the post of permanent part-time Sweeper has to be filled up by calling for the candidates through the Employment Exchange only. After getting the list from the Employment Exchange, the candidate have to interviewed by the Regional Manager and the selection candidates' list has to be forwarded to the Zonal Office for the purpose of appointment by the Zonal Manager who is the appointing authority. Since the permanent employees expired on 7-9-1992 the petitioner and two others, namely, Shiv Shanker and Narsing Rao were asked to sweep the office permisc as per their availability. The Respondent has to make such inevitable arrangement pending appointment of permanent employee to the post. Due to the administrative exigencies, the post could not be filled up immediately. After obtaining proper permission from the higher authorities and after intimation to the Central Office, this Respondent addressed letters to the Employment Exchange on 30-7-1993 and 25-8-1993 requesting to sponsor the eligible candidates for the purpose of appointment. The Employment Exchange has sponsored the list on 3-9-1993 with all over qualified candidates. Therefore, the said list was rejected and the Employment Exchange forwarded another list only on 6-7-1994 and one Sri Venkatswamy was selected and was appointed as permanent Part-time Sweeper on 21-11-1994. Thus the post of permanent Part Time Sweeper has already been filled up. The petitioner did not turn up to sweep the premises from 30-8-1993. After a lapse of more than six months and after coming to know of interviews that are being held, to fill up the post, the Petitioner has put in a representation for appointment for the first time on 15-2-1994. The Petitioner's request could not be considered as her name was not sponsored by the Employment Exchange and she did not turn up after 30-8-1994. The allegation that as per the Circular, temporary permanent sweepers are entitled for wages on pro-rata basis is incorrect. The said circular has no application to the Sweeper's post. It is applicable

1522 GI/96-31

only to Sub-Staff engaged by the Bank. As the petitioner was not engaged for doing the work of a permanent part-time sweeper, she is not eligible for pro-rata salary prescribed for the said post as the nature of duty assigned to the petitioner is altogether different from that of the permanent part-time sweepers. The allegation that the Petitioner was assured that she will be provided permanent appointment is incorrect, and it is only invented for the purpose of raising this dispute. From the directions issued by the Government of India, the Central Office has issued guidelines to fill up the post of Sweeper only through the media of Employment Exchange. The allegation that the Ministry issued approach paper for the purpose of regularising the services of the sweeper is incorrect. The approach paper prepared by the Ministry is in respect of regularisation of temporary sub-staff recruited through the Employment Exchange. The Petitioner, being not sponsored by Employment Exchange, cannot make any claim from approach paper. When the settlement under Section 18(1) read with Section 2(p) of the Act between the Management of Indian Bank and the Federation of Indian Bank Employees' Unions in the matter of filling up of part-time sweepers, and it is binding on the Management as well as one of the parties. The engagement of the petitioner pending regular selection does not amount to violation of any of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 14, 16 or 21 of the Constitution of India. Mere engagement of the petitioner for some time during the year does not amount to waiving the regular selection. She cannot claim the benefit of regularisation. There is no violation of any provision of the I.D. Act. The present dispute is totally unsustainable, and is liable to be rejected. The petitioner is not entitled for wages on par with the permanent employees as the petitioner was also working as servant maid in the residential apartments after completing the work of sweeping in the respondents' office. The petitioner is not eligible for pro-rata wages nor she is entitled for regularisation of services. Hence the Petitioner is not entitled for any relief under this reference.

4. On behalf of the Petitioner-workman W.W1 is examined and Exs. W1 to W11 are marked. On behalf of the Respondent-Management M.W1 is examined and Exs. M1 to M12 are marked. Vijaya Gopal Rao working as Junior Assistant in the Respondent Bank and who is also General Secretary of the Indian Bank employees Association, is examined as W.W1 and he deposed to the averments in the claim statement Sri M.V.R. Anjaneya Sastry, Manager of Indian Bank Branch, Zonal Inspectorate, Hyderabad is examined as M.W1 and he deposed to the averments in the counter. The details of the documents Exs. W1 to W11 and M1 to M12 are appended to this Award.

5. The points for consideration in this reference are as follows :

- (1) Whether the Petitioner-workman was terminated from service as Part-time Sweeper by the Respondent Management w.e.f. 28-8-1993. If so whether the said termi-

nation of the Petitioner workman is justified and legal?

- (2) Whether the Petitioner is entitled for reinstatement into service or regularisation of her services as permanent part-time sweeper?
- (3) Whether the Petitioner is eligible for Pro-rata 1/3rd scales of wages on the principle of equal pay for equal work?
- (4) To what relief the petitioner is entitled in this reference?

6. POINT (1) and (2) :—The admitted facts as revealed from the evidence on record are as follows :—One Smt. Lakshmi was working as permanent Part-time sweeper in Indian Bank Zonal Inspectorate, Hyderabad. She went on sick leave as she fell sick and in that leave vacancy the petitioner M. Khasamma was engaged by the Respondent-Bank to clean the premises. The permanent Sweeper Lakshmi expired on 7-9-1992 and the Petitioner was allowed to sweep the office premises. She was engaged on daily wage basis at the rate of Rs. 10.00 per day. Vacancy caused due to the death of permanent part-time sweeper Lakshmi was of a permanent nature. After obtaining proper permission from the higher authorities and after intimation of the Central Office, the Respondent herein addressed letters to the Employment Exchange on 30-7-1993 and 25-8-1993 requesting to sponsor eligible candidates for the purpose of appointment as permanent Part-time Sweeper. The Employment Exchange has sponsored the list on 3-9-1993 with over-qualified candidates. Therefore the said list was rejected by the Respondent and the Employment Exchange was again requisitioned to send another list and the Employment Exchange forwarded another list on 6-7-1994 and following the procedure one Sri Venkatswamy was selected from out of the list and he was appointed as Permanent Part-time Sweeper on 21-11-1994. Ex. M10 is the letter addressed to the Employment Exchange Officer and Exs M9 and M11 are the lists of candidates furnished by the District Employment Officer, Hyderabad. The Petitioner gave a representation in June 1993 requesting for her appointment in the Respondent-Bank as Sweeper. She gave another representation in February 1994 with the same request. Ex. W8 is the representation given by the Petitioner-workman. The Petitioner's request was not considered as her name was not sponsored by the Employment Exchange. Shiv Shankar and Narsing Rao were also engaged for sweeping the office premises on some days. Ex. M1 is the statement showing employment particulars of Sweepers engaged during the period from 1-9-1992 to 31-8-93. The Petitioner was not engaged from 29-8-1993 onwards. Ex. W1 is the xerox copy of the appointment order dated 22-7-1988 of Smt. Lakshmi as permanent part-time sweeper. Ex. W2 is the xerox copy of the Order dt. 9-11-1994 appointing M. Venkay as permanent Part-time Sweeper after the death of Smt. Lakshmi. It is also admitted that no appointment/engagement order was issued to petitioner for sweeping the office premises w.e.f.

3-9-1992. She was paid Rs. 10.00 per day on daily wage basis through vouchers. Ex. W3 is the xerox copy of the voucher dt. 17-9-1992 showing that the petitioner Khasamma was paid Rs. 10.00 for cleaning the office premises on 3-9-1992. Ex. W4 is the xerox copy of another voucher dt. 3-9-1993 showing that Khasamma was paid Rs. 60.00 for cleaning office premises from 23-8-1993 to 28-8-1993.

7. It is not disputed that the Petitioner comes under the definition of 'workman' as defined under Section 2(s) of the Act. It is contended on behalf of the Petitioner that she was engaged as part-time Sweeper by the Respondent continuously from 3-9-1992 to 28-8-1993 i.e. for 311 days, that her services were terminated w.e.f. 29-8-1993 in violation of the provisions contained in Section 25-F of the Act and as such the retrenchment of the Petitioner is null and void, that as per the guidelines issued by the Indian Bank's Management and the instructions from the Government of India, the Petitioner is entitled for regularisation of her services as she had put in 311 days of service as Part-time Sweeper. The learned representative for the Petitioner further submits that as per the Banks Circular dated 26-3-1983 (Ex. W5) the Petitioner was entitled for 1/3rd of scale-wages for the period she worked as Part-time Sweeper instead of Rs. 10.00 per day which was paid to her. The learned representative for the Respondent-Management on the other hand, submits that the Petitioner was never appointed to the post of Part-time permanent Sweeper, that the Petitioner was entrusted with the work of sweeping the office premises on day to day basis at the rate of Rs. 10.00 per day intermittently, that she worked for a maximum of one hour daily, that the Petitioner neither discharged the duties as temporary sweeper nor the duties assigned to her are that of a Part-Time permanent Sweeper, that she was engaged only due to administrative exigencies pending selection to fill up the post on a permanent basis, that the petitioner was engaged for 30 days in the year 1992 and 175 days in the year 1993 and that the Petitioner did not work the minimum period of 240 days in the post, that the Petitioner was not available for engagement subsequent to 28-8-1993 even on daily basis and that the Respondent was also taking the services of one Shiv Shankar and Narsing Rao for sweeping the office premises. The learned representative for the Respondent further submits that the Petitioner is not entitled for 1/3rd of scale-wages for the days she worked as Part-time Sweeper.

8. It is well settled that the burden of proof to establish that the termination of services of a workman is "retrenchment" is on the person who put forward that claim. In other words where the employee claims that he has been retrenched, he must prove that he has been "retrenched" from service and it is not the employer to prove the discharge or the termination of the employee was otherwise than by "retrenchment". It is also well settled that all "retrenchment" is termination of service but all termination of service may not be "retrenchment". In order to be "retrenchment" termination of service has to fall within the ambit of definition of 'retrench-

ment' in Section 2(oo) of the Act. Further Section 25-F of the Act prescribes the requirement of notice and compensation as conditions precedent to 'retrenchment' of a workman. Termination of service, of a workman as a measure of 'retrenchment' without complying with the requirements under Section 25-F of the Act will be illegal.

9. In the instant case, the burden lies on the petitioner-Workman to prove and establish that she was appointed temporarily to act as Part-time Sweeper in the Respondent-Bank on 3-9-1992, that she was allowed to continue to work till 28-8-1993 and that she was retrenched from service w.e.f. 29-8-1993 in violation of the provisions under Section 25-F of the Act. In discharge of that burden, W.W1 is examined. W.W1 Vijaya Gopal Rao is the General Secretary of the Indian Banks Association. But he admits in his evidence that he did not work in Zonal Inspectorate of Indian Bank where the petitioner was supposed to have been engaged as Part-time Sweeper. Therefore, W.W1 has no personal knowledge with regard to the facts in this case. W.W1 in his cross-examination stated thus : "I did not work in Zonal Inspectorate of Indian Bank. I know the petitioner since 1992. She was a daily wage earner before she joined the services of Indian Bank. I do not know how she was recruited by the Management. I came to know her after her engagement. On the basis of Ex. W8 I came to know that the petitioner was disengaged with effect from 28-8-1993". It is clear from this testimony, W.W1 is not having any personal knowledge of the facts in this case and whatever he has spoken to is only hear-say. Therefore no credence can be given to the evidence of W.W1. The best person who can speak about the nature and period of engagement and her discharge is only the Petitioner-workman. But she has not chosen to enter into the witness box. Thus there is no positive evidence on behalf of the Petitioner-workman. Exs. W3, W4 and W11 are relied upon to show that the petitioner was engaged to sweep the office premises of the Respondent-Bank and she was paid at the rate of Rs. 10.00 per day for the days she worked. Under Ex. W3 the petitioner was said to have been paid Rs. 10.00 on 17-9-1992 for cleaning the office premises on 3-9-1992. Under Ex. W4 the Petitioner was paid a sum of Rs. 60.00 on 3-9-1993 for cleaning the office premises from 23-8-1993 to 28-8-1993. Under Ex. W11 a sum of Rs. 40.00 was said to have been paid to the petitioner for cleaning the office premises for four days i.e. from 4-11-1992 to 7-11-1992. Exs. W3, W4 and W11 are xerox copies. But the said documents are not proved by any competent persons. They are marked through W.W1 who is no way connected with the Respondent, Zonal Inspectorate of Indian Bank, Hyderabad. Thus there is no proof of these documents.

10. M.W1 who is the Manager, Indian Bank Branch, Zonal Inspectorate, Hyderabad admits with regard to the engagement of the Petitioner herein to clean the premises of his office on daily wage basis due to exigency of circumstances i.e. due to the death of permanent Part-time Sweeper Lakshmi. He also produced the statement showing the employment particulars of Sweepers engaged during the period from 1-9-1992 to 31-8-1993 and the said statement

is marked as Ex.M1 name of the petitioner Khasamma finds place as one of the Sweepers in this document. It is in the evidence of M.W1 that this document Ex.M1 has been prepared with reference to the entries in the petty cash vouchers. As seen from this document the petitioner worked for 30 days during 1992 and 175 days during 1993. M.W1 in his examination-in-chief deposed thus : "I know the petitioner herein. She worked in our office in the year 1992-93 as Sweeper. She was first engaged on 3-9-1992 as Sweeper because the permanent sweeper Mrs. P. Lakshmi was unwell and later died. As there was no body to clean the premises we utilised the services of Khasamma who was working as servant maid in the apartments in which our office is situated. She was engaged on day to day basis as stop gap arrangement. She worked like that till 28-8-1993. She was not engaged continuously. In between the period, two others were engaged. Their names are Mr. Shiv Shanker and Sri Narsing Rao. Ex.M1 is the statement showing employment particulars of sweepers engaged during the period from 1-9-1992 to 31-8-1993. The name of the petitioner also finds place in this document. This document has been prepared with reference to entries in the petty cash voucher. As seen from this document the petitioner worked for 30 days during 1992 and 175 days in 1993. These days do not include the holidays and Sundays. She was paid wages at the rate of Rs. 10 per day to the debit of "miscellaneous" expenditure. The petitioner was not paid proportionate wages because she was not discharging all the duties of the permanent staff and she was not working for the minimum number of hours per week as in the case of permanent staff. The permanent sweeper has to clean the floors, tables, chairs, fixtures, window panes, glasses, trays and she has to fill the water pot and water the plants and permanent staff is supposed to work for a minimum of 6 hours in a day. Exs.M2 and M3 are the xerox copies of the circular relating to the duties of permanent part time sweepers. The petitioner was asked to clean the floor of the premises, tables and chairs only. She was completing the work in 30 to 45 minutes in a day thereby taking only 3 to 4-1/2 hrs. in a week". In his cross-examination M.W1 stated thus : "The floor area of the office of the Indian Bank swept by the Petitioner is about 1400 Sq. ft. The Petitioner used to carry dust and other waste material to the dust bin. The other casual workers by name Shiv Shankar is the son of deceased sweeper P. Lakshmi. The payment to these casual workers is made by voucher. Ex.M1 has been prepared as per the entries in the petty cash register for the year 1992-93." Admittedly, no appointment order was issued to the Petitioner appointing her as Part-time Sweeper temporarily. As seen from the evidence on record, since the permanent employee P. Lakshmi expired on 7-9-1992 the Petitioner and two others namely Shiv Shankar and Narsing Rao were asked to sweep the office premises as per their availability. Ex.M1 are the entries extracted from the petty cash register which is maintained during the course of transactions of the Respondent. The authenticity or otherwise of Ex.M1 has not been challenged and no suggestion has been made to M.W1 with regard to the genuineness of this certificate. As seen from Ex.M1 the Petitioner worked

for a period of 205 days during the years 1992 and 1993 put together. She worked for 30 days in 1992 and 175 days in 1993. Moreover, she was not engaged continuously. On the other hand, she was engaged on daily wage basis as per her availability. As seen from Ex.M1 she was not engaged subsequent to 28-8-1993.

11. Considering the circumstances that no written appointment order was issued to the petitioner engaging her as Part-time Sweeper, that she was engaged on daily wage basis depending upon her availability, that she was also working as maid servant in other residential quarters of multi-storied complex wherein the Respondent-Bank is situated, that she worked only for less than an hour a day by attending to sweeping office premises of the Respondent Bank, it cannot be said that the Petitioner was appointed on regular basis against the existing permanent Part-time Sweeper in the Respondent-Bank. As earlier stated, the workman Khasamma did not choose to enter into witness box. Hence the evidence of M.W1 goes un-challenged and un rebutted. M.W1 has categorically stated that he engaged Khasamma and two others to clean the office premises as a stop gap arrangement while steps were being taken to fill up the post of permanent Part-time Sweeper as per the recruitment rules. M.W1 further categorically stated on oath that the Petitioner was not available for engagement after 28-8-1993. Therefore, she herself was not available for being engaged as Part-time Sweeper subsequent to 28-8-1993. Under these circumstances, I have no hesitation to conclude that there is no retrenchment of the Petitioner from service w.e.f. 28-8-1993 as contended by the learned representative of the Petitioner.

12. Even if it is admitted for arguments sake that the dis-engagement of the petitioner from service, w.e.f. 28-8-1993 amounts to 'retrenchment', the next aspect to be considered is whether the Respondent-Management followed the mandatory provisions contained in Section 25-F of the Act in effecting such retrenchment. The conditions precedent for effecting "retrenchment" of a workman as contained in Section 25-F of the Act are applicable only for "retrenchment" of a workman who is in continuous service for not less than one year. Section 25-B of the Act defines continuous service of one year. As seen from Ex.M1 the petitioner worked intermittently during the period from 3-9-1992 to 28-8-1993. The names of Shiv Shanker and Narsing Rao are also shown in Ex. M1 as persons who were engaged as Sweepers during that period. There is no continuity of service on the part of the petitioner. Hence it has to be seen whether the Petitioner comes within the definition of "deemed to be in continuous service of one year" as defined in Sub-Section (2) of Section 25-B of the Act. Under sub-section (2) of section 25-B of Act the workman shall be deemed to be in continuous service under the employer for a period of one year if the workman worked during the period of 12 calendar months preceding the date of termination to which calculation is to be made, as actual worked under the employer for not less than 120 days in the case of employed below ground and 240

days in other cases. In the instant case according to the Petitioner, she was retrenched from service w.e.f. 29-8-1993. Therefore, the calculation of one year period has to be made preceding 29-8-1993. As seen from the entries in Ex.M1 the total number of days worked during the said period i.e. from 3-9-1992 to 29-8-1993 comes to 205 days only. Therefore, the petitioner cannot be said to be "deemed to be in continuous service of one year" before she was retrenched from service w.e.f. 29-8-1993. Therefore, while retrenching the petitioner, the Respondent management need not follow the mandatory provisions contained in Section 25-F of the Act.

13. The learned representative for the Petitioner submits that Public Holidays and Sundays have to be taken into consideration in calculating the total number of days worked by the petitioner. He also relied on the decision in *WORKMEN OF AMERICAN EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL BANKING CORPORATION v. MANAGEMENT OF AMERICAN EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL BANKING CORPORATION* (1985) (51) FLR page 481 (S.C.) wherein their Lordships of Supreme Court held that Sundays and other Holidays have to be treated as days actually worked under the employer for the purpose of computation of working days under Section 25(B) (2) of the Act. That judgment was rendered under Delhi Shops and Establishments Act and the workman in that case was paid wages for the Holidays and Sundays. That judgment has no application to the facts of this case. In the instant case the Petitioner workman was not paid any wages for Sundays and Public Holidays. Further their Lordships of Supreme Court in *C. V. Raman v. Bank of India and connected cases* (AIR 1988 S.C. page 1369) held that under Section 73-B of the A. P. Shops and Establishments Act, the Nationalised Banks are exempted from the purview of A.P. Shops and Establishments Act. Therefore, only actual number of days worked by the Petitioner during that 12 months period have to be taken into consideration for computation of total number of days worked. Since the petitioner worked only for 205 days in the preceding 12 months period, the retrenchment of the petitioner cannot be said to be illegal or void.

14. The learned representative for the Respondent-Management also submits that the Petitioner Khasamma was engaged to sweep the premises of the office on daily wage basis, that she was not available for engagement w.e.f. 29-8-1993 and thus the voluntarily left without informing the Bank and as such she cannot complain of any violation of the provisions of the Act. It is in the evidence of M.W1 that due to sudden death of the permanent Sweeper P. L. Laxmi there was no body to clean the office premises and therefore they utilised the service of Khasamma who was working as servant maid in the apartments in which their office is situated and she was engaged on day to day basis as stop gap arrangement and she worked like that till 28-8-1993 and that she stopped coming to the Bank from 29-8-1993 and thereafter the petitioner voluntarily stopped to come to the Bank. Shiv Shankar and others were taken as

casual labour in her place. The Petitioner as earlier stated did not choose to enter into the witness box. Thus there is no rebuttal evidence on the part of the Petitioner. It is clear from the evidence of M.W1 that the Petitioner-herself stopped coming to work w.e.f. 29-8-1993 and therefore the petitioner herself deserted the service voluntarily and it cannot be said that her services were terminated by the Respondent Bank w.e.f. 29-8-1993 as contended by the Petitioner.

15. The learned representative for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner was working temporarily as part-time Sweeper, that as per the guidelines laid down by the Bank for absorption of temporary employees and as per the approach paper issued by the Ministry, the Respondent-Management need not adopt the process of going through the Employment Exchange for appointing permanent Part-time Sweeper and that the Petitioner is entitled for reinstatement and regularisation of her services as permanent Part-time Sweeper. The learned representative for the petitioner is also relying on Ex. W9 and W10. But Ex. W-9 and W-10 are applicable only for persons already in employment-empanelment which can be done in the case of Sub-Staff only. Further under para 2 of Ex. W9 the Bank was directed to approach the Director General of Employment and Training (DGET) for the persons who were empanelled without their being sponsored by the Employment Exchange as one time measure. Exs. W9 and W10 have no application for sweepers. There is no empanelment of Sweepers in the Bank. Further, on the basis of Exs. W-9 and W-10 the Respondent-Management issued the Circular Ex. W6 dt. 12-9-1992. A perusal of this document, Ex. W-6, clearly shows that initial benefit of regularisation was made applicable to the persons who worked as on 31-12-1984 which was later extended upto 31-12-1989 as one time measure. Admittedly, the Petitioner was not in service as on 31-12-1989. Hence the guidelines under Exs. W9 and W10 and W6 have no application for the petitioner.

16. The learned representative for the Respondent submits that as per the guidelines issued by the Government of India and the Central Office of the Respondent Bank, the post of permanent Sweeper has to be filled up by calling for the list from the Employment Exchange and concerned Regional Manager has to conduct interviews and selected candidate, will be appointed by the Zonal Manager who is the appointing authority, that, in the instant case, due to the sudden death of permanent employee, the Petitioner was engaged to sweep the office premises as a casual worker on daily wages basis and that arrangement was made due to administrative exigencies pending regular selection and that the Petitioner is not entitled for regularisation of her services. Ex. M-5 is the xerox copy of the Circular dt. 17-6-1988 issued by the Zonal Office of the Respondent Bank to all branches with regard to the engagement of Part-time Sweepers. Ex. M6 is the xerox copy of the guidelines issued by the Ministry of Finance, Government of India with regard to recruitment to Sub-Staff post in public sector Banks and Financial Institutions. It is clear from these two documents that engagement of part-time

sweeper, irrespective of the nature and duration of employment, has to be done by calling for candidates through Employment Exchange only after getting the list from employment Exchange, the Regional Manager has to conduct interview and prepare the list which has to be approved by the Zonal Office for selection. As seen from Ex. W-5 in the last para, it is reiterated that the engagement of persons in sub-staff cadre regardless of nature and duration of work (permanent or temporary, full time or part time) must necessarily be done after referring to the Employment Exchange of the concerned area and from out of the panel sponsored by them after obtaining prior approval from the Zonal/Regional Managers.

17. Ex. M7 is the xerox copy of the Settlement under Section 18(1) of the I.D. Act entered between the Management of Indian Banks and Federation of Indian Banks Employees Union in the matter of filling up part-time sweepers. The last para of the said settlement dt. 28-7-1993 is relevant in this court. It reads thus : "In the case of vacancies arising on account of death/resignation of permanent part-time sweeper, the branch can engage persons locally on casual basis as per the norms for a period not more than 6 months within which time the Branch has to approach the employment exchange and complete the process of filling up of vacancy. In such cases the Federation agrees not to demand regularisation of persons engaged on casual basis as permanent part-time sweeper." It is clear from this document that the Federation of Indian Banks Employees Union itself had agreed for filling up vacancies of permanent part-time sweeper by approaching the employment exchange only and as a stop gap arrangement, the Branch can engage persons locally on casual basis for a period not more than six months and the Federation has also agreed not to demand regularisation of such persons engaged on casual basis as permanent part-time sweeper. The present demand of the petitioner is contrary to the said settlement under Ex. M7. As seen from Exs. M8, M9, M10, M11 and Ex. W2 the Respondent-Management called for the list of eligible candidates from the Employment Exchange for filling up the post of permanent sweeper and after conducting the interviews, one Venkataswamy was selected as permanent part-time sweeper and he was appointed as such under Ex. W2 dt. 9-11-1994. Thus the existing vacant post of permanent part-time sweeper has been filled up under due process of law by the Respondent-Bank.

18. Ex. M12 is the xerox copy of the circular regarding the guidelines of career path to Part-time Sweepers. Under this Scheme, if there are more than four or five branches in the city or town and if there is vacancy drawing proportionate scales of wages in any of the said Branches, first the persons working in other branches in the same town drawing lesser proportion scale wages or consolidated should be considered for filling up that vacancy. If no such person is available the Employment Exchange should be approached. It is in the evidence of M.W1 that as the part-time Sweeper working with consolidated payment of wages are not available in the city Branches, the Management has taken recourse to fill up vacancy by direct recruitment through Employment Exchange as per the procedure and as seen from Exs. M8 to

Venkatewamy was appointed as permanent Part-time Sweeper by direct recruitment through the Employment Exchange. The Petitioner is also not entitled to be appointed under this career path scheme.

19. The Petitioner is also not entitled for regularisation on consideration of her earlier engagement as part-time sweeper. As earlier stated, she was not recruited through the Employment Exchange. She has not put in 240 days of service. The observations of their Lordships of Supreme Court are relevant in this context. In the case reported in 1993 (2) LLJ page 937, Supreme Court categorically held that the benefit of regularisation given to the employees as one time measure and fixing up a date is not arbitrary. The Supreme Court observed in para 25 at page 947 as follows :

“Apart from the fact that the High Court was not right in holding that the several conditions imposed by the two Governments in their respective orders relating to regularisation are arbitrary, not valid and justified, the High Court acted rather hastily in directing wholesale regularisation of all such persons who have put in 1 year's service and that too unconditionally.”

In the case of Delhi Development Horticulture Employees' Union Vs. Delhi Administration, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in the case reported in 1992 (2) LLJ page 452, at page 459 para 23 held thus :

“Apart from the fact that the petitioners cannot be directed to be regularised for the reasons given above, we may take note of the pernicious consequences to which the direction for regularisation of workmen on the only ground that they have put in work for 240 or more days, has been leading. Although there is Employment Exchange Act which required recruitment on the basis of registration in the Employment Exchange, it has become a common practice to ignore the Employment Exchange and the persons registered in the Employment Exchanges and to employ and get registered with the Employment Exchange of who though registered are lower in the long waiting list in the Employment Register. The courts can take judicial notice of the fact that such employment is sought and given directly for various illegal considerations including money. The employment is given first for temporary period with technical breaks to circumvent the relevant rules and is continued for 240 or more days are directed to be automatically regularised. A good deal of illegal employment market has developed resulting in a new source of corruption and frustration of those who are waiting at the Employment Exchange for years. Not all those who gain such backdoor entry in the employment are in need of the particular jobs. Though already employed elsewhere they join the jobs for better and secured prospects. That is why most of the cases which come to the Courts are of employment in Government Departments, Public Undertakings or

Agencies. Ultimately it is the people who bear the heavy burden of the surplus labour. The other equally injurious effect of indiscriminate regularisation has been that many of the agencies have stopped undertaking casual or temporary works though they are urgent and essential for fear that if those who are employed on such works are required to be continued for 240 or more days have to be absorbed as regular employees although the works are time bound and there is no need for the workmen beyond the completion of the works undertaken. The public interest are thus jeopardised on both counts.”

In the case of Jakir Hussain and Engineer-in-Chief Irrigation Department reported in 1994(1)LLJ page 5. Allahabad High Court, relying on the case reported in 1993 (2) LLJ page 937 of the Supreme Court, referred above, observed in para 7 as follows :—

“Regularisation cannot be made as a rule of thumb merely on the basis of completion of certain years of service by an employee. It depends on various facts, some of which have been mentioned above, and it is for the Employer to decide as to whether, in view of the fact and circumstances of the case, the services of the employees who were appointed on ad hoc/daily wages basis should be regularised.”

20. In the light of my above discussion, I hold on Point No. 1 that the Petitioner was not terminated from service as Part-time Sweeper w.c.f. 29-8-1993 by the Respondent Bank and that she was not available for engagement on casual basis. On Point No. 2 that the Petitioner is not entitled either for reinstatement or for regularisation of her services as permanent Part-time Sweeper. Thus both the points are decided against the petitioner and in favour of the Respondent.

21. Point No. (3) :—The learned representative for the Petitioner submits that under Ex. W5 dt. 26-3-1983 a Part-time Sweeper who is engaged temporarily during leave period but not through medium of Employment Exchange in order to meet urgent emergency situation is entitled for proportionate wages calculated on the basis of applicable scale-wages and all Branch Officers were directed to determine the monthly salary having regard to area swept and pay wages on daily/weekly basis to the concerned temporary Part-time Sweeper for the number of days they were engaged. Based on this circular the learned representative for the Petitioner submits that the petitioner is also entitled for pro-rata wages payable to the permanent Part-time Sweeper. But this principle of equal pay for equal work applies where the casual employee discharges the same duties and responsibilities as that of a regular employee. It is in the evidence of MW1 that the Petitioner was not discharging all the duties of a

permanent Sweeper and she was not working for minimum number of hours as in the case of permanent staff and that the petitioner was completing the work in 30 to 45 minutes in a day. This testimony of M.W1 is not controverted by the Petitioner as she did not chose to enter into the witness box. W.W1 examined on behalf of the Petitioner was not working in the Respondent Branch while the Petitioner was engaged as casual sweeper on daily wage basis and as such he has no personal knowledge with regard to the working hours of the petitioner. In VANAJAKSHY A.S. V. FACT LTD. & ORS. [1994(I)LLJ page 334] their Lordships of Kerala High Court held thus :

“The petitioner is also not entitled to get pay on par with permanent employees because those who were appointed on regular basis passed the required test and were fully competent to hold that post, whereas the petitioner was not subject to any such selection, therefore the plea for equal pay for equal work is also not available in this case.”

In SATVANARAYANA SHARMA & OTHERS v. NATIONAL MINERAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD. & OTHERS [1990(II) LLJ page 596] their Lordships of Supreme Court observed thus :—

“The principle of regularisation of a daily rated workman and payment to him of the pay equal to that of a regular workman arises only when the daily rated workman is doing the same work as the regular workman and there being a vacancy available for him, he is not absorbed against it or not even paid equal pay for the period during which the same work is taken from him.”

Therefore, the Petitioner is not entitled for pro-rata wages on the principle of equal pay for equal work. The point is thus decided against the petitioner and in favour of the Respondent-Bank.

22. POINT NO. (4) :—This point relates to the relief to be granted to the Petitioner in this reference. In view of my findings on points 1 to 3, the Petitioner is not entitled for any relief in this reference.

23. In the result, Award is passed stating that the action of the Respondent-Management in not engaging the services of the Petitioner Smt. M. Khasamma and in not regularising her services

as Part-time Sweeper w.e.f. 29-8-1993 is legal and justified and that the Petitioner is not entitled for any relief in this reference. The reference is answered accordingly. The parties are directed to bear their costs.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected by me and given under my hand and the seal of this Tribunal, this the 16th day of April, 1996.

A. HANUMANTHU, Industrial Tribunal-I Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses Examined for
the Petitioner :

W.W1 Vijaya Gopal Rao

Witnesses Examined for
the Respondent :

M.W1 M.V.R. Anjaneya Sastry.

Documents marked for the Petitioner :

Ex. W1 22-7-88.—Xerox copy of appointment order of Smt. P. Lakshmi.

Ex. W2 9-11-94.—Xerox copy of appointment of Sri Venkataswamy.

Ex. W3 17-9-92.—Xerox copy of payment voucher.

Ex. W4 3-9-93.—Xerox copy of payment voucher.

Ex. W5 26-3-83.—Xerox copy of the circular regarding the norms for engagement of part time sweeper (proper payment of wages/salary).

Ex. W6 12-7-92.—Xerox copy of the circular regarding waiving of sponsoring of the name for Sub-staff who worked for 90 days is waived.

Ex. W7 15-6-93.—Letter issued by the Chief Officer to the Zonal Manager, Indian Bank considering her for permanent basis.

Ex. W8 5-4-94.—Letter from A.G.M(P) to the Zonal Inspectorate Hyderabad regarding permanent sweeper vacancy.

Ex. W9 7-5-91.—Letter issued by the Ministry of Finance to all its Banks on the subject of recruitment and absorption of temporary employees.

Ex. W10 16-8-90.—Xerox copy of the Circular issued by Government of India, Ministry of Finance Department of Economic Affairs to all the Public Sectors Bank about the recruitment and absorption of temporary employees in Public Sectors Bank.

Ex. W11.—Xerox copy of the Voucher No. 1020, dt. 17-11-92.

Documents marked for the Respondent :

Ex. M1.—Statement showing the particulars of casual sweepers engaged between 1-9-92 to 31-8-93.

Ex. M2 28-10-80.—Circular IRD2/80 dt. 28-10-80 stating the duties of permanent time sweeper.

Ex. M3 18-11-80.—Cir. No. IRD3/80 dt. 18-11-80 stating the criterial for engagement of permanent time sweeper.

Ex. M4 4-3-83.—Cir. No. 24/83 dt. 4-3-83 stating the norms to engage sub-staff.

Ex. M5 17-6-88.—Cir. regarding engagement of part time sweeper.

Ex. M6 30-9-78.—Xerox copy of Government of India Institution of Department of Economic Affairs.

Ex. M7.—Xerox copy of Settlement dated 28-7 93.

Ex. M8 30-7-93.—Letter addressed to Regional Employment Exchange.

Ex. M9.—Xerox copy of list of candidates sponsored by Employment Exchange.

Ex. M10.—Xerox copy of letter addressed to the Distt. Employment Exchange.

Ex. M11.—Xerox copy of list of the candidates sponsored by the District Employment Exchange, Hyderabad.

Ex. M12 18-8-93.—Xerox copy of the circular regarding the career path to part time sweepers.